ENS Statelessness Index Survey: Serbia



Contents

International and Regional Instruments	3
1954 Convention	3
1961 Convention	3
Other conventions	
Stateless Population Data	
Availability and sources	
Stateless in Detention data	11
Statelessness Determination and Status	12
Existing SDP procedure	12
Statelessness status but unclear mechanism (if no options under IDP1-15)	12
Detention	14
Detention screening	14
Alternatives to immigration detention	19
Procedural safeguards	21
Protections on release	26
Prevention and Reduction	28
Stateless born on territory	28
Foundlings	33
Adoption	35
lus sanguinis and discrimination	36
Access to birth registration	38
Late Birth Registration	41
Awareness of birth registration	42
Jurisprudence and Training	43
Published Judgements	43

ENS Statelessness Index Survey: Serbia



Legal training	4
Pro Bono	4
Literature	4

International and Regional Instruments

Cat	Q	Sub	Subtheme	Question	International Norms / Good Practice	Answer	Source
IOB	1	a	1954 Convention	Is your country party to the 1954 State- lessness Convention?	UN Convention Relating to the Status of Stateless Per- sons, 1954	Yes.	Decree on Ratification of the 1954 Convention Relating to the Status of Stateless Persons and Final Act of the UN Conference on Legal Status of Stateless Persons, Official Gazette of the Republic of Federal Peoples Republic of Yugoslavia - International Agreements, no. 9/59. Notification of succession of the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia, 12 March 2001: https://treaties.un.org/doc/Treaties/1960/06/19600606%2001-49%20AM/Related%20Documents/CN.249.2001-Eng.pdf Serbia succeeded to the Convention on the basis of Art 60(4) of the Constitutional Charter of the State Union of Serbia and Montenegro, Official Gazette of Serbia and Montenegro, no. 1/2003: http://www.worldstatesmen.org/SerbMont_Const_2003.pdf
IOB	1	b		If yes, when was rati- fication/accession?		12 March 2001	Notification of succession of the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia, 12 March 2001: https://treaties.un.org/doc/Trea- ties/1960/06/19600606%2001-49%20AM/Related%20Docu- ments/CN.249.2001-Eng.pdf
IOB	1	С		Are there reservations in place? Please list them.	Best practice is no reservations. If there are, they should have little or no effect on the rights of stateless persons.	No , there are no reservations in place.	UN Treaty Collection, Reservations and Declarations for Convention relating to the Status of Stateless Persons: https://trea-ties.un.org/pages/ViewDetailsII.aspx?src=TREATY&mtdsg_no=V-3&chapter=5&Temp=mtdsg2&clang=en#2
IOB	1	d		Does the Convention have direct effect?	Best practice is that the Convention has direct effect, though this may depend on legal regime.	Yes.	Constitution of the Republic of Serbia, Official Gazette of the Republic of Serbia, no. 98/2006, Art. 16(2) & 16(3): "Generally accepted rules of international law and ratified international treaties shall be an integral part of the legal system in the Republic of Serbia and applied directly." Ratified international treaties must be compatible with the Constitution: http://www.srbija.gov.rs/cinjenice o srbiji/ustav odredbe.php?id=217http://www.srbija.gov.rs/cinjenice o srbiji/ustav oddredbe.php?id=217 (S)
IOB	2	а	1961 Convention	Is your country party to the 1961 State- lessness Convention?	• <u>UN Convention on the Reduction of Statelessness, 1961</u>	Yes.	The Law on Ratification of the Convention on the Reduction of State- lessness, Official Gazette of the Republic of Serbia - International Agreements, no 8/2011

^{© 2018} European Network on Statelessness. All rights reserved. This country survey is not intended for wider dissemination as a standalone document, and should be read in conjunction with (and any reference made to) the Statelessness Index country profile on <u>Serbia</u>; February 2018

	1		T				
IOB	2	b		If yes, when was rati-		8 th of December, 2011	Notification of succession of the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia, 7 De-
				fication/accession?			cember 2011: https://treaties.un.org/doc/Publica-
							tion/CN/2011/CN.782.2011-Eng.pdf
IOB	2	С		Are there reserva-	As above	No, there are no reserva-	UN Treaty Collection: https://trea-
				tions in place? Please		tions in place.	ties.un.org/pages/ViewDetails.aspx?src=TREATY&mtdsg_no=V-
				list them.		·	4&chapter=5&clang= en
IOB	2	d		Does the Convention	As above	Yes	Constitution of the Republic of Serbia, Art. 16 (2) & 16(3): "Generally
				have direct effect?			accepted rules of international law and ratified international treaties
							shall be an integral part of the legal system in the Republic of Serbia
							and applied directly." Ratified international treaties must be in accord-
							ance with the Constitution:
							http://www.srbija.gov.rs/cinjenice o srbiji/ustav.php?change lang=e
							n
IOB	3	а	Othernon	State party to Euro-	European Convention on	No	Council of Europe, Chart of signatures and ratifications of Treaty 166
IOD	-	a	Other con-	pean Convention on	Nationality, 1997	140	(European Convention on Nationality):
			ventions	Nationality 1997?	Nationality, 1997		http://www.coe.int/en/web/conventions/full-list/-/conven-
				Are there reserva-			tions/treaty/166/signatures?p auth=DpBZwnVo
							tions/treaty/166/signatures:p_autn=DpBZwnvo
				tions in place? Please			
	-	.		list them.			
IOB	3	b		State Party to Euro-	European Convention on	Yes, Serbia is a State	Law on Ratification of the European Convention on Human Rights, Of-
				pean Convention on	Human Rights, 1950	Party to ECHR. No reser-	ficial Gazette of Serbia and Montenegro – International Agreements,
				Human Rights 1950?		vations in force.	no. 9/2003, 5/2005, 7/2005 – correction and Official Gazette of Serbia,
				Are there reserva-			12/2010.
				tions in place? Please			
				list them.			Reservations and Declarations for Treaty No.005 (Convention for the
							Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms):
							https://www.coe.int/en/web/conventions/full-list/-/conven-
							tions/treaty/005/declarations?p_auth=PnAxVuNA
IOB	3	С		State Party to Council	Council of Europe Conven-	No	Chart of signatures and ratifications of Treaty 200 (Council of Europe
				of Europe Conven-	tion on the Avoidance of		Convention on the avoidance of statelessness in relation to state suc-
				tion on the avoid-	Statelessness in Relation to		cession): https://www.coe.int/en/web/conventions/full-list/-/conven-
				ance of stateless-	State Succession, 2006		tions/treaty/200/signatures?p_auth=PnAxVuNA
				ness in relation to			12
				State succession			
				2006? Are there res-			
				ervations in place?			
				Please list them.			
		l		i icase list tilelli.			

^{© 2018} European Network on Statelessness. All rights reserved. This country survey is not intended for wider dissemination as a standalone document, and should be read in conjunction with (and any reference made to) the Statelessness Index country profile on <u>Serbia</u>; February 2018

IOB	3	٦	Pound by Disastins	• Directive 2009/445/50 -f	No.	Carbia is not a Mambar State of the Furances Union
IOB	3	d	Bound by Directive	Directive 2008/115/EC of The Foregoe and Parking and American design of the Company of the	NO.	Serbia is not a Member State of the European Union.
			2008/115/EC of the	the European Parliament and		
			European Parliament	of the Council (EU Returns Di-		
			and of the Council	rective)		
			(EU Returns Di-			
			rective). Are there			
			reservations in			
			place? Please list			
			them.			
IOB	3	е	State Party to Con-	• Convention on the Rights of	Yes , Serbia is a State	Law on Ratification of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, Offi-
			vention on the Rights	the Child 1989	Party to the Convention	cial Gazette of the Republic of Socialistic Federal Republic of Yugosla-
			of the Child 1989?		and there are no reser-	via, no. 7/71, 15/90 and Official Gazette of the Republic of Socialistic
			Are there reserva-		vations in force.	Republic of Yugoslavia, no. 4/96 and 2/97.
			tions in place? Please			
			list them.			Notification of succession to the Convention on the Rights of the
						Child, 12 March 2001: https://treaties.un.org/doc/Trea-
						ties/1998/05/19980501%2004-05%20AM/Actions/Related%20Docu-
						ments/CN.153.2001-Eng.pdf
						Serbia succeeded to the Convention on the basis of Art 60(4) of the
						Constitutional Charter of Serbia and Montenegro. UN Treaty Collec-
						tion: https://trea-
						ties.un.org/pages/ViewDetails.aspx?src=TREATY&mtdsg_no=IV-
						11&chapter=4&clang=_en#EndDec
IOB	3	f	State Party to Inter-	International Covenant on	Yes , Serbia is a State	Law on Ratification of International Covenant on Civil and Political
			national Covenant on	Civil and Political Rights 1966	Party to the Covenant.	Rights, Official Gazette of the Republic of Socialistic Federal Republic
			Civil and Political		There are no reserva -	of Yugoslavia, no. 7/71.
			Rights 1966? Are		tions in force.	
			there reservations in			Notification of succession to the International Covenant on Civil and
			place? Please list			Political Rights, 12 March 2001: https://treaties.un.org/doc/Trea-
			them.			ties/1976/03/19760323%2006-17%20AM/Related%20Docu-
						ments/CN.233.2001-Eng.pdf
						Serbia succeeded to the Covenant on the basis of Art 60(4) of the Con-
						stitutional Charter of Serbia and Montenegro. UN Treaty Collection:
						https://trea-
						ties.un.org/pages/ViewDetails.aspx?src=TREATY&mtdsg_no=IV-
						4&chapter=4&clang= en
		ll				+xchapter-4xchangen

^{© 2018} European Network on Statelessness. All rights reserved. This country survey is not intended for wider dissemination as a standalone document, and should be read in conjunction with (and any reference made to) the Statelessness Index country profile on <u>Serbia</u>; February 2018

IOB	3	g	State Party to International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights 1966? Are there reservations in place? Please list them.	• International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights 1966	Yes, Serbia is a State Party to the Covenant. There are no reserva- tions in force.	Law on Ratification of International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, Official Gazette of the Republic of Socialistic Federal Republic of Yugoslavia, no. 7/71. Notification of succession to the Covenant, 12 March 2001: https://treaties.un.org/doc/Treaties/2002/01/20020103%2009-57%20PM/Related%20Documents/CN.175.2001-Eng.pdf
						Serbia succeeded to the Covenant on the basis of Art 60(4) of the Constitutional Charter of Serbia and Montenegro. UN Treaty Collection: https://treaties.un.org/pages/ViewDetails.aspx?src=TREATY&mtdsg_no=IV-3&chapter=4&clang=_en
IOB	3	h	State Party to Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Discrimination Against Women 1979? Are there reservations in place? Please list them.	Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Discrimination Against Women 1979 Gen. Rec. 32 on the gender-related dimensions of refugee status, asylum, nationality and statelessness.	Yes, Serbia is a State Party to the Convention and there are no reservations in force.	Law on Ratification of Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Discrimination Against Women, Official Gazette of the Republic of Socialistic Federal Republic of Yugoslavia, no.11/81. Notification of succession to the Convention, 12 March 2001: https://treaties.un.org/doc/Treaties/1995/05/19950522%2005-30%20AM/Related%20Documents/CN.156.2001-Eng.pdf Serbia succeeded to the Convention on the basis of Art 60(4) of the Constitutional Charter of Serbia and Montenegro. UN Treaty Collection: https://treaties.un.org/pages/ViewDetails.aspx?src=TREATY&mtdsg_no=IV-8&chapter=4&clang=_en
IOB	3	i	State Party to Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment 1984? Are there reservations in place? Please list them.	Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Pun- ishment 1984	Yes, Serbia is a State Party to the Convention and there are no reser- vations in force.	Law on Ratification of Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment, Official Gazette of the Republic of Socialistic Federal Republic of Yugoslavia, no.9/91. Notification of succession to the Convention, 12 March 2001: https://treaties.un.org/doc/Treaties/1992/09/19920908%2002-43%20AM/Related%20Documents/CN.238.2001-Eng.pdf Serbia succeeded to the Convention on the basis of Art 60(4) of the Constitutional Charter of Serbia and Montenegro. UN Treaty Collection:

^{© 2018} European Network on Statelessness. All rights reserved. This country survey is not intended for wider dissemination as a standalone document, and should be read in conjunction with (and any reference made to) the Statelessness Index country profile on <u>Serbia</u>; February 2018

International and Regional Instruments – December 2017

						https://trea- ties.un.org/pages/ViewDetails.aspx?src=TREATY&mtdsg_no=IV- 9&chapter=4&clang=_en
IOB	3	j	State Party to Inter- national Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial	• International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination 1965	Yes, Serbia is a State Party to the Convention and there are no reser- vations in force.	Law on Ratification of International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination, Official Gazette of the Republic of Socialistic Federal Republic of Yugoslavia, no.31/67.
			Discrimination 1965? Are there reservations in place? Please list them.			Notification of succession to the Convention, 12 March 2001: https://treaties.un.org/doc/Treaties/1992/01/19920115%2008-49%20AM/Related%20Documents/CN.166.2001-Eng.pdf
						Serbia succeeded to the Convention on the basis of Art 60(4) of the Constitutional Charter of Serbia and Montenegro. UN Treaty Collection: https://treaties.un.org/pages/ViewDetails.aspx?src=TREATY https://treaties.un.org/pages/ViewDetails.aspx

Stateless Population Data

Cat	Q	Sub	Subtheme	Question	International Norms / Good Practice	Answer	Source
POP	1	а	Availability	Does the Govt have a	• <u>Gen. Rec. 32 of CEDAW</u> (para. 39):	No, there is not a separate category of 'stateless'.	Official Census 2011 results,
			and sources	discrete category for	States parties should gather, ana-	There are only separate data on the number of	Book 9, Migrations:
				statelessness in its	lyse and make available sex-disaggre-	persons without citizenship who were born in ex-	http://pod2.stat.gov.rs/Ob-
				data collection sys-	gated statistical data and trends	Yugoslavia countries. The only official Government	<u>javljenePub-</u>
				tem (e.g. in the cen-	• European Council, Conclusions of	data is from the Census. The last one was con-	likacije/Popis2011/Knjiga%209
				sus)? If so, what are	the Council and the Representatives	ducted in 2011. According to this around 99% of	Migracije-Migrations.pdf (S)
				the Govt figures for	of the Governments of the Member	the population are Serbian citizens. A total of 0.62	
				the total stateless	States on Statelessness: Recognise	% are foreign citizens, while 0.08% are without cit-	
				population on the	the importance of exchanging good	izenship. In numbers, a total of 5951 declared	
				territory? Is the data	practicesconcerning the collection	themselves as persons without citizenship. Among	
				disaggregated? If so,	of reliable data on stateless per-	them 5764 were born in ex-Yugoslavia countries,	
				how?	sons	and 187 were born in other countries. However, it	
					• UNHCR Global Action Plan to End	cannot be concluded that all of them were state-	
					Statelessness 2014-2024 (Action 10):	less because they did not have citizenship at that	
					Improve quantitative and qualitative	moment (it is possible that some of them are citi-	
					data on stateless populations	zens but facing administrative difficulties getting a	
					• Institute on Statelessness and In-	citizenship certificate).	
					clusion (The World's Stateless) pg.11:		
					States should adopt and/or		
					strengthen measures to count state-		
					less persons on their territory		
POP	1	b		Do Govt authorities	As above	See above. The only data available is from the cen-	Official Census 2011 results,
				define categories of		sus, which uses the term 'without citizenship'.	Book 9, Migrations:
				persons who may			http://pod2.stat.gov.rs/Ob-
				overlap with state-			<u>javljenePub-</u>
				less (e.g. unknown			likacije/Popis2011/Knjiga%209
				nationality, unspeci-			Migracije-Migrations.pdf (S)
				fied nationality,			
				other)? Are statistics			
				on these available?			
				If, yes, please indi-			
				cate categories and			
				statistics.			
POP	1	С		What is the UNHCR	As above	UNHCR has done two surveys on persons at risk of	UNHCR, Persons at risk of state-
				estimate for the pop-		statelessness in Serbia: in 2010 and in 2015 . The	lessness in Serbia, Progress re-
				ulation of stateless		overall objective of the surveys was to obtain relia-	port 2010-2015, June 2016:
				persons and/or those		ble and representative data on statelessness of	

		1				
			at risk of stateless-		Roma, Ashkali and Egyptians (RAE) in Serbia since	http://www.unhcr.rs/me-
			ness on the territory?		statelessness and lack of documents in Serbia	dia/docs/UNHCR Brosura Apa-
			What is UNHCR's		mainly affects RAE population. The survey was	tridi ENGLESKI.pdf
			source for this infor-		carried out in 'Roma' settlements on a sample	
			mation?		which included 1,987 households with a total of	UNHCR, Persons at risk of state-
					8,765 (RAE) members. According to this data, the	lessness in Serbia, June 2011:
					share of persons without basic identity documents	http://www.ref-
					among the RAE population in Serbia has dropped	world.org/pdfid/4fd1bb408.pdf
					from 6.8% in 2010 to 3.9% in 2015. According to	
					the 2015 survey: 1% of RAE who live in 'Roma' set-	
					tlements are not registered in birth registries (ap-	
					prox. 700 people). 5.2% of RAE who live in 'Roma'	
					settlements have no identity cards (approx. 2700	
					people).	
POP	1	d	Are there indirect	As above	There is no reliable indirect source of statistics on	The Belgrade Centre for Human
			(proxy) sources of		stateless persons. Since 2015 some data about	Rights, Right to Asylum in the Re-
			statistics on stateless		country of origin of refugees exists within UNHCR	public of Serbia 2016, Belgrade
			persons? E.g. catego-		and relevant state bodies (e.g. in 2016, 37 persons	2017, p. 24: http://www.bgcen-
			ries of persons for		from Palestine and three persons from 'unknown	tar.org.rs/bgcentar/eng-lat/wp-
			which statistics are		countries' expressed the intention to seek asylum	content/uploads/2017/03/Right-
			available where		in Serbia). However, data is not systematised and	to-Asylum-in-the-Republic-of-
			stateless persons		no conclusions or predictions about stateless per-	Serbia-2016-2.pdf
			may be more highly		sons can be drawn from that.	
			represented (e.g. rel-			
			evant country of			
			origin or profiles (e.g.			
			Palestinians or Syrian			
			Kurds)? Please pro-			
			vide explanation and			
			figures.			
POP	1	е	Have there been sur-	UNHCR Global Action Plan to End	Aside from the UNHCR surveys (see 1c above), no	
	-	`	veys or mapping	Statelessness 2014-2024: Action 10	other mapping studies have been done.	
			studies done to esti-	State Court Lot 1 Total		
			mate the population			
			of stateless persons			
			in the country?			
	1		iii the country?			

^{© 2018} European Network on Statelessness. All rights reserved. This country survey is not intended for wider dissemination as a standalone document, and should be read in conjunction with (and any reference made to) the Statelessness Index country profile on Serbia; February 2018

200	1	ı,	A 41 41.	A = =	There is data an the country of shilldren.	Charles of the De Li
POP	1	T	Are there other		There is data on the number of children who are	Statistical Office of the Republic
			sources of estimates		not registered in birth registry books (and there-	of Serbia and UNICEF, Serbia
			for the population of		fore at risk of statelessness) from UNICEF surveys	Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey
			stateless persons		carried out as a part of the global program Multiple	2014 and Serbia Roma Settle-
			(not covered by the		Indicator Cluster Survey – MICS. According the	ments Multiple Indicator Cluster
			above)? If so, list		2014 survey, Roma have the lowest birth registra-	Survey, 2014, Final Reports, Bel-
			sources and figures.		tion rate (94%). The births of 95% of children un-	grade, Serbia:
					der five years-old in Roma settlements have been	https://www.unicef.org/ser-
					registered. The birth registration	bia/Serbia2014MICS Na-
					rate is lower among children age 0-5 months (83%)	tionalandRomaSettle-
					and among children from the poorest wealth quin-	ments_Eng_2015FINAL.pdf
					tile (89%). According to the survey carried out in	
					late 2014 among internally displaced Roma in Ser-	UNHCR and the Commissariat for
					bia, 5% of Roma IDP households have one member	Refugees and Migration of the
					not registered in the birth registries, and less than	Republic of Serbia, Assessment
					1% of domiciled Roma households. 9% of IDP	of the needs of internally dis-
					Roma and 6% of domiciled Roma have a member	placed Roma in Serbia, May
					of their household who cannot obtain a citizenship	2015, p. 41-42 and 73:
					certificate.	http://www.unhcr.rs/media/UN-
						HCR Roma IDPs Needs Assess-
						ment.pdf
POP	1	g	Are there issues with	As above	Yes . The data related to stateless persons and	
			reliability of stateless		those at risk of statelessness are not completely	
			data? If yes, please		reliable in Serbia. Statelessness related problems	
			describe why.		are primarily connected to the lack of birth regis-	
			,		tration. The exact number of those who are not	
					registered has never been determined, which was	
					the main reason for UNHCR to conduct the two	
					abovementioned surveys (see Answer 1c). How-	
					ever, these surveys are limited too . Firstly, there is	
					no precise number for the Roma population in	
					Serbia. The surveys were conducted in Roma set-	
					tlements only. Therefore, the results are an esti-	
					mation. census data is based only on self-declara-	
					tion, without any further questions on stateless-	
					ness.	
POP	1	h	Are there indications	As above	It is likely that the stateless population in Serbia is	Praxis casework/practice.
			that the stateless		underreported. The UNHCR surveys showed that	

^{© 2018} European Network on Statelessness. All rights reserved. This country survey is not intended for wider dissemination as a standalone document, and should be read in conjunction with (and any reference made to) the Statelessness Index country profile on <u>Serbia</u>; February 2018

POP	2	i	Stateless in Detention data	population is either over or under reported? Please describe. Please provide any available figures on stateless refugees or asylum seekers (if there is data, please clarify whether Govt also counts stateless refugees and asylum seekers in the stateless population to avoid under/over reporting). Number of stateless persons in immigration detention	As above As above and see also norms in Detention section.	the number of those at risk of statelessness significantly dropped to about 700 persons. On the other hand, Praxis as a legal aid provider still identifies new cases of those not registered or with undetermined citizenship which leads us to conclude that the number could be higher. There is no available data. Statistics on asylum seekers contain only data on the country of origin. There is no data about stateless asylum seekers or their number is unrealistically low. For example, from 1 January 2015 until 31 October 2015, the Asylum Office registered 335,246 persons who expressed the intention to seek asylum in Serbia and there were only two stateless persons and four persons whose country of origin was unknown. In 2016, of 12,821 persons who expressed the intention to seek asylum, none of them was recorded as stateless and three persons came from 'unknown countries'. In 2016 and 2017 there were no stateless persons (or persons with unknown or undetermined nationality) in immigration detention in Serbia (i.e. in the Shelter for Foreigners).	UNHCR, Asylum Seekers in Serbia, 2015: http://www.un-hcr.rs/media/AsylumSeekers2015-05NovENG.xlsx Belgrade Centre for Human Rights, Right to Asylum in the Republic of Serbia 2016, Belgrade 2017, p. 24: http://www.bgcentar.org.rs/bgcentar/eng-lat/wpcontent/uploads/2017/03/Rightto-Asylum-in-the-Republic-of-Serbia-2016-2.pdf Response from Border Police Directorate of Ministry of Interior to freedom of information request, 03/8/61 No. GZ 06-124/17 issued on 15 May 2017.
POP	2	b	data	Are there statistics on individuals released from immigration detention who were un-removable, their country of origin and length of detention? If yes, please provide.	As above	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

^{© 2018} European Network on Statelessness. All rights reserved. This country survey is not intended for wider dissemination as a standalone document, and should be read in conjunction with (and any reference made to) the Statelessness Index country profile on Serbia; February 2018

Statelessness Determination and Status

Cat	Q	Sub	Subtheme	Question	International Norms / Good Practice	Answer	Source
IDP	1	a	Existing	Which of the following best describes	• UNHCR (2014), Handbook on Pro-	Group 3	
			SDP pro-	the situation in your country (choose	tection of Stateless Persons: it is		
			cedure	only one and then proceed to question	implicit in the 1954 Convention that		
				indicated)?	States must identify stateless persons		
				1. There is a dedicated Statelessness	within their jurisdictions so as to pro-		
				determination procedure (SDP) estab-	vide them appropriate treatment in		
				lished in law, administrative guidance, or	order to comply with their Conven-		
				judicial procedure (proceed to Question	tion commitments.		
				2a).	• UNHCR (Good Practices Paper 6):		
				2. There is no dedicated SDP procedure	Establishing a statelessness determi-		
				but there are other administrative pro-	nation procedure is the most efficient		
				cedures by which statelessness can be	means for States Parties to the 1954		
				identified (e.g. through citizenship, resi-	Convention to identify the beneficiar-		
				dence permit and international protec-	ies of that Convention.		
				tion procedures or ex-officio) (proceed	European Council, Conclusions of		
				to Question 10a).	the Council and the Representatives		
				3. There is a dedicated statelessness	of the Governments of the Member		
				status even if no formal procedure ex-	States on Statelessness: Recognise		
				ists for determining this (proceed to	the importance of exchanging good		
				Question 16a).	practices among Member States con-		
				4. If none of the above describe the sit-	cerning procedures for determining		
				uation in your country, are there other	statelessness.		
				possibilities by which stateless persons			
				can regularize their stay without their			
				statelessness being determined (pro-			
				ceed to Question 17a)?			
IDP	16	а	Stateless-	Is there a dedicated statelessness status	As above	There is no prescribed formal procedure to	Unpublished infor-
			ness sta-	even if no formal procedures exists for		identify stateless persons. According to data	mation given on mul-
			tus but	determining this? If there is a dedicated		from UNHCR in Serbia, based on information	tiple occasions by UN-
			unclear	status for stateless persons how are		from the Serbian Ministry of Interior from 2011,	HCR representatives
			mecha-	beneficiaries identified and what rights		a total of 155 persons have been determined to	and the Ministry of In-
			nism (if no	are attached to the status?		have the status of stateless persons in Serbia in	terior at conferences
			options	If there is no stateless status proceed to		an <i>ad hoc</i> procedure carried out by the Ministry	and other events.
			under	question 17a.		of Interior. According to unofficial data, all of	
			IDP1-15)	If yes, IDP section is complete. Proceed		these people were of Albanian origin. No recent	
				to questions on Detention.		cases of statelessness determination are known	
						and the procedure for determination of status is	
						unclear. Of those whose stateless status was	

determined, 146 were granted a permanent residence permit, and 9 a temporary residence permit. In accordance with Article 60 of the Law on Foreigners, all were issued with travel documents.

Domestic legal provisions explicitly mentioning

stateless persons are very rare. The Law on Foreigners prescribes that a travel document (valid for two years) for persons without citizenship is issued by a competent authority based on the place of permanent or temporary residence. The Law on Foreigners also prescribes that the 1954 Convention should be applied to stateless individuals, if this is more favorable for them. Some of the few laws that explicitly guarantee certain rights to stateless persons are the Labor Law that guarantees the right to work to stateless persons and the Law on Social Protection that prescribes that stateless persons can also be beneficiaries of social protection. Under the Law on Fundamentals of Education System, stateless persons shall be entitled to **education** (primary and secondary) under the same conditions and in the same manner as prescribed for Serbian citizens. Law on the Prohibition of Discrimination guarantees to stateless persons the right to initiate legal action for protection against discrimination. Facilitated naturalisation of stateless persons has not been envisaged. There is a risk that stateless persons would not be able to exercise these rights in practice, because of the lack of a formal statelessness determination procedure.

Law on foreigners,
Art. 60; Art. 2(2);
Labour Law, Art. 29;
Law on Social Protection, Art. 41(2.8); Art
41(3.5);
Law on Fundamentals
of Education System,
Art. 6(5);
Law on the Prohibition of Discrimination,
Art. 2(1.1-2).

Detention

Cat	Q	Sub	Subtheme	Question	International Norms / Good Practice	Answer	Source
DET	1	а	Detention	Are immigra-	• ICCPR Art 9 (1): Everyone has the right to liberty and secu-	Yes. As Immigration detention occurs in situ-	Law on Foreigners, Official
			screening	tion deten-	rity of person. No one shall be subjected to arbitrary arrest or	ations of illegal entry and/or in cases of de-	Gazette of the Republic of
				tion powers	detention. No one shall be deprived of his liberty except on	portation and extradition, it is mainly regu-	Serbia, No. 97/08 of 27 Oc-
				provided for	such grounds and in accordance with such procedure as are	lated by the Law on Foreigners, Law on State	tober 2008, available in
				in law?	established by law.	Border Protection and Law on Police.	English at: http://www.ref-
					• ECHR Art 5 (1): Everyone has the right to liberty and secu-		world.org/do-
					rity of person. No one shall be deprived of his liberty save in		cid/4b5d715a2.html
					the following cases and in accordance with a procedure pre-		Law on State Border Protec-
					scribed by law:		tion, Official Gazette of the
					(f) the lawful arrest or detention of a person to prevent his		Republic of Serbia. No.
					effecting an unauthorised entry into the country or of a person against whom action is being taken with a view to depor-		97/08 of 27 October 2008,
					tation or extradition.		available in English at:
					tation of extradition.		http://www.ref-
							world.org/do-
							cid/4b5d92582.html
							<u> </u>
							Law on Police, Official Ga-
							zette of the Republic of Ser-
							bia, No. 6/2016:
							https://www.par-
							agraf.rs/propisi/za-
							kon o policiji.html (S)
DET	1	b		In what cir-	• <u>ECHR</u> Art 5 (1)(f)	The Law on Foreigners prescribes that the	Articles 49 & 48, Law on
				cumstances		competent authority shall determine, by de-	Foreigners, Official Gazette
				does the law		cree of the Ministry, a stay in the Shelter for	of the Republic of Serbia,
				provide for		Foreigners under enhanced police supervision,	No. 97/08 of 27 October
				immigration		to a foreigner who cannot be expelled forcibly	2008, available in English at:
				detention?		and to a foreigner without determined iden-	http://www.ref-
				Does domes-		tity or who does not have travel documents,	world.org/do-
				tic law allow		as well as in other cases prescribed by the law.	cid/4b5d715a2.html
				immigration		Also, as an exception, if required for reasons of	Delevede Control for House
				detention for		security of forced removal, a foreigner may be	Belgrade Centre for Human
				purposes		detained on the premises of the competent	Rights, Human Rights in Ser-
				other than		authority, but not longer than 24 hours.	bia 2015, Law, Practice and

			+h.a	ose al-		Detention of a foreigner in this case shall be	International Human Rights
				wed under		subject to provisions of the Law on Police. Do-	Standards, Belgrade 2016,
				HR 5(1)(f)?		= -	р. 111-112:
			ECF	UK 2(1)(1);		mestic law does not allow immigration deten-	•
						tion for purposes other than those allowed un-	http://www.bgcen-
						der ECHR 5(1)(f), but there is data suggesting	tar.org.rs/bgcentar/eng-
						that in practice, a number of people were re-	lat/wp-content/up-
						ferred to the Shelter for Foreigners in 2015	loads/2013/04/Human-
						and 2016, pending their testimony in criminal	Rights-in-Serbia-2015.pdf
						proceedings against people reasonably sus-	
						pected of having committed the crime of illegal	Belgrade Centre for Human
						state border crossing and human smuggling or	Rights, Human Rights in Ser-
						the crime of human trafficking. As testimony in	bia 2016, Law, Practice and
						criminal proceedings is not laid down as a	International Human Rights
						ground for depriving foreigners of their liberty	Standards, Belgrade 2017,
						and their confinement in the Shelter for For-	p. 156: http://azil.rs/en/wp-
						eigners, the need to establish their identity un-	content/up-
						der the Law on Foreigners was quoted as the	loads/2017/04/Human-
						ground for depriving them of liberty. Testi-	Rights-in-Serbia-2016.pdf
						mony in criminal proceedings is not one of the	
						grounds for detention in law in Serbia, so	
						these people were deprived of liberty arbitrar-	
						ily and in contravention of the safeguards un-	
						der Article 5 ECHR. The period of their deten-	
						tion in the Shelter ranged from several days to	
						several weeks, depending on the efficiency of	
						public prosecutors and the time they needed	
						to hear their testimonies.	
DET	1	С	Doe	es a pro-	• ICCPR Art 7: No one shall be subjected to torture or to	According the Border Police Directorate (Min-	Response from Border Po-
				sed coun-	cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment. Re-	istry of Interior), a country of origin or a pro-	lice Directorate of Ministry
			•	of re-	peated attempts to expel a person to a country where	posed country of removal is identified before	of Interior to freedom of in-
			•	oval need	his/her well-being is not guaranteed and where he/she could	a person is detained for the purpose of re-	formation request, 03/8/61
				be identi-	be subject to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or pun-	moval. Nothing suggests that the situation is	No. GZ 06-124/17 issued on
				d before a	ishment or to a country that is refusing to admit the individ-	different in practice. A proposed country of	15 May 2017.
				rson is de-	ual in question could amount to inhuman or degrading treat-	removal is not always identified for persons	
				ned for	ment.	whose stay is cancelled and who are ordered	Ministry of Interior, Police
				e purpose	• ECHR Art 5 (1)(f)	to leave the country. For example, the Police	Directorate, Police Depart-
				removal?	COM AIT 3 (1)(I)	Department in Subotica issued a decision by	ment in Subotica, Decision
				ease		which a foreigner was obliged to leave Serbia	no. 26-30-330/2014 from
			Piec	ase		willer a foreigner was obliged to leave Serbia	110. 20-30-330/2014 110111

DET 1 d	describe the situation in law and in practice.	 Auad v Bulgaria [2011] Application no 46390/10 (ECtHR): the only issue is whether or not the authorities were sufficiently diligent in their efforts to deport the applicant. EU Returns Directive: Any detention shall be for as short a period as possible and only maintained as long as removal arrangements are in progress and executed with due diligence. ECRE, Point of No Return: The Futile Detention of Unreturnable Migrants, 2014: Once un-returnability is established, migrants should not be detained. 	within 10 days, without any determination as to where he could go, and, in fact, there was no country that could admit him. In this case, the country of removal was not identified for the purpose of removal, but neither was he ever detained for the purpose of removal. No, there is no legal regulation that provides	26/03/2014, on file with author. Art. 50(4) & Art. 3(1.1), Law
	ness a juridically relevant fact in any decision to detain (in practice and in law)? If so, at what point(s) is a risk of statelessness identified? Is referral to an SDP possible within the detention regime?	 Auad v Bulgaria [2011] Application no 46390/10 (ECtHR): as above. UNHCR (2014), Handbook on Protection of Stateless Persons: Routine detention of individuals seeking protection on the grounds of statelessness is arbitrary For stateless persons, the absence of status determination procedures to verify identity or nationality can lead to prolonged or indefinite detention. SDPs are therefore an important mechanism to reduce the risk of prolonged and/or arbitrary detention. Equal Rights Trust (ERT) (2012), Guidelines to Protect Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detention: Guideline 13 – states must identify stateless persons within their territory or subject to their jurisdiction as a first step towards ensuring the protection of their human rights. International Commission of Jurists, Migration and International Human Rights Law: a Practitioner's Guide 2014: the detention of stateless persons can never be justified when there is 'no active or realistic progress towards transfer to another State'. 	for specific guarantees for the protection of stateless persons from removal and/or detention. The Law on Foreigners states that a foreigner shall be released from the Shelter, if it is reasonably expected that s/he shall not be able to be expelled forcibly, provided that his/her identity is verified. According to the Border Police Directorate of Ministry of Interior, "the Law on Foreigners stipulates that a foreigner is every person who does not have citizenship of the Republic of Serbia and the fact that a person is stateless is not relevant for the decision to detain, i.e. to determine a stay in the Shelter for Foreigners. But, if it is established that a person is unremovable, he/she will be released from the Shelter." The risk of statelessness is identified after establishing that a person is unremovable. According to available reports, if a person's identity is not determined or she/he cannot be deported despite the fact that her/his identity is established, a person will be released after the expiry of the maximum period for immigration detention. There is no formal SDP procedure/no referral to SDP within the detention regime.	on Foreigners, Official Gazette of the Republic of Serbia, No. 97/08 of 27 October 2008, available in English at: http://www.ref-world.org/do-cid/4b5d715a2.html Response from Border Police Directorate of Ministry of Interior to freedom of information request, 03/8/61 No. GZ 06-124/17 issued on 15 May 2017. Group 484, Challenges of forced migration in Serbia: the state of human rights of asylum seekers and returnees based on the Readmission Agreement, Belgrade, July 2012, p. 11: http://grupa484.org.rs/wp-content/up-loads/2015/09/Challenges%20of%20Forced%20 Migrations%20in%20Serbia,%202012.pdf

	1	e	Are stateless persons detained in practice? Please provide figures and source	 Auad v Bulgaria [2011] Application no 46390/10 (ECtHR): as above. UNHCR (2014), Handbook on Protection of Stateless Persons: as above. Equal Rights Trust (ERT) (2012), Guidelines to Protect Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detention: as above. International Commission of Jurists, Migration and Interna- 	In 2016 and 2017 stateless persons (and persons with undetermined or unknown citizenship) were not detained in practice. According to the response of the Border Police Directorate of the Ministry of Interior, the persons mentioned in POP 2 b were released under Article 50(4) of the Law on Foreigners	Response from Border Police Directorate of Ministry of Interior to freedom of information request, 03/8/61 No. GZ 06-124/17 from 15 May 2017
			of information if available.	tional Human Rights Law: a Practitioner's Guide 2014: as above.	which prescribes: "A foreigner with a verified identity shall be released from the Shelter, if it is reasonably expected that s/he shall not be able to be expelled forcibly". Since the Border Police Directorate answered that there were no stateless persons in detention center in that period, these persons were apparently unremovable because of some other rea-	Group 484, Challenges of forced migration in Serbia: the state of human rights of asylum seekers and returnees based on the Readmission Agreement, Belgrade, July 2012, p. 11: http://grupa484.org.rs/wp-
					sons, such as the non-refoulment principle, and not because of their statelessness. Available reports suggest that in practice persons from Afghanistan, for instance, are always considered to be unremovable and that deportation is not conducted for persons originating from countries without diplomatic mission in Serbia	content/up- loads/2015/09/Chal- lenges%20of%20Forced%20 Migrations%20in%20Ser- bia,%202012.pdf APC/Asylum Protection Center, Nadležnosti I Praksa U Azilnom Sistemu Srbije (Competence and Practice in the Asylum System in Serbia), p. 22: http://www.apc-cza.org/im- ages/publikacije/An- nex%201-Responsibili- ties%20broshure.pdf (S)
DET	1	f	Does law (and/or policy) provide that immigration detention should be	• UNHCR (2014), Handbook on Protection of Stateless Persons: Detention is therefore a measure of last resort and can only be justified where other less invasive or coercive measures have been considered and found insufficient to safeguard the lawful governmental objective pursued by detention.	According to the Border Police Directorate of Ministry of Interior, detention (stay in the Shelter for Foreigners) is only one of the possible measures and only a last resort. Their answer is in accordance with the Law on Foreigners which established another measure besides detention – a travel restriction order	Response from Border Police Directorate of Ministry of Interior to freedom of information request, 03/8/61 No. GZ 06-124/17 issued on 15 May 2017.

			used only as a last resort, after all alternatives to detention have been exhausted?	• EU Returns Directive: Art 15(1) Unless other sufficient but less coercive measures can be applied effectively in a specific case, Member States may only keep in detention a third-country national who is the subject of return procedures in order to prepare the return and/or carry out the removal process.	requiring compulsory stay in a specific place (Law on Foreigners, Art. 54-55). However, the law does not state explicitly that this measure must be considered prior to any decision to detain. Even though the law does not explicitly provide that immigration detention should be used only as a last resort, such rule is established in practice (according to the answer of competent body).	Art. 54-55, Law on Foreigners, Official Gazette of the Republic of Serbia, No. 97/08 of 27 October 2008, available in English at: http://www.ref-world.org/do-cid/4b5d715a2.html
DET	1	h	Are individual vulnerability assessments carried out before a decision to detain (or shortly thereafter), and are stateless persons defined as a vulnerable group?	 ENS (2015) Protecting Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detention: a regional toolkit for practitioners: Arbitrary and disproportionately lengthy detention can ensue when the particular vulnerabilities of stateless persons are not understood and addressed EU Returns Directive: Art 16(3) Particular attention shall be paid to the situation of vulnerable persons UNHCR (2012), Guidelines on Applicable Criteria and Standards relating to the Detention of Asylum-Seekers and Alternatives to Detention: The special circumstances and needs of particular asylum-seekers must be taken into account Council of the European Union Guidelines to Promote and Protect the Enjoyment of All Human Rights by Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and Intersex (LGBTI) Persons 2013: European entities should assess the situation of LGBTI persons in detention 	Stateless persons are not defined as a vulnerable group in the law, but there is a provision on treatment of persons with special needs. Throughout the removal procedure, the competent authority shall take into consideration the specific situation of a foreigner belonging to a group of persons with special needs, such as: minors, persons fully or partially deprived of their legal capacity, children separated from their parents or legal guardians, persons with disabilities, elderly persons, pregnant women, single parents with underage children and persons who have been subjected to torture, rape or other grave forms of psychological, physical or sexual violence. During the course of the execution of official actions in respect of these groups, the competent authority is obliged to act in conformity with regulations governing the status of persons with special needs and with international treaties.	Art. 58 & 49(2), Law on Foreigners, Official Gazette of the Republic of Serbia, No. 97/08 of 27 October 2008, available in English at: http://www.ref-world.org/do-cid/4b5d715a2.html
DET	1	i	Are there measures to protect stateless persons scheduled for deportation because	OHCHR, Administrative Detention of Migrants: [detention] should last only for the time necessary for the deportation/expulsion to become effective. Mikolenko v. Estonia, Application no. 10664/05, 8 October 2009 (ECHR): Detention is justified as long as "deportation proceedings are being conducted" and these proceedings must be carried out with due diligence when expulsion becomes impossible, the continuation of detention "cannot be	There is only a provision in the Law on Foreigners stipulating that foreigners whose identity is established shall be released from the Shelter for Foreigners if there is no reasonable prospect for removal. Attempts were made to determine the position of persons convicted of violating the Law on State Border Protection, but no reliable information was	Art. 50(4), Law on Foreigners, Official Gazette of the Republic of Serbia, No. 97/08 of 27 October 2008, available in English at: http://www.ref-world.org/do-cid/4b5d715a2.html

				of criminal records from arbitrary de- tention?	said to have been effected with a view to his deportation as this was no longer feasible."	available about what happened to them after release and their treatment may be very different in practice. A number of them were released with certificates confirming their conviction, while others were escorted to the Shelter for Foreigners. However, if it is established that persons are unremovable and that the maximum detention period already expired, they will be released.	Group 484, Challenges of forced migration in Serbia: the state of human rights of asylum seekers and returnees based on the Readmission Agreement, Belgrade, July 2012, p. 10-11: http://grupa484.org.rs/wpcontent/uploads/2015/09/Challenges%20of%20Forced%20 Migrations%20in%20Serbia,%202012.pdf
DET	2	а	Alternatives to immigration detention	Does the country have alternatives to detention which individuals are considered for prior to any decision to detain? Are alternatives to detention established in law? Are they subject to a statutory time limit and periodic reviews of their necessity and proportionality?	 ICCPR Art 9 FKAG v Australia (HRC): Any decision relating to detention must take into account less invasive means of achieving the same ends UN General Assembly Resolution on the protection of migrants 63/184 2009: Calls upon all States to adopt, where applicable, alternative measures to detention. UNHCR (2014), Handbook on Protection of Stateless Persons: Detentioncan only be justified where other less invasive or coercive measures have been considered and found insufficient Alternatives to detentionare part of any assessment of the necessity and proportionality of detention. UNHCR (2012), Guidelines on Applicable Criteria and Standards relating to the Detention of Asylum-Seekers and Alternatives to Detention: alternatives to detention refers to any legislation, policy or practice that allows asylum-seekers to reside in the community subject to a number of conditions or restrictions on their freedom of movement and since they can involve restrictions on movement of liberty they are bound by human right standards. Human Rights Council (HRC), Report of the Special Rapporteur on the human rights of migrants, François Crépeau (2012) A/HRC/20/24: Alternatives to detention should not become alternatives to unconditional release [] the obligation to always consider alternatives to detention (non- 	It is established in the Law on Foreigners (Art. 54) that the competent authority may issue a decision imposing a travel restriction order requiring stay in a particular place (compulsory stay) on a foreigner whose identity is known, who has residence and means of subsistence and who cannot be forcibly removed immediately. A foreigner subject to compulsory stay is obliged to remain at a particular address and to regularly report to the nearest competent authority. A time limit of 180 days is prescribed by law. The right to appeal is guaranteed. By Law, a compulsory stay terminates by cancellation of the decision by the competent authority if the reasons for compulsory stay cease to exist. Therefore, it is implicitly prescribed that the competent authority examines if there is still a need for this measure. There is also a measure of temporary withdrawal of travel documents.	Art. 54-55 & 57, Law on Foreigners, Official Gazette of the Republic of Serbia, No. 97/08 of 27 October 2008, available in English at: http://www.ref-world.org/do-cid/4b5d715a2.html Source from PRAXIS practice: Response from Border Police Directorate of Ministry of Interior to freedom of information request, 03/8/61 No. GZ 06-124/17 issued on 15 May 2017.

			Т			
				custodial measures) before resorting to detention should be		
				established by law.		
				• Council of Europe (2005), Twenty Guidelines of the Com-		
				mittee of Ministers of Europe on Forced Return: After a		
				careful examination of the necessity of deprivation of liberty		
				in each individual case, the authorities of the host state have		
				concluded that compliance with the removal order cannot be		
				ensured as effectively by resorting to non-custodial measures		
				such as supervision systems, the requirement to report regu-		
				larly to the authorities, bail or other guarantee systems.		
				• EU Returns Directive: Art 15(1) Unless other sufficient but		
				less coercive measures can be applied effectively in a specific		
				case, Member States may only keep in detention a third-		
				country national who is the subject of return procedures in		
				order to prepare the return and/or carry out the removal		
				process.		
				• Equal Rights Trust (ERT) (2012), Guidelines to Protect State-		
				less Persons from Arbitrary Detention: (31)states have an		
				obligation in the first instance to consider and apply appro-		
				priate and viable alternatives to immigration detention that		
				are less coercive and intrusive than detention, ensure the		
				greatest possible freedom of movement and that respect the		
				human rights of the individual.		
				• International Detention Coalition (2015), There Are Alter-		
				natives: A handbook for preventing unnecessary immigration		
				<u>detention (revised edition):</u> immigration detention should be		
				used only as a last resort in exceptional cases after all other		
				options have been shown to be inadequate in the individual		
				case.		
DET	2	b	Is there evi-	As above	No.	
			dence that			
			immigration			
			detention is			
			used in prac-			
			tice prior to			
			all alterna-			
			tives being			
			considered?			

law/policy provide that the Protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or Imprisonment, Resolution A/RES/43/173: Anyone who is ar- the Protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or Imprisonment, Resolution A/RES/43/173: Anyone who is ar- termined on the basis of a decree by the Ministry of Interior, i.e. written decision. According public of Serbia, No. 97/08					Dloaco sito			
DET 3 a Procedural states a safeguards Is there a safeguards Is there a safeguards Is there a safeguards Is the period for immigration detention to the Human Rights Council (HRC) (2010), Report of the UN Working Group on Arbitrary Detention to the Human Rights Council (13th Session, A/HRC/13/30, a maximum period of detention must be established by law and upon expliry of this period the detainee must be automatically released. • UNHCR (2012), Guidelines on Applicable Criteria and Standards relating to the Detention of Asylum-Seekers and Alternatives to Detention: to guard against arbitrariness, maximum periods of detention must be to submissed of detention and the service of the detainee must be automatically released. • UNHCR (2012), Guidelines on Applicable Criteria and Standards relating to the Detention of Asylum-Seekers and Alternatives to Detention: to guard against arbitrariness, maximum periods of detention should be set in national legislation. • EU Returns Directive: Art 15(5) Each Member State shall set a limited period of detention, which may not exceed six months (extendable by 12 months in specific circumstances of the detainee refusing to cooperate with removal proceedings or delays in obtaining documentation from third countries). • EINS (2015) Protecting Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detention: a regional toolkif for practitions is related by the states clearly specify a reasonable maximum time limit. Under no circumstances should indefinite detention be tolerated. • Equal Rights Trust (ERT) (2012), Guidelines to Protect Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detention: Guidelines 39 Detention: It is highly desirable that states do not detain stateless persons for more than six months. States which at present have a lower than six months. States which at present have a lower than six months. States which at present have a lower than six months. States which at present have a lower than six months. States which at present have a lower than six months. States which at present have a lower than								
DET 3 a Procedural Is there a maximum time period for immigration deternation set out in the law? Working Group on Arbitrary Deternition to the Human Rights Council (HRC (2010), Report of the UN Working Group on Arbitrary Deternition to the Human Rights Council (13th Session, A/RHCA[7]30]—a maximum period of the Republic of Serbia, No. 97/08 of 27 October 2008, available to the law? What is it? **UNHCR (2012), Guidelines on Applicable Criteria and Standards relating to the Detention of Asylum-Seekers and Alterands and Asylum and Proceedings or delays in obtaining documentation from third countries). **Elektrans Directive: Art 15(5) Each Member State shall set a limited period of detention, which may not exceed ix months (extendable by 12 months in specific circumstances of the detained and the proceedings or delays in obtaining documentation from third countries). **ENS (2015) Protecting Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detention: a regional toolkif for practitioners. It is desirable that states clarify specify a reasonable maximum time limit. Under no circumstances should indefinite detention be tolerated. **Equal Rights Trust (ERT) (2012), Guidelines to Protect Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detention. Guideline 39 Detention should always be for the shortest time possible. There should be a reasonable maximum time limit for detention are urged to to increase it, and all states are urged to review and reduce their maximum time limit for detention are urged to to increase it, and all states are urged to review and reduce their maximum time limit fo								
maximum time period for immigration detention to the Human Rights Council, 13th Session, A/HRC/13/30; a maximum period of detention must be established by law and upon expiry of this period the detainee must be automatically released. **UNHCR (2012), Guidelines on Applicable Criteria and Standards relating to the Detention of Asylum-Seekers and Alternatives to Detention; to guard against arbitrariness, maximum periods of detention should be set in national legislation. **EuReturns Directive_Art 15(5) Each Member State shall set al limited period of detention, which may not exceed six months (extendable by 12 months in specific circumstances of the detainee refusing to cooperate with removal proceedings or delays in obtaining documentation from third countries). **England by 12 months in specific circumstances of the detainee refusing to cooperate with removal proceedings or delays in obtaining documentation from third countries). **England by 12 months in specific circumstances of the detainee refusing to cooperate with removal proceedings or delays in obtaining documentation from third countries). **England by 12 months in specific circumstances of the detainee refusing to cooperate with removal proceedings or delays in obtaining documentation from third countries). **England by 12 months in specific circumstances of the detainee refusing to cooperate with removal proceedings or delays in obtaining documentation from third countries). **ENS (2015) Protecting Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detentions of the detainee refusing to cooperate with removal proceedings or delays in obtaining documentation from third countries). **England by 12 months in specific circumstances of the detainee refusing to cooperate with removal proceedings or delays in obtaining documentation from third countries). **England by 12 months in specific circumstances of the detainee refusing to cooperate with removal proceedings or delays in obtaining documentation from third countries). **England by 13 months in specific circum					•			
time period for immigration detention must be established by law and upon expiry of this period the detainem sust be automatically released. UMHCR (2012), Guidelines on Applicable Criteria and Standards (2013), Guidelines on Applicable Criteria and Standards (2013), Guidelines on Applicable Criteria and Standards (2014), Guidelines (2014), Guidel	DET	3	а					
detention must be established by law and upon expiry of this period the detaine must be automatically released. UNINCE (2012), Guidelines on Applicable Criteria and Standards relating to the Detention in Congress and Internatives to Detention in Congress and Internatives and Interna				safeguards			tion center shall not exceed 180 days.	
ble in English at:					•	Council, 13th Session, A/HRC/13/30: a maximum period of		· ·
• UNHCR (2012), Guidelines on Applicable Criteria and Standards relating to the Detention of Asylum-Seekers and Alterative to Detention to 16 yeard against arbitrariness, maximum periods of detention should be set in national legislation. • EU Returns Directive: Art 15(5) Each Member State shall set a limited period of detention, which may not exceed six months (extendable by 12 months in specific circumstances of the detainee refusing to cooperate with removal proceedings or delays in obtaining documentation from third countries). • ENS (2015) Protecting Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detention: a regional toolkit for practitioners; it is desirable that states clearly specify a reasonable maximum time limit. Under no circumstances should indefinite detention be tolerated. • Equal Rights Trust (ERT) (2012), Guidelines to Protect Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detention: Guideline 39 Detention should always be for the shortest time possible. There should be a reasonable maximum time-limit for detention. It is highly desirable that states do not detain stateless persons for more than six months. States which at present have a lower than six months. States which at present have a lower than six-month maximum time-limit for detention are urged not to increase it, and all states are urged to review and reduce their maximum time limit for detention. DET 3 h Does law/policy • UN General Assembly (UNGA) (1988), Body of Principles for the Protection of AII Persons under Any Form of Detention or Imprisonment, Resolution A/RES/34/1731; Anynowe hos is arrivaged in the basis of a decree by the Miniprisonment, Resolution A/RES/34/1731; Anynowe hos is arrivaged in the basis of a decree by the Miniprisonment, Resolution A/RES/34/1731; Anynowe hos is arrivaged in the protection of AII Persons under Any Form of Detention or Imprisonment, Resolution A/RES/34/1731; Anynowe hos is arrivaged in the basis of a decree by the Miniprisonment, Resolution A/RES/34/1731; Anynowe hos is arrivaged in the basis of a decree by the Min					for immigra-	detention must be established by law and upon expiry of this		-
in the law? What is it? wards relating to the Detention of Asylum-Seekers and Alternatives to Detention: to guard against arbitrariness, maximum periods of detention is object to be set in national legislation. • EU Returns Directive: Art 15(5) Each Member State shall set a limited period of detention, which may not exceed six months (extendable by 12 months in specific circumstances of the detainee refusing to cooperate with removal proceedings or delays in obtaining documentation from third countries). • ENS (2015) Protecting Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detention: a regional toolkit for practitioners: it is desirable that states clearly specify a reasonable maximum time limit. Under no circumstances should indefinite detention be tolerated. • Equal Rights Trust (ERT) (2012), Guidelines to Protect Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detention: Guideline 39 Detentions should always be for the shortest time possible. There should be a reasonable maximum time-limit for detention. It is highly desirable that states do not detain stateless persons for more than six months. States which at present have a lower than six-month maximum time-limit for detention are urged not to increase it, and all states are urged to review and reduce their maximum time limit for detention. DET 3 h Does Does LW General Assembly (UMGA) (1988), Body of Principles for the Protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or the Protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or time for the remined on the basis of a decree by the Ministry of Interior, i.e. written decision. According to the provise of the Reposition of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or Strong Principles for the Protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or Strong Principles for the Protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or Strong Principles for the Protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or Strong Principles for the Protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or Strong Principles for the Pro					tion deten-	period the detainee must be automatically released.		_
world.org/do- marker to Detention of Asylum-Seekers and Alter- natives to Detention: to guard against arbitrariness, maxi- mum periods of detention should be set in national legisla- tion. • EU Returns Directive: Art 15(5) Each Member State shall set a limited period of detention, which may not exceed six months (extendable by 12 months in specific circumstances of the detainee refusing to cooperate with removal proceed- ings or delays in obtaining documentation from third coun- tries). • ENS (2015) Protecting Stateless Persons from Arbitrary De- tention: a regional toolkit for practitioners; it is desirable that states clearly specify a reasonable maximum time limit. Un- der no circumstances should indefinite detention be toler- ated. • Equal Rights Trust (ERT) (2012), Guidelines to Protect State- less Persons from Arbitrary Detention: Guideline 39 Deten- tion should always be for the shortest time possible. There should be a reasonable maximum time-limit for detention. It is highly desirable that states do not detain stateless persons for more than six months. States which at present have a lower than six-month maximum time-limit for detention are urged not to increase it, and all states are urged to review and reduce their maximum time-limit for detention. • UN General Assembly (UNGA) (1988), Body of Principles for the Protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or the Protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention is try of Interior, i.e. written decision. Accord- termined on the basis of a decree by the Min- listry of Interior, i.e. written decision. Accord- termined on the basis of a decree by the Min- listry of Interior, i.e. written decision. Accord- termined on the basis of a decree by the Min- listry of Interior, i.e. written decision. Accord- termined on the basis of a decree by the Min- listry of Interior, i.e. written decision. Accord- termined on the basis of a decree by the Min- listry of Interior, i.e. written decision. Accord- termined on the basis of a decree by the Min- l						• UNHCR (2012), Guidelines on Applicable Criteria and Stand-		
What is it?					in the law?			world.org/do-
mum periods of detention should be set in national legislation. • EU Returns Directive: Art 15(5) Each Member State shall set a limited period of detention, which may not exceed six months (extendable by 12 months in specific circumstances of the detainee refusing to cooperate with removal proceedings or delays in obtaining documentation from third countries). • ENS (2015) Protecting Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detention: a regional toolkit for practitioners: it is desirable that states clearly specify a reasonable maximum time limit. Under no circumstances should indefinite detention be tolerated. • Equal Rights Trust (ERT) (2012), Guidelines to Protect Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detention: Guideline 39 Detention should always be for the shortest time possible. There should be a reasonable maximum time-limit for detention. It is highly desirable that states do not detain stateless persons for more than six months. States which at present have a lower than six-month maximum time-limit for detention are urged not to increase it, and all states are urged to review and reduce their maximum time limit for detention. DET 3 h Does law/policy provide that protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or template for the Protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or template for the Protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or istry of Interior, i.e. written decision. Accordificial Gazette of the Republic of Serbia, No. 97/08					What is it?			cid/4b5d715a2.html
tion. • EU Returns Directive: Art 15(5) Each Member State shall set a limited period of detention, which may not exceed six months (extendable by 12 months in specific circumstances of the detainee refusing to cooperate with removal proceedings or delays in obtaining documentation from third countries). • ENS (2015) Protecting Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detention: a regional toolkit for practitioners: it is desirable that states clearly specify a reasonable maximum time limit. Under no circumstances should indefinite detention be tolerated. • Equal Rights Trust (ERT) (2012), Guidelines to Protect Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detention: Guideline 39 Detention should always be for the shortest time possible. There shoulds are reasonable maximum time-limit for detention. It is highly desirable that states do not detain stateless persons for more than six-month maximum time-limit for detention are urged not to increase it, and all states are urged to treive and reduce their maximum time-limit for detention. DET 3 h Does Does Sum/policy Does Sum/policy Sum/policy Sum/policy Protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or limited in the basis of a decree by the Minlers of Serbia, No. 97/08 Sum/policy Sum/polic								
EU Returns Directive: Art 15(5) Each Member State shall set a limited period of detention, which may not exceed six months (extendable by 12 months in specific circumstances of the detainee refusing to cooperate with removal proceedings or delays in obtaining documentation from third countries). ENS (2015) Protecting Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detention: a regional toolkit for practitioners: It is desirable that states clearly specify a reasonable maximum time limit. Under no circumstances should indefinite detention be tolerated. Equal Rights Trust (ERT) (2012), Guidelines to Protect Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detention: Guideline 39 Detention should always be for the shortest time possible. There should be a reasonable maximum time-limit for detention. It is highly desirable that states do not detail stateless persons for more than six-month maximum time-limit for detention are urged not to increase it, and all states are urged to review and reduce their maximum time limit for detention. DET 3 h Does UN General Assembly (UNGA) (1988), Body of Principles for the Protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or imprisonment, Resolution A/RES/43/173. Anyone who is arrived for the protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention is try of Interior, i.e. written decision. According the public of Serbia, No. 97/08						<u> </u>		
set a limited period of detention, which may not exceed six months (extendable by 12 months in specific circumstances of the detainee refusing to cooperate with removal proceedings or delays in obtaining documentation from third countries). • ENS (2015) Protecting Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detention: a regional toolkit for practitioners: It is desirable that states clearly specify a reasonable maximum time limit. Under no circumstances should indefinite detention be tolerated. • Equal Rights Trust (ERT) (2012), Guidelines to Protect Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detention: Guideline 39 Detention should always be for the shortest time possible. There should be a reasonable maximum time-limit for detention. It is highly desirable that states do not detain stateless persons for more than six months. States which at present have a lower than six-month maximum time-limit for detention are urged not to increase it, and all states are urged to review and reduce their maximum time limit for detention. DET 3 h Does N General Assembly (UNGA) (1988), Body of Principles for the Protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or Imprisonment, Resolution A/RES/43/173. Anyone who is arbitrary of Interior, i.e. written decision. According for Serbia, No. 97/08 public of Serbia, No. 97/08 public of Serbia, No. 97/08								
months (extendable by 12 months in specific circumstances of the detainee refusing to cooperate with removal proceedings or delays in obtaining documentation from third countries). • ENS (2015) Protecting Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detention: a regional toolkit for practitioners: It is desirable that states clearly specify a reasonable maximum time limit. Under no circumstances should indefinite detention be tolerated. • Equal Rights Trust (ERT) (2012), Guidelines to Protect Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detention: Guideline 39 Detention should always be for the shortest time possible. There should be a reasonable maximum time-limit for detention. It is highly desirable that states do not detain stateless persons for more than six months. States which at present have a lower than six-month maximum time-limit for detention are urged not to increase it, and all states are urged to review and reduce their maximum time limit for detention. DET 3 h Does Does W. General Assembly (UNGA) (1988), Body of Principles for the Protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or Imprisonment, Resolution A/RES/43/173: Anyone who is ar-								
of the detainee refusing to cooperate with removal proceedings or delays in obtaining documentation from third countries). • ENS (2015) Protecting Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detention: a regional toolkit for practitioners: It is desirable that states clearly specify a reasonable maximum time limit. Under no circumstances should indefinite detention be tolerated. • Equal Rights Trust (ERT) (2012), Guidelines to Protect Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detention: Guideline 39 Detention should always be for the shortest time possible. There should be a reasonable maximum time-limit for detention. It is highly desirable that states do not detain stateless persons for more than six months. States which at present have a lower than six-month maximum time-limit for detention are urged not to increase it, and all states are urged to review and reduce their maximum time limit for detention. DET 3 h Does UN General Assembly (UNGA) (1988), Body of Principles for the Protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or Imprisonment, Resolution A/RES/43/173. Anyone who is arbitrary interior, i.e. written decision. Accordition of Serbia, No. 97/08								
ings or delays in obtaining documentation from third countries). • ENS (2015) Protecting Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detention: a regional toolkit for practitioners: It is desirable that states clearly specify a reasonable maximum time limit. Under no circumstances should indefinite detention be tolerated. • Equal Rights Trust (ERT) (2012), Guidelines to Protect State-less Persons from Arbitrary Detention: Guideline 39 Detention should always be for the shortest time possible. There should be a reasonable maximum time-limit for detention. It is highly desirable that states do not detain stateless persons for more than six months. States which at present have a lower than six-month maximum time-limit for detention are urged not to increase it, and all states are urged to review and reduce their maximum time limit for detention. DET 3 h Does law/policy provide that • UN General Assembly (UNGA) (1988), Body of Principles for the Protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or Imprisonment, Resolution A/RES/43/173: Anyone who is ar-introduced in the protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or Imprisonment, Resolution A/RES/43/173: Anyone who is ar-introduced in the protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or Imprisonment, Resolution A/RES/43/173: Anyone who is ar-introduced in the protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or Imprisonment, Resolution A/RES/43/173: Anyone who is ar-introduced in the protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or Imprisonment, Resolution A/RES/43/173: Anyone who is ar-introduced in the protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or Introduced in the Persons under Any Form of Detention or Introduced in the Persons under Any Form of Detention or Introduced in the Persons under Any Form of Detention or Introduced in the Persons under Any Form of Detention or Introduced in the Persons under Any Form of Detention or Introduced in the Persons under Any Form of Detention or Introduced in the Persons under Any Form o								
tries). • ENS (2015) Protecting Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detention: a regional toolkit for practitioners: It is desirable that states clearly specify a reasonable maximum time limit. Under no circumstances should indefinite detention be tolerated. • Equal Rights Trust (ERT) (2012), Guidelines to Protect Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detention: Guideline 39 Detention should always be for the shortest time possible. There should be a reasonable maximum time-limit for detention. It is highly desirable that states do not detain stateless persons for more than six months. States which at present have a lower than six-month maximum time-limit for detention are urged not to increase it, and all states are urged to review and reduce their maximum time limit for detention. DET 3 h Does UN General Assembly (UNGA) (1988), Body of Principles for the Protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or Imprisonment, Resolution A/RES/43/173: Anyone who is ar- UN General Assembly (UNGA) (1988), Body of Principles for the Protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or Imprisonment, Resolution A/RES/43/173: Anyone who is ar-								
ENS (2015) Protecting Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detention: a regional toolkit for practitioners: It is desirable that states clearly specify a reasonable maximum time limit. Under no circumstances should indefinite detention be tolerated. Equal Rights Trust (ERT) (2012), Guidelines to Protect Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detention: Guideline 39 Detention should always be for the shortest time possible. There should be a reasonable maximum time-limit for detention. It is highly desirable that states do not detain stateless persons for more than six months. States which at present have a lower than six-month maximum time-limit for detention are urged not to increase it, and all states are urged to review and reduce their maximum time limit for detention. DET 3 h Does law/policy provide that OUN General Assembly (UNGA) (1988), Body of Principles for the Protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or Imprisonment, Resolution A/RES/43/173: Anyone who is arbitrary of Interior, i.e. written decision. According the production of Serbia, No. 97/08								
tention: a regional toolkit for practitioners: It is desirable that states clearly specify a reasonable maximum time limit. Under no circumstances should indefinite detention be tolerated. • Equal Rights Trust (ERT) (2012), Guidelines to Protect State-less Persons from Arbitrary Detention: Guideline 39 Detention should always be for the shortest time possible. There should be a reasonable maximum time-limit for detention. It is highly desirable that states do not detain stateless persons for more than six months. States which at present have a lower than six-month maximum time-limit for detention are urged not to increase it, and all states are urged to review and reduce their maximum time limit for detention. DET 3 h Does law/policy provide that • UN General Assembly (UNGA) (1988), Body of Principles for the Protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or Imprisonment, Resolution A/RES/43/173: Anyone who is ar-								
states clearly specify a reasonable maximum time limit. Under no circumstances should indefinite detention be tolerated. • Equal Rights Trust (ERT) (2012), Guidelines to Protect Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detention: Guideline 39 Detention should always be for the shortest time possible. There should be a reasonable maximum time-limit for detention. It is highly desirable that states do not detain stateless persons for more than six months. States which at present have a lower than six-month maximum time-limit for detention are urged not to increase it, and all states are urged to review and reduce their maximum time limit for detention. DET 3 h Does law/policy provide that - UN General Assembly (UNGA) (1988), Body of Principles for the Protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or Imprisonment, Resolution A/RES/43/173: Anyone who is ar- Art. 7(1), Law on Foreigner termined on the basis of a decree by the Ministry of Interior, i.e. written decision. Accord- Possible Principles for the Protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or Imprisonment, Resolution A/RES/43/173: Anyone who is ar-								
der no circumstances should indefinite detention be tolerated. • Equal Rights Trust (ERT) (2012), Guidelines to Protect Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detention: Guideline 39 Detention should always be for the shortest time possible. There should be a reasonable maximum time-limit for detention. It is highly desirable that states do not detain stateless persons for more than six months. States which at present have a lower than six-month maximum time-limit for detention are urged not to increase it, and all states are urged to review and reduce their maximum time limit for detention. DET 3 h Does law/policy provide that Does law/policy provide that Does law/policy provide that Does law/policy provide that								
ated. • Equal Rights Trust (ERT) (2012), Guidelines to Protect State-less Persons from Arbitrary Detention: Guideline 39 Detention should always be for the shortest time possible. There should be a reasonable maximum time-limit for detention. It is highly desirable that states do not detain stateless persons for more than six months. States which at present have a lower than six-month maximum time-limit for detention are urged not to increase it, and all states are urged to review and reduce their maximum time limit for detention. DET 3 h Does law/policy provide that • UN General Assembly (UNGA) (1988), Body of Principles for the Protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or Imprisonment, Resolution A/RES/43/173: Anyone who is ar-istry of Interior, i.e. written decision. Accord-istry of Interior, i.e. written decision.								
Equal Rights Trust (ERT) (2012), Guidelines to Protect State-less Persons from Arbitrary Detention: Guideline 39 Detention should always be for the shortest time possible. There should be a reasonable maximum time-limit for detention. It is highly desirable that states do not detain stateless persons for more than six months. States which at present have a lower than six-month maximum time-limit for detention are urged not to increase it, and all states are urged to review and reduce their maximum time limit for detention. DET 3 h Does law/policy provide that Does law/policy provide that UN General Assembly (UNGA) (1988), Body of Principles for the Protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or Imprisonment, Resolution A/RES/43/173: Anyone who is arbitrary of Interior, i.e. written decision. According the protection of Serbia, No. 97/08								
Less Persons from Arbitrary Detention: Guideline 39 Detention should always be for the shortest time possible. There should be a reasonable maximum time-limit for detention. It is highly desirable that states do not detain stateless persons for more than six months. States which at present have a lower than six-month maximum time-limit for detention are urged not to increase it, and all states are urged to review and reduce their maximum time limit for detention. DET 3 h Does law/policy provide that Does law/policy provide that law provide that base are urged to review and reduce their maximum time-limit for detention are urged to review and reduce their maximum time-limit for detention are urged to review and reduce their maximum time-limit for detention are urged to review and reduce their maximum time-limit for detention are urged to review and reduce their maximum time-limit for detention are urged to review and reduce their maximum time-limit for detention are urged to re								
tion should always be for the shortest time possible. There should be a reasonable maximum time-limit for detention. It is highly desirable that states do not detain stateless persons for more than six months. States which at present have a lower than six-month maximum time-limit for detention are urged not to increase it, and all states are urged to review and reduce their maximum time limit for detention. DET 3 h Does UN General Assembly (UNGA) (1988), Body of Principles for the Protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or Imprisonment, Resolution A/RES/43/173: Anyone who is ar-istry of Interior, i.e. written decision. According to the protection of Serbia, No. 97/08								
should be a reasonable maximum time-limit for detention. It is highly desirable that states do not detain stateless persons for more than six months. States which at present have a lower than six-month maximum time-limit for detention are urged not to increase it, and all states are urged to review and reduce their maximum time limit for detention. DET 3 h Does law/policy provide that						<u>less Persons from Arbitrary Detention:</u> Guideline 39 Deten-		
is highly desirable that states do not detain stateless persons for more than six months. States which at present have a lower than six-month maximum time-limit for detention are urged not to increase it, and all states are urged to review and reduce their maximum time limit for detention. DET 3 h Does law/policy provide that Does						tion should always be for the shortest time possible. There		
for more than six months. States which at present have a lower than six-month maximum time-limit for detention are urged not to increase it, and all states are urged to review and reduce their maximum time limit for detention. DET 3 h Does law/policy provide that Openated Assembly (UNGA) (1988), Body of Principles for the Protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or Imprisonment, Resolution A/RES/43/173: Anyone who is ar- Official Gazette of the Republic of Serbia, No. 97/08						should be a reasonable maximum time-limit for detention. It		
DET 3 h Does law/policy provide that Does Imprisonment, Resolution A/RES/43/173: Anyone who is ar-						is highly desirable that states do not detain stateless persons		
urged not to increase it, and all states are urged to review and reduce their maximum time limit for detention. DET 3 h Does law/policy provide that UN General Assembly (UNGA) (1988), Body of Principles for the Protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or Imprisonment, Resolution A/RES/43/173: Anyone who is ar- urged not to increase it, and all states are urged to review and reduce their maximum time limit for detention. A stay in the Shelter (detention center) is de-termined on the basis of a decree by the Ministry of Interior, i.e. written decision. Accord-public of Serbia, No. 97/08						for more than six months. States which at present have a		
DET 3 h Does law/policy provide that Does law/policy provide that and reduce their maximum time limit for detention. • UN General Assembly (UNGA) (1988), Body of Principles for the Protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or lmprisonment, Resolution A/RES/43/173: Anyone who is ar-						lower than six-month maximum time-limit for detention are		
DET 3 h Does law/policy provide that Does law/policy provide that and reduce their maximum time limit for detention. • UN General Assembly (UNGA) (1988), Body of Principles for the Protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or lmprisonment, Resolution A/RES/43/173: Anyone who is ar-						urged not to increase it, and all states are urged to review		
law/policy provide that the Protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or Imprisonment, Resolution A/RES/43/173: Anyone who is ar- the Protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or Imprisonment, Resolution A/RES/43/173: Anyone who is ar- termined on the basis of a decree by the Ministry of Interior, i.e. written decision. Accord- public of Serbia, No. 97/08						and reduce their maximum time limit for detention.		
law/policy provide that the Protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or Imprisonment, Resolution A/RES/43/173: Anyone who is ar- the Protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or Imprisonment, Resolution A/RES/43/173: Anyone who is ar- termined on the basis of a decree by the Ministry of Interior, i.e. written decision. Accord- public of Serbia, No. 97/08	DET	3	h		Does	• UN General Assembly (UNGA) (1988), Body of Principles for	A stay in the Shelter (detention center) is de-	Art. 7(1), Law on Foreigners,
provide that Imprisonment, Resolution A/RES/43/173: Anyone who is ar- istry of Interior, i.e. written decision. Accord- public of Serbia, No. 97/08					law/policy		l · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, ,,
						•	•	
Individuals rested shall be informed at the time of his arrest of the law on General Administrative of 27 October 2008,					individuals	rested shall be informed at the time of his arrest of the	ing to the Law on General Administrative	of 27 October 2008,

^{© 2018} European Network on Statelessness. All rights reserved. This country survey is not intended for wider dissemination as a standalone document, and should be read in conjunction with (and any reference made to) the Statelessness Index country profile on Serbia; February 2018

			must be informed in writing of the reasons for immigration detention?	reason for his arrest and shall be promptly informed of any charges against him. • EU Returns Directive: Detention shall be ordered in writing with reasons being given in fact and in law. • Equal Rights Trust (ERT) (2012), Guidelines to Protect Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detention: Guideline 37 Stateless detainees shall receive their order of detention in writing and in a language they understand and this must outline the reasons for their detention.	Procedure (the law applied in procedures involving rights and obligations of foreigners where otherwise not provided by the Law on Foreigner) all decisions must contain an explanation. However, in practice, detainees are not aware of the reasons for their detention. For example, during a visit to the Shelter for Foreigners of the National Preventive Mechanism against Torture, 10 Syrian nationals reported not knowing the reason for their referral to the Shelter (that they had been placed there pending forced removal to the countries from where they had entered Serbia illegally).	available in English at: http://www.ref- world.org/do- cid/4b5d715a2.html Art. 141, Law on General Administrative Procedure, Official Gazette of the Re- public of Serbia, no. 18/2016: https://www.par- agraf.rs/propisi/za- kon o opstem up- ravnom postupku- 2016.html (S) Belgrade Centre for Human Rights, Right to Asylum in the Republic of Serbia, 2014, p. 26: http://www.bgcen- tar.org.rs/bgcentar/eng- lat/wp-content/up- loads/2015/04/Right-to-
						Asylum-in-the-Republic-of- Serbia-2014.pdf
DET	3	b	Are all de- tainees pro- vided with information on their rights, con- tact details of organisa- tions to as- sist them, in- cluding in challenging the legality	 International Commission of Jurists (ICJ) (2014), Migration and International Human Rights Law: a Practitioner's Guide (updated edition): The authorities are required to take steps to ensure that sufficient information is available to detained persons in a language they understand, regarding the nature of their detention, the reasons for it, the process for reviewing or challenging the decision to detain. Equal Rights Trust (ERT) (2012), Guidelines to Protect Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detention: Guideline 37 Detainees must be informed of their rights related to the detention order, including the right to legal advice, the right to apply for bail, seek judicial review and/or appeal the legality of the detention. Where appropriate, they should receive free legal 	Management of the detention centre (Shelter for Foreigners) claims that a factsheet on the rights of foreigners is handed out to all detainees. According to the Strategy on Combating Irregular Migration in the Republic of Serbia 2009-2014, everyone accommodated at the centre is acquainted with the possibility to contact diplomatic or consular representatives from his/her country of origin. Detainees are informed of the possibility to contact organisations providing legal aid or other assistance. Guidance on how a detainee may access a dedicated statelessness	Belgrade Centre for Human Rights, Right to Asylum in the Republic of Serbia 2014, Belgrade 2015, p. 26: http://www.bgcentar.org.rs/bgcentar/englat/wp-content/up-loads/2015/04/Right-to-Asylum-in-the-Republic-of-Serbia-2014.pdf Response from Border Police Directorate of Ministry

			of their detention and conditions of detention? Does this include guidance on how to access a dedicated SDP?	assistance; they must be informed of the maximum time limit which they can be held in detention; and they must be provided with a handbook in a language which they understand and that contains information on all their rights and entitlements during detention.	determination procedure is not available , as there is no dedicated SDP.	of Interior to freedom of information request, 03/8/62 no. 26-338/17, 31 May 2017. Strategy for Combating Irregular Migration in the Republic of Serbia 2009-2014, Official Gazette of the Republic of Serbia, No 25/2009, p. 24: http://www.kirs.gov.rs/docs/Strategy%20for%20Combating%20Illegal%20Migration%20in%20the%20Republic%20of%20Serbia.pdf
DET	3	С	Are there regular periodic reviews of the necessity for the continuation of detention before a court or an independent body? If yes, are detainees released when it becomes evident that their removal will not be possible within a reasonable time?	 ICCPR Art 9(3): Anyone arrested or detained on a criminal charge shall be brought promptly before a judge or other officer authorized by law to exercise judicial power and shall be entitled to trial within a reasonable time or to release. EU Returns Directive: Any detention shall only be maintained as long as removal arrangements are in progress and executed with due diligence. Auad v Bulgaria [2011] Application no 46390/10 (ECtHR): the only issue is whether or not the authorities were sufficiently diligent in their efforts to deport the applicant the length of the detention should not exceed that reasonably required for the purpose pursued. Kim v Russia [2014] Application no 44260/13 (ECtHR): The purpose of Art 5(4) ECHR is to guarantee to persons who are arrested and detained the right to judicial supervision of the lawfulness of the measure to which they are thereby subjected. A. v. Australia, CCPR/C/59/D/560/1993, (HRC): Decisions to detain should be open to review periodically so that the grounds justifying the detention can be assessed. Saïd Shamilovich Kadzoev v Direktsia Migratsia' priMinisterstvo na vatreshniteraboti [2009] Case C-357/09 (ECJ): There 	Periodic reviews are not prescribed by law. There is a time limit prescribed in law: 90 days, which can be exceptionally prolonged to 180 days. It is prescribed in law that a for- eigner whose identity has been ascertained shall be discharged from the detention centre if it is expected, on reasonable grounds, that forced removal will not be possible.	Art. 50, Law on Foreigners, Official Gazette of the Republic of Serbia, No. 97/08 of 27 October 2008, available in English at: http://www.ref-world.org/do-cid/4b5d715a2.html

DET	3	d	What remedies are available to an individual to challenge detention? How often can these be invoked? Are there any obstacles in practice?	must, at the time of the national Court's review of the lawfulness of detention, be a real prospect that the removal can be carried out successfully. • Council of Europe (2005), Twenty Guidelines of the Committee of Ministers of Europe on Forced Return: Detention pending removal shall be justified only for as long as removal arrangements are in progress. If such arrangements are not executed with due diligence the detention will cease to be permissible. • Equal Rights Trust (ERT) (2012), Guidelines to Protect Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detention: Guideline 41 To avoid arbitrariness, detention should be subject to automatic, regular and periodic review throughout the period of detention, before a judicial body independent of the detaining authorities. • ICCPR Art 9(4): Anyone who is deprived of his liberty by arrest or detention shall be entitled to take proceedings before a court, in order that that court may decide without delay on the lawfulness of his detention and order his release if the detention is not lawful. • ECHR: Everyone who is deprived of his liberty by arrest or detention shall be entitled to take proceedings by which the lawfulness of his detention shall be decided speedily by a court and his release ordered if the detention is not lawful. • Kim v Russia [2014] Application no 44260/13 (ECHR): the purpose of Art 5(4) ECHR is to guarantee to persons who are arrested and detained the right to judicial supervision of the lawfulness of the measure to which they are thereby subjected.	It is possible to appeal to a responsible district court against the decision ordering or extending the measure of stay in immigration detention (Shelter for Foreigners), but this remedy cannot be invoked more than once. There is a legal gap in the procedure for deciding on the appeal, as it refers to the old Law on Police (Official Gazette of the Republic of Serbia, no. 101/05), which is no longer in force. The new Law on Police says only that the appeal procedure shall be subject to the Law on Foreigners (which refers only to the old Law on Police). A new Law on Foreigners is likely to be adopted soon, which should resolve this legal gap and outline new procedures relating to detention. An appeal against the detention decision does not postpone its execution.	Art. 49(3-5), Law on Foreigners, Official Gazette of the Republic of Serbia, No. 97/08 of 27 October 2008, available in English at: http://www.ref-world.org/do-cid/4b5d715a2.html Art. 86(2), Law on police, Official Gazette of the Republic of Serbia, no. 6/2016: https://www.paragraf.rs/propisi/zakon_o_policiji.html (S)
DET	3	e	Are there rules/guid-ance in place that govern	• Auad v Bulgaria [2011] Application no 46390/10 (ECtHR): The only issue is whether or not the authorities were sufficiently diligent in their efforts to deport the applicant.	According to the Border Police Directorate of the Ministry of Interior, there is a procedure for redocumentation and/or ascertaining entitlement to nationality, for the purpose of	Response from Border Po- lice Directorate of Ministry of Interior to freedom of in- formation request, 03/8/61

			the process of re-docu- mentation and/or as- certain enti- tlement to	 Equal Rights Trust (ERT) (2012), Guidelines to Protect Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detention: The inability of a stateless person to cooperate with removal proceedings should not be treated as non-cooperation (see also above). ENS (2015) Protecting Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detention: a regional toolkit for practitioners: The detaining 	removal. No details about that procedure are available. The outcomes of such processes are not relevant for determination statelessness since there is no formal SDP.	No. GZ 06-124/17 from 15 May 2017.
			nationality, for the pur- pose of re- moval? Do these rules articu- late the re- spective roles that	state should have rules in place that govern the process of re-documentation and/ or ascertaining entitlement to nationalitythe respective roles that the state and the individual should be expected to play and related time limits should be clearly articulated. The longer it takes to do so, detention is more likely to become unreasonable and disproportionate. • ECRE, Point of No Return: The Futile Detention of Unreturnable Migrants, 2014: Once un-returnability is established, migrants should not be detained. Detention should		
			state and in- dividual are expected to play? Are there time limits clearly set out? Are the out- comes of such pro-	not be used for nationals of countries to which forced returns are not generally possible.		
			cesses used/consid- ered rele- vant for sub- sequent de- termination of whether an individual is stateless?			
DET	3	f	Is free legal aid available to challenge	UNHCR (2014), Handbook on Protection of Stateless Persons: Judicial oversight of detention is always necessary and	Serbia does not have a free legal aid system, and legal aid is provided by a limited number	

DET	4	a	Protections on release	detention? Are there any barriers to accessing this in prac- tice? Are those re- leased from detention is- sued with any identifi- cation, in- cluding con- firmation of their state- lessness sta- tus, and thus protected from arbi- trary re-de- tention?	detained individuals need to have access to legal representation, including free counselling for those without means. • EU Returns Directive: Art 13(3) The third-country national concerned shall have the possibility to obtain legal advice, representation and, where necessary, linguistic assistance. • UN Convention Relating to the Status of Stateless Persons, 1954: Art 27 • UNHCR (2014), Handbook on Protection of Stateless Persons: Statelessness, by its very nature, severely restricts access to basic identity and travel documents that nationals normally possess. Moreover, stateless persons are often without a legal residence in any country. Thus, being undocumented or lacking the necessary immigration permits cannot be used as a general justification for detention of such persons. • ENS (2015) Protecting Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detention: a regional toolkit for practitioners: Article 27 of the 1954 Statelessness Convention applies to all stateless persons, which includes those not staying legally in the state's territory state parties to the 1954 Convention have an obligation to provide stay rights to stateless persons who have been released from detention. • Equal Rights Trust (ERT) (2012), Guidelines to Protect State-	of NGOs. There are no relevant reports on the subject. Persons released from detention (Shelter for foreigners) are not issued with any identification documents, but their citizenship status is entered in a decision on cancellation of stay in the country.	Response from Border Police Directorate of Ministry of Interior to freedom of information request, 03/8/61 No. GZ 06-124/17 from 15 May 2017.
DET	4	b		If the purpose of detention cannot be fulfilled (e.g. removal) and	been released from detention.	It is not prescribed in law what status should be issued in those cases and this is one of the recognised problems in law and practice. It is proposed in the Draft Law on Foreigners to introduce humanitarian residence for people released from detention where the purpose	Draft law on foreigners: http://www.par- agraf.rs/nacrti_i_pred- lozi/181016-nacrt_za- kona_o_strancima.html

			the person is released, what legal status is provided to them by law? Can they access social services, accommodation, welfare, education and healthcare? Do they have the right to work?	support him/herself or his/her "aggressive conduct" should not be a deterrent to his/her release. • Equal Rights Trust (ERT) (2012), Guidelines to Protect Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detention: Guideline 55 as above.	cannot be fulfilled. At the moment, the only document they have is a decision on cancellation of stay in the country.	
DET	4	С	If re-detention does occur, is the cumulative time spent in detention counted towards any maximum time limits?	• Equal Rights Trust (ERT) (2012), Guidelines to Protect Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detention: Guideline 40 When calculating the total time spent by an individual in detention, it is highly desirable that time spent in detention on previous occasions is taken into consideration.	No.	Response from Border Police Directorate of Ministry of Interior to freedom of information request, 03/8/61 No. GZ 06-124/17 from 15 May 2017.

Prevention and Reduction – December 2017

Prevention and Reduction

Cat	Q	Sub	Subtheme	Question	International Norms / Good Practice	Answer	Source
PRS	1	а	Stateless	Is there a provi-	UN Convention on the Reduction of State-	Yes	Art. 13(1), Law on Citizenship of the Re-
			born on	sion in law for	lessness, 1961: A Contracting State shall		public of Serbia, Official Gazette of the Re-
			territory	stateless children	grant its nationality to a person born in its		public of Serbia, no. 135/2004 and
				born on the terri-	territory who would otherwise be stateless		90/2007, available in English at:
				tory to be granted	• European Convention on Nationality, 1997:		http://www.praxis.org.rs/im-
				nationality?	Each State Party shall provide in its internal		ages/praxis_downloads/Law%20on%20Cit-
				If yes, continue	law for its nationality to be acquired by chil-		izenship%20of%20the%20Repub-
				with PRS1b be-	dren born on its territory who do not acquire		lic%20of%20Serbia ENG.pdf
				low. If no, pro-	at birth another nationality		
				ceed to PRS1j.	• Convention on the Rights of the Child 1989:		
					The child shall have the right to acquire a		
					nationality States Parties shall ensure the		
					implementation of these rightsin particular		
					where the child would otherwise be state-		
					less States Parties undertake to respect the		
					right of the child to preserve his or her iden-		
					tity, including nationality		
					• Genovese v. Malta (ECtHR) Application No.		
					53124/09, 11 October 2011		
PRS	1	b		Is the provision	• <u>UNHCR Guidelines on Statelessness #4</u>	According the Law on Citizenship, chil-	Art. 13, Law on Citizenship of the Republic
				for stateless chil-	2012: Article 1 of the 1961 Convention pro-	dren are considered to be citizens	of Serbia, Official Gazette of the Republic
				dren to access na-	vides Contracting States with two alternative	since birth and they acquire citizen-	of Serbia, no. 135/2004 and 90/2007, avail-
				tionality auto-	options for granting nationality to children	ship automatically . However, it stems	able in English at:
				matic or non-au-	who would otherwise be stateless born in	from practice that, in order to acquire	http://www.praxis.org.rs/im-
				tomatic (i.e. by application)?	their territory. States can either provide for	citizenship in these cases, one should submit an appropriate request, and	ages/praxis_downloads/Law%20on%20Cit-izenship%20of%20the%20Repub-
				application):	automatic acquisition of nationality upon birth pursuant to Article 1(1)(a), or for acqui-	citizenship is not acquired by opera-	lic%20of%20Serbia ENG.pdf
					sition of nationality upon application pursu-	tion of law but on the basis of a deci-	iic/02001/0203e1bla_ENG.pdf
					ant to Article 1(1)(b)	sion of the competent body.	Praxis, Analysis of Practical Application of
					• ENS (2015), No Child Should Be Stateless:	sion of the competent body.	the Law on Non-Contentious Procedure –
					Article 1 of the 1961 Convention and article		Determining the Date and Place of Birth, p.
					6(2) of the ECN are the most important of		24 (note 45): http://www.praxis.org.rs/im-
					these norms for the European context. Both		ages/praxis downloads/Analysis of Prac-
					oblige the conferral of nationality to children		tical Application of the Law on Non-
					born on the territory if they would otherwise		Contentious Procedure - Determin-
					be stateless but allow some leeway in how		ing the Date and Place of Birth.pdf
					states transpose this safeguard into their do-		
					mestic systems. The first, and optimal,		

				method – as it is all-encompassing and does not tolerate even a temporary period of statelessness – is to grant nationality to otherwise stateless children automatically, at birth.		Prevention of statelessness at birth: adequate nationality law but inconsistent implementation, ENS blog by Milijana Trifkovic, Legal Analyst, Praxis, 30 July 2013: https://www.statelessness.eu/blog/prevention-statelessness-birth-%E2%80%93-adequate-nationality-law-inconsistent-implementation Decision of the Ministry of Interior 03/10 No. 204-2-248/2013 from 14 March 2013, on file with author. Letter of the Ministry of Interior sent to Praxis on 15 March 2013, 13/10 No. 204-159/13-R, on file with author.
PRS	1	С	Is it a requirement that the parents are also stateless for the child to acquire the nationality of the host state?	 UNHCR Guidelines on Statelessness #4 2012: The test is whether a child is stateless because he or she acquires neither the nationality of his or her parents nor that of the State of his or her birth; it is not an inquiry into whether a child's parents are stateless. Restricting the application of Article 1 of the 1961 Convention to children of stateless parents is insufficient in light of the different ways in which a child may be rendered stateless and contrary to the terms of those provisions. ENS (2015), No Child Should Be Stateless: Only allowing access to nationality for stateless children whose parents are stateless fails to account for the circumstance where the child's parent(s) do hold a nationality themselves, but are unable to pass this on 	No. Both parents must be either unknown, or stateless, or of unknown nationality or the child must be stateless.	Art. 13(1), Law on Citizenship of the Republic of Serbia, Official Gazette of the Republic of Serbia, no. 135/2004 and 90/2007, available in English at: http://www.praxis.org.rs/images/praxis_downloads/Law%20on%20Citizenship%20of%20the%20Republic%20of%20Serbia_ENG.pdf
PRS	1	d	Are children born stateless required to prove they cannot access another nationality	UNHCR Guidelines on Statelessness #4 2012: A Contracting State to the 1961 Convention cannot avoid the obligations to grant its nationality to a person who would otherwise be statelessbased on its own	According to the Regulation on the manner of registration of the fact of citizenship, a document should be provided proving that parents are of unknown citizenship, stateless, or	Art. 10, Regulations on the Manner of Registration of the Fact of Citizenship in the Birth Registry Book, Forms for Keeping Records on Decisions on Acquisition and Termination of Citizenship and Form of

^{© 2018} European Network on Statelessness. All rights reserved. This country survey is not intended for wider dissemination as a standalone document, and should be read in conjunction with (and any reference made to) the Statelessness Index country profile on <u>Serbia</u>; February 2018

to acquire nationality of the country of birth?

If yes, please describe the requirement e.g. what is the standard and burden of proof, and how lack of any other nationality (i.e. statelessness) is determined in practice?

interpretation of another State's nationality laws where this conflicts with the interpretation applied by the State concerned... the burden of proof must be shared between the claimant and the authorities... decision makers need to take into account Articles 3 and 7 of the CRC and adopt an appropriate standard of proof, for example... 'reasonable degree'... Requiring a higher standard of proof would undermine the object and purpose of the 1961 Convention. Special procedural considerations to address the acute challenges faced by children, especially unaccompanied children, in communicating basic facts with respect to their nationality are to be respected.

that the child is stateless for the child to acquire citizenship by birth, but there are no detailed guidelines referring to the procedure and necessary evidence. The Law on Foreigners prescribes that the Ministry of Interior is only authorised to issue a travel document to a stateless person, but it remains unclear how the status of stateless person is determined and what evidence a person should enclose for issuance of the travel document. Furthermore, it has not been prescribed how one can obtain a document proving that citizenship is unknown, what the procedure of determination of unknown citizenship entails, what evidence should be enclosed for that purpose.

From Praxis' practice and according to data obtained from the Ministry of Interior, it can be concluded that in the procedure before this body (for those children who acquire citizenship by birth in the territory of the state, but whose fact of citizenship has not been registered in birth registry book) the conditions relating to necessary evidence are interpreted more flexibly. Thus, as a piece of evidence proving that the parents do not have citizenship of the state they were born in or that they are of unknown citizenship, a certificate proving that they are not registered in the citizenship records of that state could be used. In case of a child, it would be sufficient to enclose

Citizenship Certificate, Official Gazette of the Republic of Serbia, no. 22/2005, 84/2005, 121/2007 and 69/2010: http://www.praxis.org.rs/images/praxis downloads/Pravilnik%200%20nacinu%20upisa%20cinjenice%20drzavljanstva%20u%20maticnu%20knjigu%20rodenih%202010.pdf (S)

Information derived from casework, including the decisions of the Ministry of Interior in individual cases (decision of the Ministry of Interior, 03/10 No. 204-2-248/2013 from 14 March 2013, on file with author).

PRS 1 e Is a stateless child born on the territory required to fulfil a period of residence to be granted nationality? If yes, what is it? Must this be legal and/or permanent residence? WIN Convention on the Reduction of State born in its with the person concerned has habitually resided in the territory of the Contracting State for such period as may be fixed by that State, not exceeding five years immediately who would otherwise be stateless born in its with the contractine in the contraction on the Reduction of State for such period as may be fixed by that State, not exceeding five years immediately who would otherwise be stateless born in its with the contraction on the Reduction of State for such period of stateless born in its with the child has not been registered in citizenship records. Art. 13, Law on Citizenship of the Report of Serbia, no. 135/2004 and 90/2007 able in English at: http://www.praxis.org.rs/images/praxis downloads/Law%20on/gizenship%20of%20the%20Republic%20of%20the%20Republic%20of%20the%20Republic%20of%20Serbia ENG.pdf	
PRS 1 e Is a stateless child born on the territory required to fulfil a period of residence to be granted nationality? If yes, what is it? Must this be legal and/or permanent residence? Is a stateless child born on the territory required to fulfil a period of residence to be granted nationality? State, not exceeding five years immediately preceding the lodging of the application nor ten years in all. Is a stateless child born on the territory of the Contracting State may make the grant of its nationalitysubject to one or more of the following conditions:b) that the person concerned has habitually resided in the territory of the Contracting State for such period as may be fixed by that State, not exceeding five years immediately preceding the lodging of the application nor ten years in all. • UN Convention on the Reduction of State-lessness provided in the Republication of Serbia, Official Gazette of the Republication for the child. Art. 13, Law on Citizenship of the Republication for serbia, of Serbia, Official Gazette of the Republication for the child. Art. 13, Law on Citizenship of the Republication for serbia, of Serbia, Official Gazette of the Republication for able in English at: http://www.praxis.corg.rs/images/praxis downloads/Law%20on% able in English at: http://www.praxis.corg.rs/images/praxis downloads/Law%20on% izenship%20of%20the%20Republic%20of%20serbia ENG.pdf UNHCR Guidelines on Statelessness #4	
PRS 1 e Is a stateless child born on the territory required to fulfil a period of residence to be granted nationality? If yes, what is it? Must this be legal and/or permanent residence? Is a stateless child born on the territory of the Contracting State may make the grant of its nationalitysubject to one or more of the following conditions:b) that the person concerned has habitually resided in the territory of the Contracting State for such period as may be fixed by that State, not exceeding five years immediately preceding the lodging of the application nor ten years in all. • UNHCR Guidelines on Stateless born in its • UNHCR Guidelines on Stateless born in its • UNHCR Guidelines on Stateless born in its	
born on the territory required to fulfil a period of residence to be granted nationality? If yes, what is it? Must this be legal and/or permanent residence? Must this be legal and/or permanent residence? born on the territory of the Contracting State may make the grant of its nationalitysubject to one or more of the following conditions: b) that the person concerned has habitually resided in the territory of the Contracting State for such period as may be fixed by that State, not exceeding five years immediately preceding the lodging of the application nor ten years in all. • UNHCR Guidelines on Statelessness #4 2012: States may stipulate that an individual who would otherwise be stateless born in its	
tory required to fulfil a period of residence to be granted nationality? If yes, what is it? Must this be legal and/or permanent residence? Must this be legal and/or permanent residence? Must this be legal and yor permanent residence who would otherwise be stateless born in its	
fulfil a period of residence to be granted nationality? If yes, what is it? Must this be legal and/or permanent residence? Must this be legal and be lodging of the application nor ten years in all. • UNHCR Guidelines on Statelessness #4 2012: States may stipulate that an individual who would otherwise be stateless born in its	
residence to be granted nationality? If yes, what is it? Must this be legal and/or permanent residence? Must residence? """.b) that the person concerned has habitually resided in the territory of the Contracting State for such period as may be fixed by that State, not exceeding five years immediately preceding the lodging of the application nor ten years in all. """ UNHCR Guidelines on Statelessness #4 2012: States may stipulate that an individual who would otherwise be stateless born in its	avail-
granted nationality? If yes, what is it? Must this be legal and/or permanent residence? Must resided in the territory of the Contracting State for such period as may be fixed by that State, not exceeding five years immediately preceding the lodging of the application nor ten years in all. MUST This be legal and/or permanent residence?	
ity? If yes, what is it? Must this be legal and/or permanent residence? UNHCR Guidelines on Stateless born in its State for such period as may be fixed by that State, not exceeding five years immediately preceding the lodging of the application nor ten years in all. UNHCR Guidelines on Stateless #4 2012: States may stipulate that an individual who would otherwise be stateless born in its izenship%20of%20the%20Republic%20of%20Serbia ENG.pdf lic%20of%20Serbia EN	
If yes, what is it? Must this be legal and/or permanent residence? UNHCR Guidelines on Statelessness #4	OCit-
Must this be legal and/or permanent residence? Description of the application nor ten years in all. UNHCR Guidelines on Statelessness #4 2012: States may stipulate that an individual who would otherwise be stateless born in its	
and/or permanent residence? • UNHCR Guidelines on Statelessness #4 2012: States may stipulate that an individual who would otherwise be stateless born in its	
nent residence? • <u>UNHCR Guidelines on Statelessness #4</u> 2012: States may stipulate that an individual who would otherwise be stateless born in its	
2012: States may stipulate that an individual who would otherwise be stateless born in its	
who would otherwise be stateless born in its	
tanitan fulfila annial of Walting	
territory fulfils a period of "habitual resi-	
dence" This period is not to exceed five	
years immediately preceding an application	
nor ten years in all. In light of the standards	
established under the CRC, these periods are	
lengthy. States whichrequire a certain pe-	
riod of habitual residence are encouraged to	
provide for a period as short as possibleThe	
term "habitual residence" isto be under-	
stood as stable, factual residence. It does not	
imply a legal or formal residence require-	
ment. The 1961 Convention does not permit	
Contracting States to make an application for	
the acquisition of nationality by individuals	
who would otherwise be stateless conditional	
upon lawful residence.	
• Convention on the Rights of the Child 1989:	
Arts 3 & 7	
• Committee on the Rights of the Child, Con-	
cluding observations on the 4th periodic re-	
port of the Netherlands CRC/C/NDL/CO/4,	
2015: The Committee recommends that the	

				State party ensure that all stateless children born in its territory, irrespective of residency status, have access to citizenship without any		
				conditions.		
				• European Convention on Nationality, 1997:		
				Article 6 (2)(b) Such an application may be		
				made subject to the lawful and habitual resi-		
				dence on its territory for a period not exceed-		
				ing five years immediately preceding the lodg-		
				ing of the application.		
				• ENS (2016), Ending Childhood Statelessness:		
				The ECN cannot be interpreted as undermin-		
				ing states' obligations under the CRCand the requirement of lawful residence should be re-		
				moved.		
PRS	1	f	Are the parents of	• Committee on the Rights of the Child, Con-	No.	Law on Citizenship of the Republic of Ser-
1113	_	•	a stateless child	cluding Observations Czech Republic	110.	bia, Official Gazette of the Republic of Ser-
			required to fulfil a	CRC/C/CZE/CO/3-4, 2011: The outcome of an		bia, no. 135/2004 and 90/2007, available
			period of resi-	application for citizenship, legal residence or		in English at: http://www.praxis.org.rs/im-
			dence for the	similar status by the parents of a child born		ages/praxis downloads/Law%20on%20Cit-
			child to be	on the territory should not prejudice the right		izenship%20of%20the%20Repub-
			granted national-	of the child to acquire the nationality of the		lic%20of%20Serbia ENG.pdf
			ity?	State party where the child would otherwise		
			If yes, what is it?	be stateless.		
			Must this be legal	• ENS (2015), No Child Should Be Stateless:		
			and/or perma-	Demanding that the child or his/her parents		
			nent residence?	reside lawfully on the territory is prohibited		
				by the 1961 Convention which permits only		
				the condition of a certain period of habitual		
				residence.		
PRS	1	g	What are the age	UN Convention on the Reduction of State-	The age limit for making an application	See PRS1b.
			limits, if any, for	lessness, 1961: A Contracting State may	is 18 years . The deadline until which	
			making an appli-	make the grant of its nationalitysubject to	one should submit the request is not	
			cation for nation-	one or more of the following conditions:	prescribed by the law – since the ac-	
			ality for a state-	(a) that the application is lodged during a pe-	quisition of citizenship is automatic –	
			less person born	riod beginning not later than at the age of	but, in practice, the competent body	
			on the territory?	eighteen years and ending not earlier than at	derives it from the legal definition of	
				the age of twenty-one years	"child", resulting in the standpoint of	

					• UNHCR Guidelines on Statelessness #4	the competent body that after 18	
					2012:Contracting Statesneed to accept	years of age otherwise stateless chil-	
					applications lodged at a time beginning not	dren born in Serbia can no longer ac-	
					later than the age of 18 and ending not earlier	quire citizenship under Article 13 of	
					than the age of 21 in accordance with Article	the Law on Citizenship.	
					1(2)(a) of the 1961 Convention.		
					• ENS (2015), No Child Should Be Stateless:		
					any application procedure which only be-		
					comes available in late childhood or even		
					upon reaching majority is particularly prob-		
					lematic [] closing the window of opportunity		
					to apply for a nationality through such safe-		
					guards too early has the effect of leaving it in		
					the hands of parents to take the necessary		
					steps to secure a nationality for their child		
					and may mean children are left stateless due		
					to the lack of action on the part of their par-		
					ents.		
PRS	1	h		Are there specific	• UNHCR Guidelines on Statelessness #4	No.	
				provisions for the	2012: Some children are born to refugee par-		
				nationality or	ents who are themselves stateless or cannot		
				statelessness of	acquire the nationality of their parents owing		
				children born to	to restrictions on transmission of nationality		
				beneficiaries of	to children born abroad. Where the national-		
				international pro-	ity of the parents can be acquired through a		
				tection?	registration or other procedure, this will be		
					impossible owing to the very nature of refu-		
					gee status which precludes refugee parents		
					from contacting their consular authorities.		
PRS	2	a	Foundlings	Are foundlings	• <u>UN Convention on the Reduction of State-</u>	Foundlings are automatically granted	Art. 13, Law on Citizenship of the Republic
				granted citizen-	lessness, 1961: A foundling found in the terri-	citizenship by law.	of Serbia, Official Gazette of the Republic
				ship by law? If	tory of a Contracting State shall, in the ab-		of Serbia, no. 135/2004 and 90/2007, avail-
				it's not auto-	sence of proof to the contrary, be considered		able in English at:
				matic, is there an	to have been born within that territory of par-		http://www.praxis.org.rs/im-
				application proce-	ents possessing the nationality of that State.		ages/praxis downloads/Law%20on%20Cit-
				dure?	• European Convention on Nationality, 1997:		izenship%20of%20the%20Repub-
					Each State Party shall provide in its internal		lic%20of%20Serbia ENG.pdf
					law for its nationality to be acquired ex lege		

PRS	2	b	If yes to either question immediately above, is there an age limit (or status e.g. 'newborn') specified for foundlings to be granted citizenship? If not, when	by the following persons: [] b) foundlings found in its territory who would otherwise be stateless. • UNHCR Guidelines on Statelessness #4 2012: At a minimum, the safeguard is to apply to all young children who are not yet able to communicate accurately information pertaining to the identity of their parents or their place of birth If a State provides for an age limit for foundlings to acquire nationality, the age of the child at the date the child was found is decisive and not the date when the child came to the attention of the authorities.	The age limit is 18 years.	Legal definition of the term 'child', i.e. person under 18 years-old.
PRS	2	С	would a child usually qualify in practice? Can citizenship be withdrawn from foundlings if parents are identified even if this leads to statelessness?	UNHCR Guidelines on Statelessness #4 2012: Nationality acquired by foundlings pursuant to Article 2 of the 1961 Convention may only be lost if it is proven that the child concerned possesses another State's nationality.	No. It should be taken that foundlings are protected from statelessness if their parents are later identified. If their parents are later discovered and it is proven that they were foreign citizens, the Law on Citizenship (Art. 13) only stipulates that a child can cease to be a citizen not that they can lose citizenship automatically. A child can cease to be a citizen only at the request of the parents. The law does not explicitly state that a parent's action cannot result in statelessness, as it does in the case of adoption, renunciation and release of adult citizens and loss of citizenship acquired by fraud. However, having in mind the spirit of the law (which in all other cases of loss of citizenship aims to prevent statelessness and in some cases of loss of citizenship offers more protection than required by international standards) it	Arts. 13, 28 & 34, Law on Citizenship of the Republic of Serbia, Official Gazette of the Republic of Serbia, no. 135/2004 and 90/2007, available in English at: http://www.praxis.org.rs/im-ages/praxis_downloads/Law%20on%20Cit-izenship%20of%20the%20Republic%20of%20Serbia_ENG.pdf

						should be taken that Article 28(1.6) applies to children as well, even though it is not explicitly stated. If parents request release where it may result in a child's statelessness, there is an additional safeguard in Article 34, which prescribes that a person whose citizenship of the Republic of Serbia was terminated by release or renunciation at the request of their parents, can be readmitted to citizenship if they apply for readmission, if they are 18 years-old and not deprived of legal capacity, and if they submit a written statement.	
PRS	3	a	Adoption	Where a child national is adopted by foreign parent(s), does the child lose their original nationality before the new nationality is adopted?	 UN Convention on the Reduction of State-lessness, 1961: If the law of a Contracting State entails loss of nationality as a consequence of any change in the personal status of a person such as marriage, termination of marriage, legitimation, recognition or adoption, such loss shall be conditional upon possession or acquisition of another nationality. European Convention on Nationality, 1997: Each State Party shall facilitate in its internal law the acquisition of its nationality for the following persons:d) children adopted by one of its nationals Each State Party shall permit the renunciation of its nationality provided the persons concerned do not thereby become stateless. Committee on the Rights of the Child, Concluding Observations: Switzerland, CRC/C/CHE/CO/2-04, 2015: [recommended that Switzerland] accelerate the assessment procedure and ensure that a child adopted from abroad is not stateless or discriminated against during the waiting period between his 	No.	Arts 30 & 31, Law on Citizenship of the Republic of Serbia, Official Gazette of the Republic of Serbia, no. 135/2004 and 90/2007, available in English at: http://www.praxis.org.rs/images/praxis_downloads/Law%20on%20Citizenship%20of%20the%20Republic%20of%20Serbia_ENG.pdf

	1			I			
					or her arrival in the State party and formal		
					adoption.		
					• ENS (2015), No Child Should Be Stateless:		
					the "sending" state in a situation of inter-		
					country adoption may be a non-European		
					one, so even if Europe's nationality laws were		
					all in alignment with international standards,		
					children may be exposed to a (temporary) risk		
					of statelessness during the adoption process.		
PRS	3	b	lus sangui-	Can children of a	• UN Convention on the Reduction of State-	Yes, children born outside the country	Art. 7(1)&(3), Art. 9(1) & Art. 10, Law on
			nis and dis-	parent who is a	lessness, 1961: Art 4	to a parent who is Serbian can access	Citizenship of the Republic of Serbia, Offi-
			crimina-	national, born	• UNHCR Guidelines on Statelessness #4	nationality by descent (ius sanguinis).	cial Gazette of the Republic of Serbia, no.
			tion	outside the coun-	2012:where a child who would otherwise	There are some conditions regarding	135/2004 and 90/2007, available in English
				try, access nation-	be stateless is born in a Contracting State to	registration, but these conditions are	at: http://www.praxis.org.rs/im-
				ality by descent	parents of another Contracting State but does	not discriminatory. A child born	ages/praxis_downloads/Law%20on%20Cit-
				(ius sanguinis)?	not acquire the nationality of the State of	abroad shall acquire the citizenship of	izenship%20of%20the%20Repub-
				Are there any	birth automatically and either misses the age	Serbia by the force of the law (ex lege)	lic%20of%20Serbia ENG.pdf
				conditions?	limit to apply for nationality or cannot meet	if at least one of the parents is a Ser-	
				Could these con-	the habitual residence requirement in the	bian citizen while the other parent is	
				ditions be re-	State of birth responsibility falls to the Con-	unknown or of unknown citizenship	
				garded as dis-	tracting State of the parents to grant its na-	or stateless. A child born abroad shall	
				criminatory? (see	tionality to the child (or children) of its na-	acquire Serbian citizenship by descent	
				question below	tional where children of a national of a Con-	if one of the parents is a citizen at the	
				for where child	tracting State who would otherwise be state-	time of the child's birth and the other	
				would otherwise	less are born in a non-Contracting State the	parent is a foreign citizen, and if the	
				be stateless)	Contracting State of the parents [is required]	parent who is a Serbian citizen regis-	
					to grant its nationality to the child (or chil-	ters the child as a citizen of the Repub-	
					dren) of its nationals born abroad Article 4	lic of Serbia before they turn 18 with	
					of the 1961 Convention must be read in light	the competent diplomatic or consular	
					of developments in international human	office of the Republic of Serbia and	
					rights law, in particular the right of every child	submits a request for registering the	
					to acquire a nationality and the principle of	child into citizenship records. An adult	
					the best interests of the child	whose one parent is a citizen of the	
					• Genovese v. Malta (ECtHR) Application No.	Republic of Serbia (and the other par-	
					53124/09, 11 October 2011: While the right	ent is a foreign citizen) may also ac-	
					to citizenship is not as such a Convention	quire Serbian citizenship, even if the	
					right and while its denial in the present case	parent who is a Serbian citizen failed	
					was not such as to give rise to a violation of	to register the child with the	

		1					
					Article 8, the Court considers that its impact	diplomatic or consular office. The per-	
					on the applicant's social identity was such as	son who fulfils the conditions to ac-	
					to bring it within the general scope and ambit	quire citizenship on this ground, may	
					of that article. Maltese legislation expressly	submit a request for registration in the	
					granted the right to citizenship by descent	citizenship records of Serbia until the	
					and established a procedure to that end. Con-	age of 23.	
					sequently, the state which has gone beyond		
					its obligations under Article 8 in creating such		
					a right [] must ensure that the right is se-		
					cured without discrimination within the		
					meaning of Article 14.		
					• ENS (2015), No Child Should Be Stateless:		
					States are free to impose additional condi-		
					tions [to lus Sanguinis conferral], as long as		
					these are not discriminatory in nature safe-		
					guards should again be in place to ensure that		
					statelessness does not result		
					• UNHCR Global Action Plan to End Stateless-		
					<u>ness 2014-24:</u> Action 4		
					 Fighting statelessness and discriminatory 		
					nationality law in Europe, Laura van Waas,		
					<u>2012</u>		
					• Convention on the Elimination of all Forms		
					of Discrimination Against Women, General		
					recommendation No. 32 on the gender-re-		
					lated dimensions of refugee status, asylum,		
					nationality and statelessness of women, No-		
					vember 2014		
PRS	3	С	Can ch	hildren of a	As above	Yes, these children can acquire nation-	Art. 7(3) and Art. 9(2), Law on Citizenship
				nt who is a		ality by descent. No conditions (if the	of the Republic of Serbia, Official Gazette
				nal, born		child would otherwise be stateless).	of the Republic of Serbia, no. 135/2004
				de the coun-			and 90/2007, available in English at:
	1			ccess nation-			http://www.praxis.org.rs/im-
	1		_	by descent			ages/praxis downloads/Law%20on%20Cit-
				anguinis) if			izenship%20of%20the%20Repub-
	1		•	would other-			lic%20of%20Serbia ENG.pdf
	1			be stateless?			
			Are th	nere any			

				conditions? Could			
				these conditions			
				be regarded as			
				discriminatory?			
PRS	4	а	Access to	Does the law pro-	• Convention on the Rights of the Child 1989:	The Constitution of the Republic of	Art. 64(2), Constitution of the Republic of
			birth regis-	vide that all chil-	The child shall be registered immediately af-	Serbia guarantees to every child the	Serbia, Official Gazette of the Republic of
			tration	dren are regis-	ter birth and shall have the right from birth to	right to a name and the right to be	Serbia, no. 98/2006:
				tered immedi-	a name, the right to acquire a nationality and,	registered in the birth registries. The	http://www.srbija.gov.rs/cinjenice o srbiji
				ately upon birth?	as far as possible, the right to know and be	Family Law also stipulates that every-	/ustav od-
				Can children be	cared for by his or her parents.	body has the right to a name and that	redbe.php?id=217http://www.srbija.gov.rs
				registered if par-	International Covenant on Civil and Political	the right to a name is acquired by	/cinjenice o srbiji/ustav od-
				ents are undocu-	Rights 1966: Art 24(2)	birth. However, according to bylaws	redbe.php?id=217 (S)
				mented and/or	Council of Europe, Recommendation	(Rulebook on the Procedure for the Is-	
				not legally resid-	CM/Rec (2009) 13 of the Committee of Minis-	suance of Birth Notification and Form	Art. 13, Family Law, Official Gazette of the
				ing in the country	ters to member states on the nationality of	of Issuance of Birth Notification in a	Republic of Serbia, no. 18/2005, 72/2011 –
				(by law)?	children: register the birth of all children born	Health Care Institution), to register the	other law and 6/2015: https://www.par-
					on their territory, even if they are born to a	birth and the name of their child im-	agraf.rs/propisi/porodicni zakon.html (S)
					foreign parent with an irregular immigration	mediately upon birth, parents need to	
					status or if the parents are unknown, in order	possess birth certificates and ID cards,	Art. 5, Rulebook on the Procedure for the
					to safeguard their right to a nationality. The	or, if they are foreign citizens, pass-	Issuance of Birth Notification and Form of
					registration of birth should be free of charge	ports. Therefore, children whose par-	Issuance of Birth Notification in a Health
					and be performed without delay, even if the	ents are undocumented cannot be is-	Care Institution, Official Gazette of the Re-
					period within which the birth should have	sued a birth certificate upon birth	public of Serbia, nos. 5/2011, 9/2016,
					been declared has already expired.	with their names determined. They	16/2016 and 36/2016
					• <u>UNHCR Guidelines on Statelessness #4</u>	need to undergo one of the following	
					2012: registration of the birth provides	procedures: determination of personal	Points 10 & 24, Instruction on administer-
					proof of descent and of place of birth and	name, subsequent birth registration,	ing registry books and forms of registry
					therefore underpins implementation of the	or determination of the date and place	books, Official Gazette of the Republic of
					1961 Convention and related human rights	of birth. Each of these procedures often lasts several months, while in par-	Serbia, nos. 109/2009, 4/2010, 10/2010, 25/2011, 5/2013 and 94/2013:
					norms. Article 7 of the CRC specifically re-	ticularly complicated cases they may	http://www.loznica.rs/cms/mestoZaU-
					quires the registration of the birth of all chil-	last a year or more. Therefore, the	pload-
					dren and applies irrespective of the national-	law/bylaws and practice do not ensure	Fajlove/Uputstvo%20o%20vodjenju%20ma
					ity, statelessness or residence status of the	that children are registered immedi-	ticnih%20knjiga%20i%20obrascima%20ma
					parents.	ately after birth if parents are undocu-	ticnih%20knjiga%20-%20precis-
					• <u>UNHCR Global Action Plan to End Stateless</u> - ness 2014-24: Action 7 Ensure birth registra-	mented.	cen%20tekst.pdf (S)
							Samuel Color
					tion for the prevention of statelessness.		

				UN Sustainable Development Goal 16: By 2030, provide legal identity for all, including birth registration. UN Human Rights Council, Resolution A/HRC/RES/20/4: Calls upon States to ensure free birth registration, including free or low-fee late birth registration, for every child, and underscores the importance of effective birth registration and provision of documentary proof of birth irrespective of his or her immigration status and that of his or her parents or family members, which can contribute to reducing statelessness, as well as reducing vulnerability to trafficking in persons and other abuses and violations of their human rights.	In mid-October 2016, the project entitled Baby, Welcome to the World was introduced, with the purpose to simplify birth registration. The simplified procedure is regulated by the Instruction for Implementing Administrative Procedures related to the child's birth on the basis of "one-stop-shop" rule, which allows the registration into birth registry books also for the children whose mothers are undocumented. However, this Instruction is not a legally binding act (it serves only as guidance for competent bodies) and its provisions are in contradiction with the existing and legally binding regulations. According to the existing bylaws, to register the birth and the name of their child immediately upon birth, parents need to possess birth certificate and ID cards. Children cannot be registered immediately after birth if parents are undocumented. Children can be registered if parents are not legally residing in the	Information on 'Baby, Welcome to the World' in 2016 Annual Report on Implementation of the Action Plan of the Public Administration Reform Strategy in the Republic of Serbia, Ministry of Public Administration and Local Self-Government, February 2017, p. 29: http://www.mduls.gov.rs/doc/Annual%202016%20text%20without%20the%20annex.pdf (S) Instruction for implementing administrative procedures related to the child's birth on the basis of "one-stop-shop" rule: http://www.dragisamisovic.bg.ac.rs/news/doc/Uputstvo%20za%20sprovodjenje%20upravnih%20postupaka%20vezanih%20za%20rodjenje%20deteta%20po%20sistemu%20Sve%20na%20jed-nom%20mestu.pdf (S)
PRS	4	b	Are there credible reports that suggest that children are prevented from registering in practice because of lack of documentation and/or parents' legal residence?	 Convention on the Rights of the Child 1989: Art 7(1) International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights 1966: Art 24(2) Council of Europe, Recommendation CM/Rec (2009) 13 of the Committee of Ministers to member states on the nationality of children: as above. UNHCR Guidelines on Statelessness #4 2012: as above. UNHCR Global Action Plan to End Statelessness 2014-24: Action 7 UN Sustainable Development Goal 16 	country. There are credible reports suggesting that children are prevented from registering in practice because of parents' lack of documentation.	 Analysis of the Procedures for Determining the Date and Place of Birth and for the Exercise of Rights to Citizenship and Registration of Permanent Residence in 2016, 2016 Analysis of the Procedures for Determining the Date and Place of Birth and for the Exercise of Rights to Citizenship and Registration of Permanent Residence in 2015, 2015 Analysis of the Late Birth Registration Procedures, 2015

				• <u>UN Human Rights Council, Resolution</u> <u>A/HRC/RES/20/4:</u> as above		 The Right to Citizenship in the Republic of Serbia – a brief analysis of the remaining challenges, 2014 Preventing Childhood Statelessness - Remaining Problems in Serbia, 2014 The Right to Citizenship in the Republic of Serbia – a brief analysis of the remaining challenges, 2014 Persons at Risk of Statelessness in Serbia – Case Studies, 2011
						 Persons at Risk of Statelessness in Serbia, 2010 Analysis of Practical Application of the Law on Non-Contentious Procedure – Determining the Date and Place of Birth, 2013 All published by Praxis, all available at: http://praxis.org.rs/index.php/en/reports-documents/praxis-reports
PRS	4	С	tory requirem author would docum ents co ward to their cheese.	 UNICEF, Access to Civil, Economic and Social Rights for Children in the Context of Irregular Migration, 2012: While there is generally a separation between civil registries and immigration enforcement, undocumented parents may also fear detection, particularly in countries where civil servants have a duty to report undocumented migrants PICUM, Rights of Accompanied Children in an Irregular Situation, 2011: Certain barriers that prevent access to basic rights for children in an irregular migration situation arise across the registry, health, education and housing sectors. National legislation is often below the standards set out in human rights law, inexplicit or contradicted by other rules and practices, such as the duty to denounce or administrative requirements. 	No. The Law on registry books prescribes a fine for parents who do not register the birth of their child and don't declare a personal name in a specified time (15 and 30 days after the birth). However, according to the experience of Praxis, this provision does not apply in practice and it is not a factor that discourages parents from attempting to register their children.	Art.48, 54 & 87, Law on Registry Books, The Official Gazette of Republic of Serbia, no. 20/2009 and 145/2014 Praxis casework/practice.

PRS	5	а	Late Birth Registra- tion	Is there a statutory deadline before which birth registration should be completed? If yes, what is it? Is late birth registration possible by law?	 UNHCR Global Action Plan to End Statelessness 2014-24: Low levels of birth registration can be difficult to correct subsequently because procedures for late birth registration have not been established or are lengthy, costly and complex and therefore inaccessible to undocumented populations States also need procedures for late and delayed birth registration and may consider undertaking campaigns to register older children and adults. Birth registration needs to be free, accessible and undertaken on a non-discriminatory basis. UN Human Rights Council, Resolution A/HRC/RES/20/4: as above. Council of Europe, Recommendation CM/Rec (2009) 13 of the Committee of Ministers to member states on the nationality of children: as above. ENS (2015), No Child Should Be Stateless: One of the ways in which states have sought to deal with an intergenerational lack of documentation is by simplifying the procedures for the late registration of births, making it easier for adults who do not have a birth cer- 	The prescribed deadline for registration of a child's birth is 15 days from the day of birth. If a child's birth has not been registered within 30 days, the registrar may register the child's fact of birth only on the basis of a decision by the competent administrative body, so it is necessary to initiate a procedure for the subsequent registration of the fact of birth. The Law on Non-Contentious Procedure prescribes a separate procedure that should enable registration of the fact of birth by persons who are not able to register in the birth registry book through the administrative procedure.	Articles 25 and 28, Law on Registry Books, The Official Gazette of Republic of Serbia, no. 20/2009 and 145/2014 Articles 71a-71lj, Law on Non-Contentious Procedure, Official Gazette of the Republic of Serbia, no. 8/2012
					easier for adults who do not have a birth cer-		
					tificate to acquire one, which can subsequently be used to register their own chil-		
					dren's births		
PRS	5	b		Is late birth regis-	UNHCR Global Action Plan to End Stateless-	Yes.	Praxis practice/casework - see reports in
				tration possible in	<u>ness 2014-24:</u> as above.		PRS 4b.
				practice?	UN Human Rights Council, Resolution		
					A/HRC/RES/20/4: as above.		
					 <u>Council of Europe, Recommendation</u> CM/Rec (2009) 13 of the Committee of Minis- 		
					ters to member states on the nationality of		
					children: as above.		
					• ENS (2015), No Child Should Be Stateless: as		
					above.		

PRS	5	С		Are there any additional requirements (e.g. fee) for the late birth registration procedure? Are these problematic or do they cause lengthy delays?	UN Human Rights Council, Resolution A/HRC/RES/20/4: as above.	No. Administrative and court procedures for late birth registration are free of charge, but the length of both procedures stands out as one of the most frequent problems.	Praxis practice/casework - see reports in PRS 4b.
PRS	6	a	Awareness of birth registra- tion	Does the government have programs in place to promote birth registration? If yes, please provide details.	 ENS (2015), No Child Should Be Stateless: as above. UNHCR Global Action Plan to End Statelessness 2014-24: Action 7 Council of Europe, 3rd European Conference on Nationality, 11-12 October 2004: Taken together Art 7 & 8 ECHR should be understood to encourage States Parties not only to take positive steps to avoid statelessness – including the promotion of birth registration – but also to grant citizenship to children who would otherwise be stateless and to foresee effective remedies for the child to challenge a decision (or lack of decision) by the state in this regard. 	Yes. The government established a Technical Group in 2012 through a memorandum of understanding between the Ombudsperson, Ministry of Public Administration and Local Self Government and UNHCR. Activities included a campaign to raise awareness among members of the Roma minority about how to exercise their right to registration in the birth registry books and the provision of free legal assistance in subsequent registration procedures.	Praxis, Technical Group Formed for the Purpose of Providing Assistance to Members of Roma Community Who are not Registered in Birth Registry Book and Do not Possess Personal Documents, 23 Nov 2012:

^{© 2018} European Network on Statelessness. All rights reserved. This country survey is not intended for wider dissemination as a standalone document, and should be read in conjunction with (and any reference made to) the Statelessness Index country profile on <u>Serbia</u>; February 2018

Jurisprudence and Training – December 2017

Jurisprudence and Training

Cat	Q	Sub	Subtheme	Question	International Norms/Good Practice	Answer	Source
LIT	1	a	Published Judgements	Number of published judgements adjudicating statelessness (broken down by level of jurisdiction). Please list. Number of published		There are no statelessness related judgements.	Legal database: www.propisi.net
LII	1	Б		judgements mentioning statelessness (broken down by level of jurisdic- tion).		/	
LIT	2	а	Legal training	Is there judicial training on statelessness? If yes, please provide details (e.g. provider, frequency).	UNHCR (Good Practices Paper 6): officials who may be in contact with stateless persons need to be trained to identify potential applicants for statelessness status and refer them to appropriate channels. UNHCR Expert Meeting, Statelessness Determination Procedures and the Status of Stateless Persons 2010: It is recommended that States provide specialized training on nationality laws and practices, international standards and statelessness to officials responsible for making statelessness determinations.	Two cycles of training seminars for judges and judicial associates were held in 2013-2014 on the implementation of the new provision of the Law on Non-Contentious Procedure, organized by UNHCR and Praxis. The training covered only risk of statelessness.	Praxis, 26 May 2014, Completed Second Cycle of Seminars for Judges and Judicial Associates about Implementation of the Law on Non-Contentious Procedure: http://praxis.rs/index.php/en/ed-ucation-training/item/766-completed-second-cycle-of-seminars-for-judges-and-judicial-associates-about-imple-mentation-of-the-law-on Non-contentious-procedure Praxis, 29 March 2013, Seminar for Judges and Judicial Associates Held in Nis on the Implementation of the Law on Non-Contentious Procedure — Determination of Time and Place of Birth:

Jurisprudence and Training – December 2017

						deputy registrars and staff of the social welfare centers, on registration in the register of births and issuing documents to persons who are not registered and who do not have identity documents.	http://www.ljud- skaprava.gov.rs/sites/de- fault/files/dokument_file/re- port_no1-2017.pdf
LIT	3	а	Pro Bono	Are there specialised lawyers, law firms or organisations providing free advice to stateless persons or those at risk of statelessness? If yes, please describe.	UNHCR (2014), Handbook on Protection of Stateless Persons: Applicants are to have access to legal counsel. UNHCR (Good Practices Paper 6): Provides the example of Liverpool Law Clinic providing legal assistance to stateless clients in the UK.	Praxis provides free legal aid, information and counselling to persons at risk of statelessness. Free legal aid encompasses representation in various administrative and court procedures related to birth and subsequent birth registration, determination of and admission into the citizenship.	Praxis: www.praxis.org.rs
LIT	4	а	Literature	Is there domestic legal academic literature on statelessness? If possible, please provide number of scholarly articles/references/bodies and hyperlinks etc.		Very limited academic literature on statelessness.	Less than ten scholarly articles. There is one significant author in this field (Vida Cok, with three articles on statelessness) and there is also one article by Mirko Živković, from Faculty of Law, University of Niš.