ENS Statelessness Index Survey: Hungary



Contents

International and Regional Instruments	3
1954 Convention	3
1961 Convention	3
Other conventions	3
Stateless Population Data	7
Availability and sources	7
Stateless in detention data	10
Statelessness Determination and Status	11
Definition of a stateless person	11
Existence of a dedicated SDP	12
Access to procedure (SDP)	13
Assessment (SDP)	18
Procedural Protections (SDP)	21
Protection during SDP	
Appeals (SDP)	25
Stateless Status (SDP)	27
Access to citizenship (SDP)	31
Detention	35
Detention screening	35
Alternatives to immigration detention	41
Procedural safeguards	43

© 2019 European Network on Statelessness. All rights reserved. This country survey is not intended for wider dissemination as a standalone document, and should be read in conjunction with (and any reference made to) the Statelessness Index country profile on Hungary; February 2019. The research for this survey was carried out by Gábor Gyulai (Hungarian Helsinki Committee) with additional research by Zsolt Szekeres (Hungarian Helsinki Committee) and verification by Noémi Radnai as second country expert.





	Protections on release	47
	Readmission agreements	49
P	revention and Reduction	
	Stateless born on territory	50
	Foundlings	55
	Adoption	56
	lus sanguinis and discrimination	56
	Access to birth registration	57
	Late Birth Registration	59
	Reduction	
	Withdrawal of nationality	61
Jı	urisprudence and Training	63
	Published judgements	63
	Legal training	63
	Pro Bono	64
	Literature	64

International and Regional Instruments

Cat	Q	Sub	Subtheme	Question	International Norms / Good Practice	Answer	Source
IOB	1	а	1954 Convention	Is your country party to the 1954 Statelessness Convention?	UN Convention Relating to the Status of Stateless Persons, 1954	Yes, promulgated by Act II of 2002	Act II of 2002 (HU)
IOB	1	b		If yes, when was ratification/accession?		Entry into force: 19 February 2002	
IOB	1	С		Are there reservations in place? Please list them.	Best practice is no reservations. If there are, they should have little or no effect on the rights of stateless persons.	No reservations currently in force.	Act II of 2002 (HU)
IOB	1	d		Does Convention have direct effect?	Best practice is that the Convention has direct effect, though this may depend on legal regime.	No, as Hungary applies a dualist system of international and domestic law. The Convention, however, has the force of law in Hungary, through the promulgating act.	Act II of 2002 (HU)
IOB	2	а	1961 Convention	Is your country party to the 1961 Statelessness Convention?	UN Convention on the Reduction of Statelessness, 1961	Yes, promulgated by Act XV of 2009	Act XV of 2009 (HU)
IOB	2	b		If yes, when was ratification/accession?		Entry into force: 4 April 2009	
ЮВ	2	С		Are there reservations in place? Please list them.	As above	Hungary did not make reservations.	Act XV of 2009 (HU)
IOB	2	d		Does Convention have direct effect?	As above	No, as Hungary applies a dualist system of international and domestic law. The Convention, however, has the force of law in Hungary, through the promulgating act.	Act XV of 2009 (HU)
IOB	3	а	Other conventions	State party to European Convention on Nationality 1997? Are there	European Convention on Nationality, 1997	Yes , the Convention entered into force on 1 January 2007. Hungary made reservations to the following articles:	Act III of 2002 (HU)

³

reservations in place? Please list them. • 11) No written reasoning shall be provided to decisions on the acquisition of nationality; • 12) No administrative or judicial remedy	
of nationality; • 12) No administrative or judicial remedy	
12) No administrative or judicial remedy	
shall be provided in cases regarding the	
acquisition of nationality	
• 21 (3) a) Only men living on the territory	
of Hungary shall be obliged to do military	
service. Those bearing more than one	
citizenship and who do not live in	
Hungary cannot be obliged to serve in the	
military and they cannot do it on a	
voluntary basis either. Those bearing	
more than one citizenship are not exempt	
from military service if they live in	
Hungary.	
State Party to European <u>European Convention on Human</u> Yes , the Convention entered into force on 15 <u>Act XXXI of 1993 (HU</u>)
Convention on Human Rights, 1950 April 1993 through the promulgating act.	
IOB 3 b Rights 1950? Are there There are no reservations in place.	
reservations in place?	
Please list them.	
State Party to Council of Council of Europe Convention on Yes, the Convention entered into force on 1 Act XCVIII of 2008 (H	11)
Europe Convention on the the Avoidance of Statelessness in May 2009 through the promulgating act.	0,
avoidance of Relation to State Succession, There are no reservations in place.	
State succession 2006?	
Are there reservations in	
place? Please list them.	
Bound by Directive Directive 2008/115/EC of the Yes, no reservations.	
2008/115/EC of the <u>European Parliament and of the</u>	
European Parliament and Council (EU Returns Directive)	
IOB 3 d of the Council (EU Returns	
Directive). Are there	
reservations in place?	
Please list them.	

⁴

ЮВ	3	е	State Party to Conventio on the Rights of the Child 1989? Are there reservations in place? Please list them.	Child 1000	Yes, the Convention entered into force on 22 November 1991 through the promulgating act. There are no reservations in place.	Act LXIV of 1991(HU)
IOB	3	f	State Party to International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights 1966? Are there reservations in place? Please list them.	International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights 1966	Yes, the Convention entered into force on 22 April 1976 through the promulgating act. There are no reservations in place.	Law-Decree 8 of 1976 (HU)
ЮВ	3	g	State Party to International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights 1966? Are there reservations in place? Please list them.	Rights 1966	Yes, the Convention entered into force on 22 April 1976 through the promulgating act. There are no reservations in place.	Law-Decree 9 of 1976 (HU)
IOB	3	h	State Party to Conventio on the Elimination of all Forms of Discrimination Against Women 1979? A there reservations in place? Please list them.	Against Women 1979 Gen Rec. 32 on the gender-	Yes, the Convention entered into force on 30 May 1982 through the promulgating act. There are no reservations in place. Having ratified the Convention and the Optional Protocol, Gen. Rec. 32 has the status of soft law.	Law-Decree 10 of 1982 (HU) Act LX of 2001 (HU)
IOB	3	i	State Party to Conventio against Torture and Othe Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment 1984? Are there reservations in place? Please list them.		Yes, the Convention entered into force on 18 March 1988 through the promulgating act. There are no reservations in place.	Law-Decree 3 of 1988 (HU)

International and Regional Instruments – February 2019

			State Party to	International Convention on the	Yes, the Convention entered into force on 27	Law-Decree 8 of 1969 (HU)
			International Convention	Elimination of All Forms of Racial	April 1969 through the promulgating act.	
			on the Elimination of All	Discrimination 1965	There are no reservations in place.	
IOB	3	j	Forms of Racial			
			Discrimination 1966? Are			
			there reservations in			
			place? Please list them.			
			State Party to the	International Convention on the	Hungary is not state party to this Convention.	
			International Convention	Protection of the Rights of all		
			on the Protection of the	Migrant Workers and Members		
IOB	2	le le	Rights of All Migrant	of their Families 1990		
ЮВ	3	K	Workers and Members of			
			their Families 1990? Are			
			there reservations in			
			place? Please list them.			

Stateless Population Data

Cat	Q	Sub	Subtheme	Question	International Norms / Good Practice	Answer	Source
POP	1	а	Availability and sources	Does the Govt have a discrete category for statelessness in its data collection system (e.g. in the census)? If so, what are the Govt figures for the total stateless population on the territory? Is the data disaggregated? If so, how?	Gen. Rec. 32 of CEDAW (para. 39): States parties should gather, analyse and make available sex- disaggregated statistical data and trends European Council, Conclusions of the Council and the Representatives of the Governments of the Member States on Statelessness: Recognise the importance of exchanging good practicesconcerning the collection of reliable data on stateless persons UNHCR Global Action Plan to End Statelessness 2014- 2024 (Action 10): Improve quantitative and qualitative data on stateless populations Institute on Statelessness and Inclusion (The World's Stateless) pg.11: States should adopt and/or strengthen measures to count stateless persons on their territory	Yes. Census data is merely based on self-identification as stateless, which raises doubts about the completeness/accuracy of the data collected. The last census (2011) found 113 stateless persons, of which 53 men and 60 women. Other statistical data published by the Central Statistical Office of Hungary (KSH), such as the yearly updates on non-Hungarian-national population, do not include any specific stock of flow figure on the country's stateless population (only major countries of nationality are specified). The Immigration and Asylum Office (the authority competent in statelessness determination) does not publish any specific data on the country's stateless population. Between 2008 (the entry into force of the legal framework for the Hungarian statelessness-specific protection regime) and 2017, the competent Hungarian immigration authority granted stateless status to 138 persons (data by courtesy of UNHCR). Research by the Hungarian Helsinki Committee revealed in 2016 (based on official data received from the Office of Immigration and Nationality, the then competent authority in nationality-related matters) that between 1 January 2011	2011 census data (table 1.1.27), Central Statistical Office of Hungary (KSH) (HU) Data shared by the Regional Representation of UNHCR for Central Europe Gábor Gyulai, The Black Box of Nationality. The Naturalisation of Refugees and Stateless Persons in Hungary, Hungarian Helsinki Committee, 2015, p. 21

© 2019 European Network on Statelessness. All rights reserved. This country survey is not intended for wider dissemination as a standalone document, and should be read in conjunction with (and any reference made to) the Statelessness Index country profile on Hungary; February 2019. The research for this survey was carried out by Gábor Gyulai (Hungarian Helsinki Committee) with additional research by Zsolt Szekeres (Hungarian Helsinki Committee) and verification by Noémi Radnai as second country expert.

POP	1	b	Do Govt authorities define categories of persons who may overlap with stateless (e.g. unknown nationality, unspecified nationality, other)? Are statistics on these available? If, yes, please indicate categories and statistics.	As above	and 31 October 2015, 38 stateless persons could naturalise as Hungarian citizens. Data from the last <u>census</u> (2011) did not include any relevant category (unknown or undetermined nationality, etc.). No data could be retrieved from statistics published by the Central Statistical Office of Hungary (KSH) and the Immigration and Asylum Office (BMH).	
РОР	1	С	What is the UNHCR estimate for the population of stateless persons and/or those at risk of statelessness on the territory? What is UNHCR's source for this information?	As above	The UNHCR Population Statistics website reports 139 persons under the UNHCR's statelessness mandate in Hungary for 2017. This figure corresponds to that of persons having received stateless status at first instance in Hungary between 2008 (the entry into force of the legal framework for the Hungarian statelessness- specific protection regime) and 2017. The reason for the minor difference between the two figures (139 and 138, respectively) is unknown. In addition, the UNHCR Population Statistics website reports 79 persons under the UNHCR's refugee (and persons in refugee-like situations) mandate in Hungary for 2017.	UNHCR Population Statistics website
POP	1	d	Are there indirect (proxy) sources of statistics on stateless persons? E.g. categories of persons for which statistics are available where stateless persons may be more highly represented (e.g. relevant country of origin or profiles (e.g. Palestinians or Syrian	As above	The Immigration and Asylum Office collects asylum data disaggregated by nationality, age and sex, but they only publish a simplified summary with no disaggregation. It is possible to receive this information through freedom of information requests.	Hungarian Helsinki Committee

^{© 2019} European Network on Statelessness. All rights reserved. This country survey is not intended for wider dissemination as a standalone document, and should be read in conjunction with (and any reference made to) the Statelessness Index country profile on Hungary; February 2019.

POP	1	e	Kurds)? Please provide explanation and figures. Have there been surveys or mapping studies done to estimate the population of stateless persons in the country? Are there other sources of	UNHCR Global Action Plan to End Statelessness 2014- 2024: Action 10 As above	No No	
POP	1	f	estimates for the population of stateless persons (not covered by the above)? If so, list sources and figures.	As above	NO	
РОР	1	ന	Are there issues with reliability of stateless data? If yes, please describe why.	As above	Yes. Census data is based merely on selfidentification as stateless, which raises doubts about the completeness/accuracy of the data collected. Summary flow data regarding the number of stateless persons who have received stateless, refugee or subsidiary protection status in past years is also a questionable indicator of the actual stateless population living in the country, due to the frequent secondary migration typically to Western Europe of those granted international protection in Hungary. Also, this data does not capture the stateless population outside the international protection context. An important population at risk of statelessness that has so far been out of the scope of targeted reporting is the children of refugees and stateless persons born in Hungary, most of whom are registered at birth and then permanently remain of "unknown nationality", with no mechanism in place to determine the actual nationality or statelessness of these children.	2014 research report by the Hungarian Helsinki Committee

^{© 2019} European Network on Statelessness. All rights reserved. This country survey is not intended for wider dissemination as a standalone document, and should be read in conjunction with (and any reference made to) the Statelessness Index country profile on Hungary; February 2019.

POP	1	h		Are there indications that the stateless population is either over or under reported? Please describe.	As above	There is currently no sufficiently reliable comprehensive data about the stateless population of Hungary. Yet, no historical, social or demographic factor indicates that Hungary would have a significant non-reported stateless population and the existing figures (e.g. census) are not expected to differ massively from the actual size of the population. Improved focus and data collection methods at the following national census, or targeted demographic mapping (focusing also on persons at risk of statelessness) could fill the current information gap.	
POP	1	i		Please provide any available figures on stateless refugees or asylum seekers (if there is data, please clarify whether Govt also counts stateless refugees and asylum seekers in the stateless population to avoid under/over reporting).	As above	The <u>UNHCR Population Statistics website</u> reports 79 persons under the UNHCR's refugee (and persons in refugee-like situations) mandate in Hungary for 2017.	UNHCR Population Statistics website Official data provided by the Immigration and Asylum Office and the UNHCR Regional Representation for Central Europe
POP	2	а	Stateless in detention data	Number of stateless persons in immigration detention	As above and see also norms in Detention section.	The Police collect and hold data on detention, but it is not clear how this is disaggregated, and it is not published.	Hungarian Helsinki Committee
РОР	2	b		Are there statistics on individuals released from immigration detention who were unremovable, their country of origin and length of detention? If yes, please provide.	As above	As above	

¹⁰

Statelessness Determination and Status

Cat	Q	Sub	Subtheme	Question	International Norms / Good Practice	Answer	Source
IDP	1	а	Definition of a stateless person	Is there a definition of a stateless person in national law? Do the definition and exclusion provisions align with the 1954 Convention? Please provide details.	UN Convention Relating to the Status of Stateless Persons, 1954: Article 1(1) and 1(2).	Yes. Article 1 (1): Both Act II of 2002 that promulgates the 1954 Convention and Act II of 2007 on the entry and stay of third-country nationals include an erroneous translation of the "stateless person" definition, similarly to numerous other language versions around the world. While the authentic definition includes "under the operation of its law" ("sous "application de sa loi" in French), the Hungarian translations include only "based on its law" ("saját joga alapján" – Act II of 2002) and "according to its law" ("saját joga szerint" – Act II of 2007). Missing out the term "operation" is a fundamental difference that alters the meaning of the definition in Hungarian, as compared to the authentic drafting languages of English and French. Article 1 (2) is properly translated and included in Act II of 2002 that promulgates the 1954 Convention. Section 78 (1) (b) of Act II of 2002 sets forth an additional exclusion ground (not included in the 1954 Convention), when stipulating that a claim for stateless status shall be rejected if "[] the applicant renounced her/his nationality on purpose, with the intention to obtain stateless status". According to the Act's official justification by the Minister of Justice and Law Enforcement, this provision was based on Recommendation No. R (99) 18 of the	Act II of 2002 (HU) Act II of 2007 on the entry and stay of third-country nationals (HU)

^{© 2019} European Network on Statelessness. All rights reserved. This country survey is not intended for wider dissemination as a standalone document, and should be read in conjunction with (and any reference made to) the Statelessness Index country profile on Hungary; February 2019. The research for this survey was carried out by Gábor Gyulai (Hungarian Helsinki Committee) with additional research by Zsolt Szekeres (Hungarian Helsinki Committee) and verification by Noémi Radnai as second country expert.

Identifie	duon	ana n	otection – rebru	101 y 2013			
IDP	1	b	Existence of a dedicated SDP	Which of the following best describes the situation in your country? Choose only one and then proceed to question indicated. 1. There is a dedicated statelessness determination procedure (SDP) established in law, administrative guidance, or judicial procedure (proceed to Question 2a).	UNHCR (2014), Handbook on Protection of Stateless Persons: it is implicit in the 1954 Convention that States must identify stateless persons within their jurisdictions so as to provide them appropriate treatment in order to comply with their Convention commitments. UNHCR (Good Practices Paper 6): Establishing a statelessness determination procedure is the most efficient means for States Parties to the 1954 Convention to identify the beneficiaries of that Convention.	Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe on the avoidance and reduction of statelessness. However, this reference can only be based on a misunderstanding or mistranslation, as the document in question does not set forth any recommendation that would support the problematic provision. There is no information about the actual use of this provision, and no such cases have become known to the Hungarian Helsinki Committee. #1 – There is a dedicated statelessness determination procedure (SDP) established in law.	
IDP	2	а		You have identified that your country has a dedicated SDP established in law, administrative guidance or judicial procedure. Which authority is responsible for	ENS (2013), Statelessness Determination and the Protection of Stateless Persons: a summary guide of good practices: There is no general rule for appointing the most appropriate authority for statelessness determination the structure must be evaluated in light	The Immigration and Asylum Office (BMH). Seven regional directorates of the alien policing authority are in charge (not the asylum branch of the same office).	Act II of 2007 on the entry and stay of third-country nationals, Section 76 (1) (HU)

				determining	of the specific national		
				statelessness?	circumstances.		
IDP	2	b	Access to procedure (SDP)	determining	UNHCR (2014), Handbook on Protection of Stateless Persons: For procedures to be fair and efficient, access to them must be ensured. Dissemination of information, including through targeted information campaigns counselling on the procedures Given that individuals are sometimes unaware of SDPs or hesitant to applyprocedures can usefully contain safeguards permitting State authorities to initiate a procedure. UNHCR (Good Practices Paper 6): ENS (2013), Statelessness Determination and the Protection of Stateless Persons: a summary guide of good practices: Bureaucratic difficulties (such as complicated application forms, inflexible procedures, strict language requirements, limited places where claims can be submitted, high costs, etc.) can encumber, or even impede access to SDPs. ENS (2016), Protecting Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detention in the United Kingdom: Any application	A claim for stateless status may be lodged in the form of a written application as well as by a verbal statement. In the latter case, the authority has to prepare a written record of the statement. There are no further formal requirements concerning the form of the application and there is no specific guidance on how to "recognise" claims for stateless status. This regulation is identical to that in force with regard to asylum applications in Hungary and is considered an important procedural guarantee.	Act II of 2007 on the entry and stay of third-country nationals, Section 76 (1)-(2) (HU) Government Decree 114/2007. (V. 24.) on the execution of Act II of 2007 on the entry and stay of third-country nationals, Section 159 (1) (HU)
					form to apply for stateless status should be simplified and offered in a variety of languages [and] made freely available, including in		
				Do submissions and/or	variety of languages [and] made	No. Applicants are entitled to submit their	Act II of 2007 on the entry and
IDP	2	С		other written evidence have to be submitted in an official language?	above.	claim orally, and Section 159 (3) of Government Decree 114/2007. (V. 24.) on the execution of Act II of 2007 on the entry	stay of third-country nationals, Section 76 (1) (HU)

Identific	ation	ana i	otection – Febru	ial y 2013			
						and stay of third-country nationals	Government Decree 114/2007.
						stipulates that "If the claim is submitted	(V. 24.) on the execution of Act
						orally and the applicant does not speak	II of 2007 on the entry and stay
						Hungarian, the regional directorate [of the	of third-country nationals,
						immigration authority] provides an	Section 159 (3) (HU)
						interpreter who speaks the mother tongue	
						of the applicant or another language	
						understood by her/him. The authority may	
						refrain from providing an interpreter, if the	
						proceeding officer speaks the applicant's	
						mother tongue or another language	
						understood by her/him, and if the	
						applicant gives her/his consent to this in	
						writing." There is no information about the	
						actual use in practice of this important	
						legal safeguard.	
					UNHCR (Good Practices Paper 6): As	Yes. A claim for stateless status may be	Act II of 2007 on the entry and
					above.	lodged in the form of a written application	stay of third-country nationals,
					UNHCR (2014), Handbook on	as well as by a verbal statement. In the	Section 76 (1)-(2) (HU)
					Protection of Stateless Persons:	latter case, the authority has to prepare a	
					Given that individuals are sometimes	written record of the statement. There are	Government Decree 114/2007.
				Can an application for	unaware of SDPs or hesitant to apply	no further formal requirements concerning	(V. 24.) on the execution of Act
				stateless status be	for statelessness status, procedures	the form of the application and there is no	II of 2007 on the entry and stay
IDP	2	d		made orally to a public	can usefully contain safeguards	specific guidance on how to "recognise"	of third-country nationals,
				official?	permitting State authorities to	claims for stateless status. This regulation	Section 159 (1) (HU)
					initiate a procedure.	is identical to that in force with regard to	
					·	asylum applications in Hungary and is	
						considered an important procedural	
						guarantee. The submission of a claim for	
						stateless status is free of charge.	
					UNHCR (Good Practices Paper 6):	Yes. Since Constitutional Court Resolution	Constitutional Court Resolution
					access to the SDP must be	6/2015 (II.25.) the Immigration and Asylum	6/2015 (II.25.) of the
					guaranteed.	Office can no longer refuse to admit	Constitutional Court on the
				Are there obligations in		statelessness claims submitted by	determination whether the
				law on authorities to		irregularly/unlawfully staying persons.	term "lawfully" in Section 76(1)
IDP	2	е		consider the		, , , ,	of Act II of 2007 on the
				application?			conditions of Entry and Stay of
							Third-Country Nationals is
							contrary to the Fundamental
							Act and the annulment thereof
	1	<u> </u>	1	l			

¹⁴

			idi y 2015	·	-	
				<u>UNHCR (Good Practices Paper 6):</u> it	No. However, Government Decree	Section 160 (1) of Government
				is recommended that governmental	114/2007. (V. 24.) stipulates that "If the	Decree 114/2007. (V. 24.) on
				authorities be authorised to initiate	possibility that a third-country national is	the execution of Act II of 2007
				these procedures ex officio	stateless arises in any of the procedures	on the entry and stay of third-
				ENS (2013), Statelessness	under the scope of this Act, the alien	country nationals (HU)
				Determination and the Protection of	policing authority shall inform the person	
				Stateless Persons: a summary guide	concerned about the possibility of	
				of good practices: as above.	submitting a request for stateless status,	
					the related proceedings, as well as the	
					rights and obligations attached to stateless	
			Are government		status. The foreigner shall be asked to sign	
IDP	2	f	authorities authorised		a record that she/he has received this	
IDF		•	to initiate SDPs ex		information." This provision constitutes an	
			officio?		important procedural guarantee, as it	
					foresees an active role for immigration	
					authorities in promoting access to	
					protection for stateless persons. However,	
					there is hardly any information about the	
					practical use of this rule. It is difficult to	
					imagine a widespread use of this	
					procedural guarantee without	
					concentrated efforts to furnish	
					immigration and asylum officers with	
					relevant training on statelessness.	
				UNHCR (Good Practices Paper 6):	No . The submission of a claim for stateless	Government Decree 114/2007.
			Is there an application	access to the SDP must be	status is free of charge.	(V. 24.) on the execution of Act
IDP	2	g	fee?	guaranteed.		II of 2007 on the entry and stay
			leer			of third-country nationals,
						Section 159 (1) (HU)
				UNHCR (Good Practices Paper 6):	No. Since Constitutional Court Resolution	Constitutional Court Resolution
				Access to the procedure needs to be	6/2015 (11.25.), the Immigration and	6/2015 (II.25.) of the
				open to anyone who claims to be	Asylum Office can no longer refuse to	Constitutional Court on the
				stateless, regardless oflawful stay	admit statelessness claims submitted by	determination whether the
			Is there a requirement	or residence	irregularly/unlawfully staying persons.	term "lawfully" in Section 76(1)
IDP	2	h	for lawful stay to access	ENS (2013), Statelessness	The judgment concluded that the previous	of Act II of 2007 on the
			the SDP?	Determination and the Protection of	lawful stay requirement was not merely a	conditions of Entry and Stay of
				Stateless Persons: a summary guide	procedural rule (as argued by the	Third-Country Nationals is
				of good practices: Everyone in a	immigration authority), but a material one	contrary to the Fundamental
				state's territory must have access to	that modifies the definition of a stateless	Act and the annulment thereof,
				SDPs. There is no basis in the 1954	person in Article 1(1) of the 1954	para. 23 & 27

Tachtine	1	1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
				Convention for requiring that applicant be lawfully within a state.	Convention, to which no reservations or modifications are allowed, and thus it unduly narrowed the personal scope of the Convention. In the Court's view, this conclusion is further supported by the fact that under the Convention certain rights are to be accorded only to lawfully staying stateless persons, while other rights to all of them, and this distinction indicates that the drafters did not see a general need for a lawful stay condition. The Court therefore agreed with the petitioner first-instance court and the third-party interveners (the Hungarian Helsinki Committee and the UNHCR) and quashed the lawful stay requirement.	See more analysis of this judgement on the blog of the European Network on Statelessness.
IDP	2	i	Is there a time limit beyond which a person cannot access the SDP? If so, what is this and can the requirement be waived?	UNHCR (Good Practices Paper 6): For procedures to be fair and efficient access to the SDP must be guaranteed and not subject to time limits. ENS (2013), Statelessness Determination and the Protection of Stateless Persons: a summary guide of good practices: There is no basis in the 1954 Convention to set time limits for individuals to claim stateless status	No.	
IDP	2	j	Is the examination of statelessness claims conducted by a dedicated centralised body with relevant expertise? If yes, please specify.	UNHCR (2014), Handbook on Protection of Stateless Persons: States may choose between a centralised procedure or one that is conducted by local authorities. Centralised procedures are preferable as they are more likely to develop the necessary expertise UNHCR (Good Practices Paper 6): Where to situate SDPs institutionally is a matter of State discretion and can vary from one country to the	Statelessness claims are conducted by 7 regional directorates of the alien policing authority of the Immigration and Asylum Office. This lack of centralisation makes it difficult to accumulate significant practical experience, given the low number of cases.	Hungarian Helsinki Committee

Tacino	1011		otection – rebru	101 9 2013			
					next. Regardlessit is important that		
					examiners develop expertise while		
					ensuring that the procedures are		
					accessible		
					UNHCR Executive Committee,	No.	
					Conclusion on Identification,		
					Prevention and Reduction of		
					Statelessness and Protection of		
					Stateless Persons No. 106 (LVII) –		
				Is there training to	2006: Requests UNHCR to actively		
				inform different	disseminate information and, where		
				government bodies	appropriate, train government		
				about statelessness and	counterparts on appropriate		
IDP	2	k		SDPs? If yes, please	mechanisms for identifying,		
				provide details (e.g.	recording, and granting a status to		
				who provides training	stateless persons.		
				to whom and how	UNHCR (Good Practices Paper 6):		
				often?)	Training sessions for officials and		
					meetings between the various		
					decentralised bodies, UNHCR and		
					civil society take place on a regular		
					basis, allowing for an exchange of		
					information and discussion		
					UNHCR (Good Practices Paper 6):	No information available. Hungarian law	Hungarian Helsinki Committee
					cooperation between actors working	does not regulate such cross-referrals or	
					on statelessness and the various	forms of cooperation, not even between	
					government agencies involved in	the asylum and alien policing branch of the	
				Is there cooperation	determining statelessness is good	Immigration and Asylum Office. Yet, the	
				between agencies that	practice.	fact that the statelessness determination	
				may have contact with		and the asylum authority are parts (even if	
IDP	2	1		stateless people? If so,		distinct parts) of the same authority may	
				how are cases referred		allow for better communication between	
				to the appropriate		them, as compared to separate	
				authority for		authorities. Birth registration and	
				determination?		statelessness determination are	
						completely separate processes (conducted	
						by different authorities), with no reported	
						connections or cross-referrals.	

			otection - repro	,			
					UNHCR (2014), Handbook on	In principle, the burden of proof lies with	Act II of 2007 on the entry and
					<u>Protection of Stateless Persons</u> :the	the applicant (the applicant has the duty to	stay of third-country nationals,
					burden of proof is in principle	"prove or substantiate" her/his	Section 79 (1)- (2) (HU)
					shared, in that both the applicant	statelessness). Government Decree	
					and examiner must cooperate to	114/2007 (V. 24) obliges the applicant to	Act CL of 2016 on the Code of
					obtain evidence and to establish the	attach to the application all documents	General Administrative
					facts.	that may facilitate the stateless status	Procedure, Section 62 (1)-(2)
					<u>UNHCR (Good Practices Paper 6):</u>	determination process. At the same time,	(HU)
					SDPs must take into consideration	Act II of 2007 stipulates that the alien	
					the difficulties inherent in proving	policing authority provides administrative	Government Decree 114/2007.
					statelessness. UNHCR recommends	assistance in the establishment of facts	(V. 24.) on the execution of Act
					that SDPs provide for a shared	through Hungarian diplomatic	II of 2007 on the entry and stay
					burden of proof	representations, if the applicant so	of third-country nationals,
					ENS (2013), Statelessness	requests. In addition, general rules	Section 160 (3) (HU)
					<u>Determination and the Protection of</u>	regulating administrative procedures state	=== (=, ()
					Stateless Persons: a summary guide	that the immigration authority has in the	
					of good practices: The applicant has	statelessness determination process the	
					a duty to provide as full and truthful	obligation to conduct an "evidentiary	
				Who has the burden of	accountas possible and to submit	procedure", if the information at its	
IDP	3	а	Assessment	proof in the SDP? Is this	all evidence reasonably available.	disposal is insufficient for decision-making.	
151		ű	(SDP)	shared in practice, even	Similarly, the determination	In this process, it can consider any	
				if not in law?	authority is required to obtain and	evidence that is suitable to establish the	
					present all relevant evidence	facts and circumstances of the case.	
					reasonably available to it	Considering all these rules, it can be	
					authorities need to [give]	summarised that the burden of proof	
					sympathetic consideration to	principally lies on the applicant, but in	
					testimonial explanations regarding	practice, the authority shall also actively	
					the absence of certain kinds of	contribute to the establishment of facts.	
					evidence.	The experience of the Hungarian Helsinki	
					UNHCR Expert Meeting,	Committee confirms the <i>de facto</i> sharing	
					Statelessness Determination	of the duty to obtain evidence in practice.	
					<u>Procedures and the Status of</u>		
					Stateless Persons 2010: It is		
					incumbent on individuals to		
					cooperate to establish relevant facts.		
					If an individual can demonstrate, on		
					the basis of all reasonably available		
					evidence, that he or she is evidently		
					not a national, then the burden		
<u> </u>	<u> </u>				should shift to the State to prove		

Identific	LIOII	and m	rotection – Febru	ary 2019			
					that the individual is a national of a State.		
IDP	3	b		What is the standard of proof? Is it the same as in asylum applications?	UNHCR (2014), Handbook on Protection of Stateless Persons: States areadvised to adopt the same standard of proof as in refugee status determination, namely to a "reasonable degree" UNHCR, Nationality and Statelessness, Handbook for Parliamentarians No. 22, 2014: Because of the difficulties inherent in proving statelessness, the threshold of evidence required should not be too high. States are therefore advised to adopt the same standard of proof as in refugee status determination.	Act II of 2007 on the entry and stay of third-country nationals sets a lowered standard of proof in statelessness determination, by stipulating that "In the statelessness determination procedure, the applicant shall prove or substantiate her/his statelessness []". The term "substantiate" (valószínűsít) was copied from the similar Hungarian provision referring to asylum procedures, and it reflects the UNHCR terminology describing the applicable standard in refugee status determination. By doing so, the law-maker explicitly acknowledged the practical difficulty of establishing statelessness and the protection-oriented objective of the procedure.	Act II of 2007 on the entry and stay of third-country nationals, Section 79 (1) (HU)
IDP	3	С		Is there respect for specific protection needs and evidentiary challenges presented by women, children and people with disabilities in the SDP?	UNHCR (2014), Handbook on Protection of Stateless Persons: As a result of discrimination, women might face additional barriers in acquiring relevant documentation, such as birth certificates or other identification documents that would be pertinent to establishing their nationality status Children, especially unaccompanied children, may face acute challenges in communicating basic facts with respect to their nationality. Statesmust follow the principle of pursuing the best interests of the child Gen. Rec. 32 of CEDAW: Nationality laws may discriminate directly or indirectly against women. Legislative provisions that appear gender	The law allows for the oral submission of statelessness claims, which constitutes a safeguard for illiterate persons. In such cases, the authority has to prepare a written record of the claim, which needs to be signed by the applicant. Government Decree 114/207 explicitly exempts illiterate applicants from this obligation; in these cases, the authority shall prepare an official record of the fact that the applicant is unable to sign the claim. It also stipulates that appointed case guardians shall proceed instead of unaccompanied minor applicants; and that persons of limited legal capacity (such as children) may participate independently in the statelessness determination procedure. The Hungarian Helsinki Committee has no information about the practical implementation of these safeguards and	Government Decree 114/2007. (V. 24.) on the execution of Act II of 2007 on the entry and stay of third-country nationals, Sections 159 (2), 163 (1) and 163 (2) (HU)

identific	ation	allu Fi	otection – Februa	ary 2019			
					neutral may in practice have a disproportionate and negative impact on the enjoyment of the right to nationality by women	has not received any complaint related thereto.	
IDP	3	d		Are decision makers presented with clear guidance on how to determine statelessness, including sources of evidence and procedures for evidence gathering to establish statelessness? Please provide details.	ENS (2013), Statelessness Determination and the Protection of Stateless Persons: a summary guide of good practices: determining authorities can benefit significantly from any concrete guidance that sets clear benchmarks and pathways for the establishment of material facts and circumstances	Yes, Hungarian legislation offers a positive model of setting detailed procedural and evidentiary rules regarding statelessness determination in legislation. Act II of 2007 stipulates that: "In the statelessness determination procedure, the applicant shall prove or substantiate her/his statelessness in particular with regard to • the country where she/he was born; • the country of her/his former place of stay or residence; • the country of nationality of her/his family members and parents." Based on the experience of the Hungarian Helsinki Committee, this provision constitutes useful guidance for decision-makers and it is generally applied. In all cases known to the HHC, the states of interest were determined according to the above rule and thus limited to two or three countries. Government Decree 114/2007 specifies that the proceeding authority shall take its decision with regard to the information concerning the nationality regulation and registers of the states in question (see above), considering in particular: • the opinion of the UNHCR; • the information provided by Hungarian diplomatic representations abroad (that the authority shall contact upon request of the applicant);	Section 79 (1) of Act II of 2007 on the entry and stay of third-country nationals (HU) Hungarian Helsinki Committee Section 164 (1) of Government Decree 114/2007. (V. 24.) on the execution of Act II of 2007 on the entry and stay of third-country nationals (HU)

UNICR (2014). Handbook on Protection of Stateless Persons: applicants are to have access to legal counsel, where free legal asistance, is available, it is to be offered to applicants without financial means. ENS (2013). Statelessness Determination and the Protection of Stateless Persons: a summary gude of pood practices; if state funded legal aid available in the country it should be provided to stateless claimants. If there is no state funded legal aid available in the country it should be provided to stateless claimants. If there is no state funded legal aid but asylum claimants can access legal aid free of charge, then the same level of access should be provided to stateless claimants. Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available in the country it should be provided to stateless claimants. If there is no state funded legal aid. but asylum claimants can access legal aid free of charge, then the same level of access should be provided to stateless claimants. Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application of the examination of their financial support. Act I LXX of 2003 on Legal Aid, Section 5(2) (d) (HU) practice. At the same time, the specifically trained lawyres of the Hungarian Helsinki Committee offer professional free-of- charge legal assistance. Application? In the examination of their financial support of	identifica	ווטוו	and Fi	otection – repru				
Procedural Procedural Procedural Procedural Protections (SDP) SDP)								
UNHCR (2014), Handbook on Protection of Stateless Persons: applicants are to have access to legal assistance. Applicants stateless status are entitled to state-funded legal aid, without the examination of their financial situation of applicants without financial means. ENS (2013), Statelessness Determination and the Protection of Stateless Persons: a summary guide of good practices: If state funded legal aid is available in the country it should be provided to stateless status use this opportunity in practice. At the same time, the specifically trained lawyers of the Hungarian Helsinki Committee of the provided to stateless claimants. If there is no state funded legal aid but asylum claimants can access legal aid free of charge, then the same level of access should be provided to stateless claimants. Wes. The authority has the duty to ensure the applicant's access to legal assistance. Applicant's access to legal assistance. Applicant's nacces legal aid, without the examination of their financial situation of the person concerned that she/he is in need of this form of support). There is no stateless status use this opportunity in practice. At the same time, the specifically trained lawyers of the Hungarian Helsinki Committee of the provided to stateless status use this opportunity in practice. At the same time, the specifically trained lawyers of the Hungarian Helsinki Committee of the provided to stateless status use this opportunity in practice. At the same time, the specifically trained lawyers of the Hungarian Helsinki Committee of the Hungarian Helsinki Committee in statelessness determination procedures, with the financial support of UNHCR. The applicant's authorised representative is entitled to be present at the interviews and shall be informed about the time of the interview five days in advance. According to the experience of the Hungarian Helsinki Committee of the interview five da							,	
applicants are to have access to legal counsel; where free legal asistance is available, it is to be offered to applicants without financial means. ENS (2013), Statelessness Determination and the Protection of Stateless Persons: a summary guide of good practices: If state funded legal aid is available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the provided to stateless status are entitled to to state-funded legal aid, without the examination of their financial situation (based on the simple declaration of the person concerned that she/he is in need of this form of support). There is no stateless status use this opportunity in practice. At the same time, the specifically trained lawyers of the Hungarian Helsinki Committee of the person concerned that she/he is in need of this form of support). There is no stateless stat						UNHCR (2014), Handbook on	, , , ,	Act II of 2007 on the entry and
Counsel; where free legal assistance is available, it is to be offered to applicants without financial means. ENS (2013), Statelessness Determination and the Protection of Stateless Persons: a summary guide of good practices: If state funded legal aid is available in the country it should be provided to stateless claimants. If there is no state funded legal aid but asylum claimants can access legal aid free of charge, then the same level of access should be provided to stateless claimants. Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available in the country it should be provided to stateless claimants. If there is no state funded legal aid but asylum claimants can access legal aid free of charge, then the same level of access should be provided to stateless claimants. Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available in the country it should be provided to stateless. Claimants of the interview of the Hungarian Helsinki Committee of the interview and shall be informed about the time of the interview five days in advance. According to the experience of the Hungarian Helsinki Committee, the representative is permitted to make comments or ask questions during the						Protection of Stateless Persons:	the applicant's access to legal assistance.	stay of third-country nationals,
is available, it is to be offered to applicants without financial means. ENS (2013), Statelessness Determination and the Protection of Stateless Persons: a summary guide of good practices: If state funded legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? IS there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the available during the application? Is there free legal aid available available during the available during the available available there is no state funded legal assistance and representation of the person concerned that she/he is in need of this form of support. There is no stateless status use this opportunity in practice. At the same time, the specifically trained lawyers of the Hungarian Helsinki Committee offer professional free-of-charge legal assistance and representation in stateless status use this opportunity in practice. At the same time, the specifically trained lawyers of the Hungarian Helsinki Committee offer professional free-of-charge legal assistance and representation in stateless status use this opportunity in practic						applicants are to have access to legal	Applicants for stateless status are entitled	Section 77 (3) (HU)
applicants without financial means. ENS (2013), Statelessness Determination and the Protection of Stateless Persons: a summary guide of good practices: If state funded legal aid is available in the country it should be provided to stateless claimants. Is there free legal aid available during the application? IDP 4 a Procedural Protections (SDP) Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available in the country it should be provided to stateless claimants. If there is no state funded legal aid is available in the country it should be provided to stateless claimants can access legal aid free of charge, then the same level of access should be provided to stateless claimants. Is there free legal aid available in the country it should be provided to stateless claimants can access legal aid free of charge, then the same level of access should be provided to stateless claimants. Is there free legal aid available in the country it should be provided to stateless claimants can access legal aid free of charge, then the same level of access should be provided to stateless claimants. Is there free legal aid available in the country it should be provided to stateless claimants can access legal aid free of charge, then the same level of access should be provided to stateless claimants. Is there free legal aid available in the country it should be provided to stateless claimants can access legal aid free of charge, then the financial support of UNHCR. The applicant's authorised representative is entitled to be present at the interviews and shall be informed about the time of the interview five days in advance. According to the expection of the five circle. At the same time, the specifically trained lawyers of the Hungarian Helsinki Committee with						counsel; where free legal assistance	to state-funded legal aid, without the	
Procedural IDP 4 a Protections (SDP) Is there free legal aid available during the application? IS there free legal aid is available during the application? IS there free legal aid is available during the application? Is there free legal aid is available in the country it should be provided to stateless claimants. If there is no state funded legal aid but asylum claimants can access legal aid free of charge, then the same level of access should be provided to stateless claimants. Is there free legal aid available in the country it should be provided to stateless claimants and access legal aid free of charge, then the same level of access should be provided to stateless claimants. Is there free legal aid available in the country it should be provided to stateless claimants and access legal aid free of charge, then the same level of access should be provided to stateless claimants. Is there free legal aid available in the country it should be provided to stateless claimants and available during the applicant's authorised representative is entitled to be present at the interviews and shall be informed about the time of the interview five days in advance. According to the experience of the Hungarian Helsinki Committee on the interview and shall be informed about the time of the interview five days in advance. According to the experience of the Hungarian Helsinki Committee on the interview and shall be informed about the time of the interview five days in advance. According to the experience of the Hungarian Helsinki Committee, the representative is permitted to make comments or ask questions during the						is available, it is to be offered to	examination of their financial situation	Act LXXX of 2003 on Legal Aid,
Procedural IDP 4 a Procedural (SDP)						applicants without financial means.	(based on the simple declaration of the	Section 5 (2) (d) (HU)
IDP 4 Procedural Protections (SDP) Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Stateless Persons: a summary guide of good practices: If state funded legal aid is available in the country it should be provided to stateless claimants. If there is no state funded legal aid. but asylum claimants can access legal aid free of charge, then the same level of access should be provided to stateless claimants. Stateless Persons: a summary guide of good practices: If state funded legal aid is available in the country it should be provided to stateless claimants can access legal aid free of charge, then the same level of access should be provided to stateless claimants. Stateless Persons: a summary guide of good practices: If state funded legal aid is available in the country it should be provided to stateless claimants can access legal aid free of charge, then the same level of access should be provided to stateless claimants. Stateless Persons: a summary guide of stateless status use this opportunity in practice. At the same time, the specifically trained lawyers of the Hungarian Helsinki Committee Of the applicant's authorised representative is entitled to be present at the interviews and shall be informed about the time of the interview five days in advance. According to the expectically trained lawyers of the Hungarian Helsinki Committee. According to the expectically trained lawyers of the Hungarian Helsinki Committee of the Hungarian Helsinki Committee. In 2007 on the execution of Act II of 2007 on the entry and stay of third-country nationals. Section 163 (3) (HU) Hungarian Helsinki Committee of the Hungarian Helsinki Committee of the interview five days in advance. According to the expectically trained lawyers of the Hungarian Helsinki Committee of the provided to stateless claimants.						ENS (2013), Statelessness	person concerned that she/he is in need of	
IDP 4 a Procedural Protections (SDP) Is there free legal aid available during the application? IS there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available in the country it should be provided to stateless claimants. If there is no state funded legal aid but asylum claimants can access legal aid free of charge, then the same level of access should be provided to stateless claimants. Is there free legal aid available in the country it should be provided to stateless claimants access legal aid free of charge, then the same level of access should be provided to stateless claimants. Is there free legal aid available in the country it should be provided to stateless claimants can access legal aid free of charge, then the same level of access should be provided to stateless claimants. Is there free legal aid is available in the country it should be provided to stateless claimants. Section 163 (3) (HU) Hungarian Helsinki Committee Hungarian Helsinki Committee According to the entry and stay of third-country nationals, Section 163 (3) (HU) Hungarian Helsinki Committee Hungarian Helsinki Committee Hungarian Helsinki Committee The applicant's authorised representative is entitled to be present at the interviews and shall be informed about the time of the Hungarian Helsinki Committee, the representative is permitted to make comments or ask questions during the						Determination and the Protection of	this form of support). There is no	Government Decree 114/2007.
IDP 4 a Procedural Protections (SDP) Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid is available in the country it should be provided to stateless claimants. If there is no state funded legal aid but asylum claimants can access legal aid free of charge, then the same level of access should be provided to stateless claimants. Is there free legal aid is available in the country it should be provided to stateless claimants. If there is no state funded legal aid but asylum claimants can access legal aid free of charge, then the same level of access should be provided to stateless claimants. Is there free legal aid is available in the country it should be provided to stateless claimants. If there is no state funded legal aid but asylum claimants can access legal aid free of charge, then the same time, the specifically trained lawyers of the Hungarian Helsinki Committee offer professional free-of-charge legal assistance and representative is entitled to be present at the interviews and shall be informed about the time of the interview five days in advance. According to the experience of the Hungarian Helsinki Committee, the representative is permitted to make comments or ask questions during the						Stateless Persons: a summary guide	information about whether applicants for	(V. 24.) on the execution of Act
IDP 4 a Procedural Protections (SDP) Is there free legal aid available during the application? Should be provided to stateless claimants. If there is no state funded legal aid but asylum claimants can access legal aid free of charge, then the same level of access should be provided to stateless claimants. Section 163 (3) (HU) Hungarian Helsinki Committee With the financial support of UNHCR. The applicant's authorised representative is entitled to be present at the interviews and shall be informed about the time of the interview five days in advance. According to the experience of the Hungarian Helsinki Committee Hungarian Helsinki Committee According to the experience of the Hungarian Helsinki Committee Trained lawyers of the Hungarian Helsinki Committee Committee Offer professional free-of-charge legal assistance and representation in statelessness determination procedures, with the financial support of UNHCR. The applicant's authorised representative is entitled to be present at the interview and shall be informed about the time of the interview five days in advance. According to the experience of the Hungarian Helsinki Committee Hungarian Helsinki Committee From the financial support of UNHCR. The applicant's authorised representative is entitled to be present at the interviews and shall be informed about the time of the interview five days in advance. According to the experience of the Hungarian Helsinki Committee						of good practices: If state funded	stateless status use this opportunity in	II of 2007 on the entry and stay
IDP 4 a Procedural Protections (SDP) Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Is there free legal aid available during the application? Committee offer professional free-of-charge legal assistance and representation in statelessness determination procedures, with the financial support of UNHCR. The applicant's authorised representative is entitled to be present at the interviews and shall be informed about the time of the interview five days in advance. According to the experience of the Hungarian Helsinki Committee, the representative is permitted to make comments or ask questions during the						legal aid is available in the country it	practice. At the same time, the specifically	of third-country nationals,
available during the application? A						should be provided to stateless	trained lawyers of the Hungarian Helsinki	Section 163 (3) (HU)
application? access legal aid free of charge, then the same level of access should be provided to stateless claimants. in statelessness determination procedures, with the financial support of UNHCR. The applicant's authorised representative is entitled to be present at the interviews and shall be informed about the time of the interview five days in advance. According to the experience of the Hungarian Helsinki Committee, the representative is permitted to make comments or ask questions during the					_	claimants. If there is no state funded	Committee offer professional free-of-	
the same level of access should be provided to stateless claimants. with the financial support of UNHCR. The applicant's authorised representative is entitled to be present at the interviews and shall be informed about the time of the interview five days in advance. According to the experience of the Hungarian Helsinki Committee, the representative is permitted to make comments or ask questions during the	IDP	4	а		_	legal aid but asylum claimants can	· ·	Hungarian Helsinki Committee
provided to stateless claimants. applicant's authorised representative is entitled to be present at the interviews and shall be informed about the time of the interview five days in advance. According to the experience of the Hungarian Helsinki Committee, the representative is permitted to make comments or ask questions during the				(SDP)	application?	access legal aid free of charge, then	in statelessness determination procedures,	
entitled to be present at the interviews and shall be informed about the time of the interview five days in advance. According to the experience of the Hungarian Helsinki Committee, the representative is permitted to make comments or ask questions during the						the same level of access should be	with the financial support of UNHCR. The	
and shall be informed about the time of the interview five days in advance. According to the experience of the Hungarian Helsinki Committee, the representative is permitted to make comments or ask questions during the						provided to stateless claimants.		
the interview five days in advance. According to the experience of the Hungarian Helsinki Committee, the representative is permitted to make comments or ask questions during the							·	
According to the experience of the Hungarian Helsinki Committee, the representative is permitted to make comments or ask questions during the								
Hungarian Helsinki Committee, the representative is permitted to make comments or ask questions during the							the interview five days in advance.	
representative is permitted to make comments or ask questions during the							According to the experience of the	
comments or ask questions during the							Hungarian Helsinki Committee, the	
							·	
interviews.							comments or ask questions during the	
							interviews.	
UNHCR (2014), Handbook on Yes, the interview is mandatory in all Act II of 2007 on the entry and						UNHCR (2014), Handbook on	Yes, the interview is mandatory in all	Act II of 2007 on the entry and
Protection of Stateless Persons: The cases. stay of third-country nationals,						-	-	
Is an interview always right to an individual interview, and Section 77 (1) (HU)					Is an interview always			*
IDP 4 b offered (unless granting necessary assistance with	IDP	4	b		•			(=, (=,
without interview)? translation/interpretation			-					
throughout the process, are essential								

IDP	4	С	Are interpre provided for statelessnes determination interviews?	of good practices: assistance should be available for translation and interpretation in respect of written applications and interviews (good practice is free of charge).	Yes, the applicant has the right to use her/his mother tongue or another language she/he understands in the procedure, and the proceeding authority provides the interpreter. In addition, the proceeding authorities can accept foreign-language documents submitted by the applicant in support of her/his claim without a certified translation and an apostil.	Act II of 2007 on the entry and stay of third-country nationals, Section 77 (2) (HU) Government Decree 114/2007. (V. 24.) on the execution of Act II of 2007 on the entry and stay of third-country nationals, Section 164 (2) (HU)
IDP	4	d	Are there quassurance at SDP? Does Uparticipate in proceedings access files? play a quality monitoring or role?	states are encouraged to incorporate the following safeguards: [] access to UNHCR is guaranteed. UNHCR (Good Practices Paper 6): Quality assurance audits of SDPs are considered good practice.	Yes. Hungary was the first country in the world to introduce a quality monitoring mechanism for statelessness determination procedures, in cooperation with (and upon the incentive of) the UNHCR. Annual activities include joint quality audit of a sample of decisions by a UNHCR expert and a senior focal point by the immigration authority, as well as a yearly information provision day with the participation of alien policing officers involved in deciding statelessness claims.	Hungarian Helsinki Committee
IDP	4	e	Are decision and grants) a reasons? An writing?	given with States are encouraged, therefore, to	Yes , based on the general rules of administrative procedures.	Act CL of 2016 on the general rules of administrative procedures, Section 81 (1) (HU)

Tachtine	1	<u> </u>	otection – rebru	14. 7 2023			
IDP	4	f		Is there a referral mechanism if an individual has been refused asylum but may be stateless?	UNHCR (Good Practices Paper 6): Efficient referral mechanisms should be established officials who may be in contact with stateless persons need to be trained to identify potential applicantsand refer them to appropriate channels. ENS (2013), Statelessness Determination and the Protection of Stateless Persons: a summary guide of good practices: The regulation should guarantee that proper cross-referral systems exist for cases where the two determination procedures are not conducted in a joint framework	No.	
IDP	5	а	Protection during SDP	Does the applicant have automatic legal admission while their claim for stateless status is assessed? Is expulsion possible during the process? If yes, are there verified reports of expulsions?	UNHCR (2014), Handbook on Protection of Stateless Persons: An individual awaiting a decision is entitled, at a minimum, to all rights based on jurisdiction or presence in the territory as well as "lawfully in" rights inter alia, identity papers, the right to self-employment, freedom of movement and protection against expulsion it is recommended that individuals receive the same standards of treatment as asylum-seekers ENS (2013), Statelessness Determination and the Protection of Stateless Persons: a summary guide of good practices: States should refrain from expelling or removing an individual from their territory pending the outcome of the determination process.	Act II of 2007 explicitly stipulates that the immigration authority shall issue the applicant for stateless status a temporary residence certificate (ideiglenes tartózkodásra jogosító igazolás) for the duration of the statelessness determination procedure, unless the applicant already has any other type of valid residence entitlement. The maximum validity is 6 months and can be renewed for maximum 6-month periods (Section 30 (2) (d)). In practice, the immigration authority does not issue this permit to those in an expulsion procedure and immigration detention. The HHC in 2017 assisted the case of a stateless man who applied for stateless status while in immigration detention and who was kept in immigration detention while his case was processed, and later, he was even deported to Lebanon before a final decision was reached in his statelessness determination procedure.	Act II of 2007 on the entry and stay of third-country nationals, Sections 30 (1) (i) and 30 (2) (d) (HU) Hungarian Helsinki Committee

			,				
IDP	5	b	state await have work other in the	applicants for eless status who are liting a decision e permission to k, if they have no er permission to stay ne country?	UNHCR (2014), Handbook on Protection of Stateless Persons: Allowing individualsto engage in wage-earning employmentmay reduce the pressure on State resources and contributes to the dignity and self-sufficiency of the individuals concerned.	No. The temporary residence certificate (ideiglenes tartózkodásra jogosító igazolás) does not entitle its holder to engage in gainful employment in Hungary.	Act II of 2007 on the entry and stay of third-country nationals, Section 20 (4) (HU)
IDP	5	С	state limite acces meet (shelt	applicants for eless status with ted means have ess to assistance to et their basic needs elter and welfare port)? Please cribe.	UNHCR (2014), Handbook on Protection of Stateless Persons: The status of those awaiting statelessness determination must also reflect applicable human rights such as assistance to meet basic needs.	No specific provisions in place.	
IDP	5	d	an ap	possible to detain applicant while they in the SDP?	UNHCR (2014), Handbook on Protection of Stateless Persons: Routine detention of individuals seeking protection on the grounds of statelessness is arbitrary Detention is therefore a measure of last resort and can only be justified where other less invasive or coercive measures have been considered and found insufficient to safeguard the lawful governmental objective pursued by detention.	Yes. While the law explicitly stipulates that the immigration authority shall issue the applicant for stateless status a temporary residence certificate (ideiglenes tartózkodásra jogosító igazolás) for the duration of the statelessness determination procedure, the submission of a claim for stateless status is not perceived as an automatic ground for release from immigration detention. For instance, the HHC in 2017 assisted the case of a stateless man who applied for stateless status while in immigration detention and who was kept in immigration detention while his case was processed (and later even deported to Lebanon).	Act II of 2007 on the entry and stay of third-country nationals, Section 30 (1) (i) (HU) Hungarian Helsinki Committee

Identific	40011	ana n	otection – rebru	idi y 2013			
IDP	5	е		Does law or policy set out a timeframe for the SDP? If so, is it complied with? Can the decision maker extend the timeframe?	UNHCR (Good Practices Paper 6): Some of the most fundamental guarantees reflected in current State practice include: a time limit for a decision following submission of a statelessness status application. UNHCR (2014), Handbook on Protection of Stateless Persons: In general, it is undesirable for a first instance decision to be issued more than six months from the submission of an application in exceptional circumstances it may be appropriate to allow the proceedings to last up to 12 months	The authority shall take a decision within 60 days (Section 50 (2) (c)), as a general rule for administrative procedures (note that until 2015, the same timeframe applied but based on a specific rule for statelessness determination procedures). The immigration authority can suspend the procedure if it needs to contact a foreign authority (Section 48 (1) (b)), and the time during which the procedure is suspended is not included in the calculation of the time limit (Section 50 (5) (a)). This means that, in principle, the statelessness determination procedure can be prolonged legally for several months or even years, if the Immigration and Asylum Office is waiting for responses by foreign authorities.	Act CL of 2016 on the general rules of administrative procedures, Sections 48 (1) (b), 50 (2) (c) and 50 (5) (a) (HU)
IDP	6	а	Appeals (SDP)	Is there an automatic right of appeal in the case of refusal (on grounds of both law and fact)?	UNHCR (2014), Handbook on Protection of Stateless Persons: An effective right to appeal against a negative first instance decision is an essential safeguard in an SDP.	Yes. The applicant has 15 days to submit a motion for judicial review. The Budapest Administrative and Labour Law Court (Budapesti Közigazgatási és Munkaügyi Bíróság) has exclusive competence in these cases and has 90 days to decide. The Court can (but is not obliged to) order the personal hearing of the applicant. The Court can grant stateless status itself, can quash the administrative decision and return the case to the administrative authority and can reject the motion and confirm the administrative rejection. Further appeal is possible (unlike in asylum cases), before the Budapest Regional Court (Fővárosi Törvényszék), and at 3 rd instance before the Supreme Court (Kúria).	Act II of 2007 on the entry and stay of third-country nationals, Section 80 (2)-(3) (HU)
IDP	6	b		Is legal aid available for appealing/applying to review a negative determination?	UNHCR (2014), Handbook on Protection of Stateless Persons: The applicant is to have access to legal counsel and, where free legal assistance is available, it is to be	Yes. The applicant has the right to legal assistance during the entire procedure.	Act II of 2007 on the entry and stay of third-country nationals, Section 77 (3) (HU)

				offered to applicants without		
				financial means.		
				ENS (2013), Statelessness		
				Determination and the Protection of		
				Stateless Persons: a summary guide		
				of good practices: Applicants are to		
				have access to legal counsel both at		
				first instance and upon appeal.		
				UNHCR (2014), Handbook on	The law explicitly stipulates that the	Act II of 2007 on the entry and
				Protection of Stateless Persons: An	statelessness determination procedure,	stay of third-country nationals,
IDP	6	С	Is there a fee for the	effective right to appeal against a	including its judicial review phase, is free	Section 80 (4) (HU)
	U	C	appeal application?	negative first instance decision is an	of charge for the applicant.	30000000 (4) (110)
				essential safeguard.	or charge for the applicant.	
				essential safeguard.	The main shortcoming of the Hungarian	Constitutional Court Resolution
					statelessness-specific protection regime,	6/2015 (II.25.) of the
					namely the requirement of lawful stay,	Constitutional Court on the
					was eliminated by the Constitutional Court	determination whether the
			Is there evidence of		in 2015. Since then, there are no systemic	term "lawfully" in Section 76(1)
			significant errors in		errors in decision-making. To prevent and	of Act II of 2007 on the
			decision making? If so,		later challenge occasional errors, the HHC	conditions of Entry and Stay of
			is there a publicly		provides legal representation to applicants	Third-Country Nationals is
			available source (e.g.		for stateless status. For example, between	contrary to the Fundamental
IDP	6	d	audits, independent		1 January 2017 and 30 June 2018, the HHC	Act and the annulment thereof
			reports, academic		provided legal representation in the	Act and the annument thereof
			research etc.)? If yes,		administrative phase of statelessness	Human view Halaimbi Camanaithea
			please provide this. If		determination in 15 cases, and in the	Hungarian Helsinki Committee
			anecdotal, please		judicial review phase in 4 cases. Crucial	
			describe.		debates in such cases are centred on	
					evidence assessment and the	
					interpretation of the stateless person	
					definition.	

Identific	ation	anu ri	otection – rebru	ialy 2013			
IDP	7	а	Stateless Status (SDP)	Does recognition of statelessness result in permission to stay/legal status? Is status granted immediately or automatically upon recognition or identification as stateless?	UNHCR (2014), Handbook on Protection of Stateless Persons: The 1954 Convention[grants] stateless persons a core set of rights. Its provisions, along with applicable standards of international human rights law, establish the minimum rights and the obligations of stateless persons in States party to the 1954 Convention. The status granted to a stateless person in a State Party must reflect these international standards Although the 1954 Convention does not explicitly require States to grant a person determined to be stateless a right of residence, granting such permission would fulfil the object and purpose of the treaty	Yes. Stateless persons recognised as such receive a humanitarian residence permit (humanitárius tartózkodási engedély).	Act II of 2007 on the entry and stay of third-country nationals, Section 29 (2) (a)-(b) (HU)
IDP	7	b		Are there additional requirements beyond meeting the definition of a stateless person and satisfying the exclusion provisions that a stateless person must meet to be granted permission to stay/legal status?	As above.	No.	
IDP	7	С		How long is initial status? Is residence status renewable?	UNHCR (2014), Handbook on Protection of Stateless Persons: It is recommended that States grant persons recognised as stateless a residence permit valid for at least two years, although permits for a longer duration, such as five years, are preferable in the interests of stability. Such permits are to be	Upon first issuance, the residence permit has a maximum validity of 3 years. After expiry, it can only be renewed for 1-year periods. There is no reasonable explanation for this restrictive rule.	Act II of 2007 on the entry and stay of third-country nationals, Section 29 (2) (a)-(b) (HU)

Tacritine	ation	ana n	otection – February 2019			
				renewable, providing the possibility of facilitated naturalisation		
IDP	7	d	Is a travel document issued to those recognised as stateless?	UN Convention Relating to the Status of Stateless Persons, 1954, Art. 28: The Contracting States shall issue to stateless persons lawfully staying in their territory travel documents for the purpose of travel outside their territory	Yes.	Act II of 2007 on the entry and stay of third-country nationals, Sections 83-86 (HU)
IDP	7	e	What are the family reunion provisions for individuals recognised as stateless?	UNHCR (2014), Handbook on Protection of Stateless Persons: Although the 1954 Convention does not address family unity, States parties are nevertheless encouraged to facilitate the reunion of those with recognised stateless status in their territory with their spouses and dependents. Indeed, some States have obligations arising under relevant international or regional human rights treaties to do so.	Recognised stateless persons, as holders of a humanitarian residence permit, are entitled to family reunification under the general rules stipulated by Act II of 2007. These strict rules require the family to demonstrate sufficient livelihood, accommodation and health insurance in Hungary, as well as proof of the family link. Family members are also required to lawfully reside in the country where they submit their claim for family reunification (a condition the HHC considers in breach of relevant EU rules). No preferential rules are in place for recognised stateless persons.	Act II of 2007 on the entry and stay of third-country nationals, Section 19 (HU)
IDP	7	f	Is residence status granted to stateless people revocable? If yes, on what grounds?	UNHCR (2014), Handbook on Protection of Stateless Persons: If an individual recognised as stateless subsequently acquires or reacquires the nationality of another State he or she will cease to be stateless in terms of the 1954 Convention. This may justify the cancellation of a residence permit obtained on the basis of statelessness status, although proportionality considerations in relation to acquired rights and factors arising under international human rights	According to the law, stateless status shall be revoked if: a) the stateless person re-acquired her/his previously lost nationality; b) the stateless person acquired a new nationality; c) the stateless person was granted stateless status despite exclusion grounds being applicable that time or if exclusion grounds are applicable against her/him [at the moment]; d) the conditions for recognising the person's statelessness were not met at the time of recognition;	Act II of 2007, Sections 76-81 (HU)

Tachtine	1	<u> </u>	' Tebru	14. 7 2023			
					law, such as the degree to which the individual has established a private and family life in the State, need to be taken into account. UN Convention Relating to the Status	e) the stateless person, during the statelessness determination procedure, omitted a material fact or facts, or made false declarations regarding a material fact or facts, or used forged documents, provided that this influenced the merits of statelessness determination. The same general evidentiary and procedural rules apply to the revocation procedure as to statelessness determination and the procedural deadline for the Immigration and Asylum Office is 45 days. Yes. However, stateless status ensures	Government Decree 445/2013
IDP	7	ър		Do people granted stateless status have permission to work?	ON Convention Relating to the Status of Stateless Persons, 1954: The Contracting States shall accord to stateless persons lawfully staying in their territory treatment as favourable as possible and, in any event, not less favourable than that accorded to foreigners generally in the same circumstances, as regards the right to engage in wage-earning employment. UNHCR (2014), Handbook on Protection of Stateless Persons: Recognition of an individual as a stateless person under the 1954 Convention also triggers the "lawfully staying" rights, in addition to a right to residence. Thus, the right to work [] must accompany a residence permit.	Yes. However, stateless status ensures only limited access to the labour market, as stateless persons need to obtain a work permit (munkavállalási engedély) prior to their employment. A work permit can only be issued for stateless persons, if the employer had already announced her/his need for workers and if no suitable, already registered job-seeker (Hungarian or EEA-citizen, refugee, beneficiary of subsidiary protection, permanent resident third-country national or other third-country national previously in employment for over 6 months in Hungary) has applied for the same post. Far from being a mere technical formality, this limitation may render access to employment particularly burdensome, considering the limited validity of the humanitarian residence permit and the usual procedural delays and difficulties in obtaining a work permit. The HHC has been advocating for a decade for the elimination of this limitation, which — considering the minuscule number of persons granted stateless status per year —	Government Decree 445/2013. (XI. 28.), Section 3 (1)-(3) (HU) Act IV of 1991 on the promotion of employment and unemployment benefits (HU)

lucilling	LIUII	allu Fi	otection – February 2019			
					would not have any measurable impact on the labour market.	
IDP	7	h	Do people granted stateless status have access to primary education?	UN Convention Relating to the Status of Stateless Persons, 1954: (Art. 22) The Contracting States shall accord to stateless persons the same treatment as is accorded to nationals with respect to elementary education.	Yes. Children holding a residence permit in Hungary are entitled to state-funded preschool care (kindergarten) and public primary and secondary education similarly to Hungarian nationals.	Act CXC of 2011 on national public education, Section 92 (1) (c) (HU)
IDP	7	i	Do people granted stateless status have access to secondary ar higher education?	UN Convention Relating to the Status of Stateless Persons, 1954: (Art. 22) The Contracting States shall accord to stateless persons treatment as favourable as possible and, in any event, not less favourable than that accorded to foreigners generally in the same circumstances, with respect to education other than elementary education and, in particular, as regards access to studies, the recognition of foreign school certificates, diplomas and degrees, the remission of fees and charges and the award of scholarships.	Yes. Children holding a residence permit in Hungary are entitled to state-funded preschool care (kindergarten) and public primary and secondary education similarly to Hungarian nationals. Recognised stateless persons have a right to enrol in state-funded, partly state-funded or self-financed higher education studies, similarly to Hungarian nationals.	Act CXC of 2011 on national public education, Section 92 (1) (c) (HU) Act CCIV of 2011 on national higher education, Section 39 (1) (b) (HU)
IDP	7	j	Do people granted stateless status have access to social welfare and healthcare?	UN Convention Relating to the Status of Stateless Persons, 1954: (Art. 23, 24) UNHCR (2014), Handbook on Protection of Stateless Persons: Recognition of an individual as a stateless person under the 1954 Convention also triggers the "lawfully staying" rights, in addition to a right to residence. Thus, the right to work, access to healthcare and social assistance, as well as a travel document must accompany a residence permit.	Entitlement to social security services (including healthcare) is usually linked to gainful employment or other lucrative or productive activities (employees, private entrepreneurs, corporate entrepreneurs, members of cooperatives, persons following professional education based on an "education contract", church personnel, etc.). Acquiring stateless status does not, therefore, create an entitlement to social security, unless the stateless person can also fulfil one of these conditions (e.g. is employed). A stateless person staying in Hungary without any such entitlement can	Act LXXX of 1997 on Social Security Services, the Entitlement to Private Pension and the Funding of These Services, Section 5 (1)(HU) Act CLIV of 1997 on Health, Section 142 (2)-(3) (HU)

				1			
						 benefit from basic public healthcare services (similarly to any person residing on Hungarian territory). However, the scope of these services is limited and covers only: Vaccinations, epidemic examinations, mandatory medical examinations, quarantine, transportation of persons suffering from a contagious disease; Ambulance services if the person needs immediate help; Healthcare services in emergency cases and afterwards until the stabilisation of the patient's conditions; Healthcare services in case of a disaster. Some other public healthcare services (such as pre-natal and maternity care) are only available to those who already have a domicile (lakóhely) in Hungary. However, persons with stateless status are not allowed to establish a domicile (only several – minimum 3 – years after the recognition of their status, when and if they acquire a permanent residence permit). 	
IDP	8	a	Access to citizenship (SDP)	Are stateless people able to naturalise as citizens? In what timeframe?	UN Convention Relating to the Status of Stateless Persons, 1954 (Art. 32): The Contracting States shall as far as possible facilitate the assimilation and naturalisation of stateless persons. They shall in particular make every effort to expedite naturalisation proceedings and to reduce as far as possible the charges and costs of such proceedings. UNHCR (Good Practices Paper 6): It is recommended that States Parties	Yes. The 1993 Citizenship Act sets forth a variety of conditions for naturalisation, as well as different categories entitled to preferential treatment. For several years, three categories existed, which all required the applicant to have a domicile, livelihood, accommodation and no criminal record in Hungary, as well as to successfully pass a "basic constitutional studies" examination in Hungarian. The only difference between the standard and the two types of preferential naturalisation	Act LV of 1993 on Hungarian citizenship, Section 4 (HU) Gábor Gyulai, The Black Box of Nationality. The Naturalisation of Refugees and Stateless Persons in Hungary, Hungarian Helsinki Committee, 2015

Tachtine	ution	ana n	otection – rebruary 2019			
				facilitate, as far as possible, the naturalisation of stateless persons. This may be achieved, e.g. by reducing or waiving residence, income and language requirements for applicants and by exempting them from fees or the obligation to provide documentary evidence.	was the mandatory waiting time before an application could be lodged: 8, 5 and 3 years, respectively. Preferential treatment was motivated both by international obligations (e.g. vis-à-vis refugees) or ethno-cultural preferences. As exemplified by the HHC's 2015 research, Hungary does not effectively fulfil its international obligation to reduce as far as possible the charges and costs associated with the naturalisation of refugees and stateless persons, who are required to pay high fees for passing a mandatory "basic constitutional studies" examination and for presenting an official certified translation of various documents.	
IDP	8	b	If stateless people of naturalise, are there accelerated naturalisation procedures (e.g. reduced qualification periods) which apply stateless persons? If yes, please provide comparative timeframes for naturalisation in oth situations.	as above. UNHCR (Good Practices Paper 6): as above. ENS (2013), Statelessness Determination and the Protection of Stateless Persons: a summary guide of good practices:the main benchmark is whether there is any preferential treatment for stateless persons as compared to the general	Stateless persons are integrated into the most preferential category with regard to the mandatory minimum domiciled residence requirement before naturalisation (3 years). However, persons with stateless status are not allowed to establish a domicile (only several – minimum 3 – years after the recognition of their status, when and if they acquire a permanent residence permit); therefore, this favourable condition has limited impact in their case. In all other aspects, recognised stateless persons are required to fulfil similar conditions than any other applicant for standard naturalisation.	Act LV of 1993 on Hungarian citizenship, Sections 4 (2) (e) and 23 (1) (HU) Act LXVI of 1992 on the registration of citizens' personal data and residence, Section 4 (1) (HU)
IDP	9	С	Are previous crimina convictions a bar to naturalisation? If ye please describe the requirement. Is there a good character clause (separate from crim	Conclusions of the Council and the Representatives of the Governments of the Member States on Statelessness, December 2015: Each state should facilitate the acquisition of its nationality by stateless persons	An applicant for naturalisation must have no criminal record according to Hungarian law, and there shall be no pending criminal proceedings against her/him before a Hungarian court. In addition, naturalisation of the applicant shall not violate Hungary's national or public security.	Act LV of 1993 on Hungarian citizenship, Section 4 (b) and (d) (HU)

raciiciiica		aa	otection – repru	'			
				record requirement)? If	territory, and:		
				yes, please describe.	d) ensure that offences, when they		
					are relevant for the decision		
					concerning the acquisition of		
					nationality, do not unreasonably		
					prevent stateless persons seeking		
					the nationality of a state.		
					Human Rights Watch, Roma in the		
					Czech Republic: Foreigners in their		
					Own Land (1996): denying		
					citizenship to previously convicted		
					criminals effectively adds an		
					additional, ex post facto punishment		
					to the individual who committed a		
					crime.		
					ENS (2013), Statelessness	The applicant shall successfully pass a	Act LV of 1993 on Hungarian
					Determination and the Protection of	"basic constitutional studies" examination,	citizenship, Sections 4 (1) (e)
					Stateless Persons: a summary guide	in Hungarian, unless she/he is exempted	and 4/A (HU)
					of good practices:the main	by law because she/he:	, , ,
					benchmark is whether there is any	•	Government decree 125/1993.
					preferential treatment for stateless	Has a fully or partially limited legal	(IX. 22.) on the implementation
					persons as compared to the general	capacity;	of Act LV of 1993 on Hungarian
					rules	Has graduated from a school or	citizenship, Section 13 (6) (HU)
						university where the language of	<u> </u>
						education is Hungarian;	Gábor Gyulai, <i>The Black Box of</i>
						Is more than 60 years old;	Nationality. The Naturalisation
				Is there a		Proves that due to a permanent and	of Refugees and Stateless
IDP	9	d		citizenship/integration		irreversible deterioration of her/his	Persons in Hungary, Hungarian
				test?		health conditions she/he is unable to	Helsinki Committee, 2015, pp.
						pass the examination.	15-18
						The examination encompasses various	13-16
						fields of knowledge, from political-	
						administrative structure to history and	
						literature. The basic constitutional studies	
						examination has a mandatory fee of 50%	
						of the gross monthly minimum salary, the	
						exact sum of which is determined by a	
						government decree on a yearly basis. This	
						may represent a significant financial	
						burden for many, as exemplified by the	

			 ,			
				UNHCR (Good Practices Paper 6): It isrecommended that States Parties facilitate, as far as possible, the naturalisation of stateless personsfor example, by reducing or waiving residence, income and	HHC's research in 2015 (in which year the fee amounted to nearly one third of the average monthly net salary in Hungary). There are no preferential rules for stateless persons. No. See also previous question.	
IDP	9	e	Are there language requirement exemptions for stateless people?	language requirementsand by exempting them from fees or the obligation to provide documentary evidence. Council of the European Union, Conclusions of the Council and the Representatives of the Governments of the Member States on Statelessness, December 2015: as above ENS (2013), Statelessness Determination and the Protection of Stateless Persons: a summary guide of good practices: as above.		
IDP	9	f	Are there income exemptions for stateless persons if a level of income is required for naturalization?	UNHCR (Good Practices Paper 6): as above.	No.	

Detention

Cat	Q	Sub	Subtheme	Question	International Norms / Good Practice	Answer	Source
DET	1	a	Detention screening	Are immigration detention powers provided for in law?	ICCPR Art 9 (1): Everyone has the right to liberty and security of person. No one shall be subjected to arbitrary arrest or detention. No one shall be deprived of his liberty except on such grounds and in accordance with such procedure as are established by law. ECHR Art 5 (1): Everyone has the right to liberty and security of person. No one shall be deprived of his liberty save in the following cases and in accordance with a procedure prescribed by law:(f) the lawful arrest or detention of a person to prevent his effecting an unauthorised entry into the country or of a person against whom action is being taken with a view to deportation or extradition.	Yes. The Fundamental Law of Hungary (Constitution) stipulates that "In the Republic of Hungary everyone has the right to liberty and personal security, and no one may be deprived of freedom except for reasons defined in the law and on the basis of legal proceedings." Act II of 2007 includes two types of "immigration detention": 1) Detention in order to conduct an alien policing procedure ("detention in preparation of expulsion"), Section 55 (1): "The immigration authority may order the detention in preparation of expulsion (return) of the third-country national in order to secure the conclusion of the immigration proceedings pending, if his/her identity or the legal grounds of his/her residence is not clarified, or if the return of the third-country national under the bilateral readmission agreement to another Member State of the European Union is pending. (2) Detention in preparation of expulsion shall be ordered by way of a formal resolution, and shall be carried out when communicated. (3) Detention in preparation of expulsion may be ordered for a maximum duration of seventy-two hours, and it may be extended by the district court of jurisdiction by reference to the place of detention until the third-country national's identity or the legal grounds of his/her residence is clarified, or for maximum thirty days.	The Fundamental Law of Hungary, Article IV (1) Act II of 2007 on the entry and stay of third-country nationals, Sections 55(1) & 54 (1) (HU)

^{© 2019} European Network on Statelessness. All rights reserved. This country survey is not intended for wider dissemination as a standalone document, and should be read in conjunction with (and any reference made to) the Statelessness Index country profile on Hungary; February 2019. The research for this survey was carried out by Gábor Gyulai (Hungarian Helsinki Committee) with additional research by Zsolt Szekeres (Hungarian Helsinki Committee) and verification by Noémi Radnai as second country expert.

					2) Detention in order to enable the implementation of a return (expulsion) decision by way of removal, Section 54 (1): "In order to secure the removal of a third-country national the immigration authority may take into detention under immigration law the person in question if: a) he/she is hiding from the authorities or is obstructing the enforcement of removal in some other way; b) he/she has refuses to leave the country, or it may be assumed on other substantiated reasons, that the person delays or frustrates the implementation of removal, or there is a risk of absconding of the third-country national; c) he/she has seriously or repeatedly violated the code of conduct of the assigned place of stay; d) he/she has failed to appear before the authority as ordered despite of a call to do so, and so hinders the immigration proceeding; or e) he/she is released from imprisonment as sentenced for a deliberate crime."	
DET	1	b	Does domestic law allow immigration detention for purposes other than those allowed under ECHR 5(1)(f)?	ECHR Art 5 (1)(f)	No , see the two grounds for detention above, both are in line with Article 5 (1) (f) of the ECHR.	Act II of 2007 on the entry and stay of third-country nationals, Sections 55(1) & 54(1) (HU)

				ICCPR Art 7: Repeated attempts to expel a person	No , under "detention in preparation of	Act II of 2007 on the entry and
				to a country that is refusing to admit the	expulsion" the expulsion decision is not yet	stay of third-country
				individual in question could amount to inhuman	issued; therefore the proposed country of	nationals, Section 55(1) (HU)
			Dans a proposed	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		nationals, Section 55(1) (no)
			Does a proposed	or degrading treatment.	removal does not yet have to be clearly	
			country of	ECHR Art 5 (1)(f)	established.	
			removal need to	Auad v Bulgaria [2011] Application no 46390/10		
			be identified	(ECtHR):the only issue is whether or not the		
			before a person	authorities were sufficiently diligent in their		
DET	1	С	is detained for	efforts to deport the applicant.		
			the purpose of	EU Returns Directive: Any detention shall be for		
			removal? Please	as short a period as possible and only maintained		
			describe the	as long as removal arrangements are in progress		
			situation in law	and executed with due diligence.		
			and in practice.	ECRE, Point of No Return: The Futile Detention of		
				<u>Unreturnable Migrants, 2014:</u> Once un-		
				returnability is established, migrants should not		
				be detained.		
				Auad v Bulgaria [2011] Application no 46390/10	No explicit domestic legal norm stipulates that	Hungarian Helsinki Committee
				(ECtHR): as above.	statelessness is a juridically relevant fact in	
			Is statelessness a	Mikolenko v. Estonia, Application no. 10664/05,	decisions related to immigration detention. The	
			juridically	8 October 2009 (ECtHR): Detention is justified as	HHC is not aware of any case where this	
			relevant fact in	long as "deportation proceedings are being	principle would have been raised in immigration	
			any decision to	conducted" and these proceedings must be	detention-related decisions of the immigration	
			detain (in	carried out with due diligence	authority or a court in charge of the judicial	
			practice and in	UNHCR (2014), Handbook on Protection of	review of immigration detention.	
			law)? If so, at	Stateless Persons: Routine detention of	-	
D.E.T.	١,	١.	what point(s) is a	individuals seeking protection on the grounds of		
DET	1	d	risk of	statelessness is arbitrary the absence of status		
			statelessness	determination procedures to verify identity or		
			identified? Is	nationality can lead to prolonged or indefinite		
			referral to an	detention. SDPs are therefore an important		
			SDP possible	mechanism to reduce the risk of prolonged		
			within the			
			detention	•		
			-5	Detention: Guideline 13 – states must identify		
				•		
				and/or arbitrary detention. Equal Rights Trust (ERT) (2012), Guidelines to Protect Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detentions Guideline 12 states must identify		
				stateless persons within their territory or subject		

^{© 2019} European Network on Statelessness. All rights reserved. This country survey is not intended for wider dissemination as a standalone document, and should be read in conjunction with (and any reference made to) the Statelessness Index country profile on Hungary; February 2019.

				to their jurisdiction as a first step towards ensuring the protection of their human rights. International Commission of Jurists, Migration and International Human Rights Law: a Practitioner's Guide 2014: the detention of stateless persons can never be justified when there is 'no active or realistic progress towards transfer to another State'. As above.	There are no publicly available statistics about the immigration detention of stateless persons. Hungary resorts to immigration detention as a	Statistics available on the website of the Immigration and Asylum Office
DET	1	e	Are stateless people detained in practice? Please provide figures and source of information if available.		frequent and regular practice. According to the official statistics published by the immigration authority, immigration detention was ordered in the cases of 1280 foreigners in 2014; 1545 in 2015; 1073 in 2016; and 455 in 2017. These figures do not include the massive detention of asylum-seekers, for whom Hungary applies a different detention regime. According to information provided by the National Police Headquarters at HHC's specific request, 2 stateless persons and 14 persons of unknown nationality were held in immigration detention in 2017 (out of the total of 766 immigration detainees that year).	Statistics shared by the National Police Headquarters under HHC's freedom of information request, January 2018
DET	1	f	Does law (and/or policy) provide that immigration detention should be used only as a last resort, after all alternatives to detention have been exhausted?	UNHCR (2014), Handbook on Protection of Stateless Persons: Detention is therefore a measure of last resort and can only be justified where other less invasive or coercive measures have been considered and found insufficient to safeguard the lawful governmental objective pursued by detention. EU Returns Directive: Art 15(1) Unless other sufficient but less coercive measures can be applied effectively in a specific case, Member States may only keep in detention a third-country national who is the subject of return procedures	Yes, the law stipulates that before ordering immigration detention, the authority shall consider if less coercive measures (confiscating the passport or designating a compulsory place of residence) may also secure removal and provides that families with minors shall only be detained as a measure of last resort and for not more than 30 days, where the best interests of the child shall be a primary consideration.	Act II of 2007 on the entry and stay of third-country nationals, Sections 54(2) & 56(3) (HU)

^{© 2019} European Network on Statelessness. All rights reserved. This country survey is not intended for wider dissemination as a standalone document, and should be read in conjunction with (and any reference made to) the Statelessness Index country profile on Hungary; February 2019.

			in order to prepare the return and/or carry out the removal process.		
DET	1 g	Are individual vulnerability assessments carried out before a decision to detain (or shortly thereafter), and are stateless persons defined as a vulnerable group?	ENS (2015) Protecting Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detention: a regional toolkit for practitioners: Arbitrary and disproportionately lengthy detention can ensue when the particular vulnerabilities of stateless persons are not understood and addressed EU Returns Directive: Art 16(3) Particular attention shall be paid to the situation of vulnerable persons Council of the European Union Guidelines to Promote and Protect the Enjoyment of All Human Rights by Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and Intersex (LGBTI) Persons 2013: European entities should assess the situation of LGBTI persons in detention	Act II of 2007 does not explicitly provide an obligation to assess vulnerabilities before ordering detention. However, it stipulates that the Immigration authority shall have powers to order the stay in a designated place (as an alternative to immigration detention), if the third-country national should be placed under immigration detention, but detention would result in a disproportionate detriment taking into account the state of health and age of the person concerned. UNHCR in its 2012 country report wrote: "Hungary imposes prolonged periods of administrative detention upon asylum-seekers without providing avenues to effectively challenge the detention once ordered or considering alternatives to detention. Judicial review of administrative detention of asylum-seekers is ineffective in Hungary in many instances, as courts fail to address the lawfulness of detention in individual cases, or to provide individualized reasoning based upon the specific facts and circumstances of the applicant. Administrative decisions imposing detention on foreigners for unlawful entry or stay are subject to review conducted by first instance courts. Such reviews are conducted mostly by criminal law judges in a manner normally applied in criminal cases. It is common practice for the court to issue decisions for a group of five, 10, or 15 detainees within 30 minutes, thus significantly decreasing the likelihood of a fair and individualized review."	Act II of 2007 on the entry and stay of third-country nationals, Section 62(g) (HU) UNHCR, Hungary as a Country of Asylum, April 2012

39

		Until July 2013 immigration detention was massively applied against asylum-seekers. In	Briefing paper of the Hungarian Helsinki Committee
		July 2013, a specific detention regime was	for the Working Group on
		introduced for asylum-seekers ("asylum	Arbitrary Detention UN
		detention"), hence the scarcity of up-to-date	Commission of Human Rights,
		reports focusing specifically on immigration	8 October 2013
		(and not asylum) detention since then.	
		An expert group of the Hungarian Supreme	
		Court (Kúria) carried out an in-depth analysis of	Summary Opinion by the Alien
		the judicial review of immigration detention. In	Policing Expert Working Group
		its summary report, it formulated severe	of the <i>Kúria</i> (Supreme Court),
		criticism, concluding that the judicial review was	23 September 2013, p.36 &
		ineffective, for a number of reasons, including	p.39 (HU)
		the ineffectiveness/mere formality of the case	,
		guardian's role (who should represent the	
		detainee), the overburdening of proceeding	
		judges and a misinterpretation of the grounds	
		for detention resulting in an automatic approval	
		of the immigration authority's motion for	
		continued detention. The summary report	
		mentions that out of 5325 decisions in 2011, the	
		court decided to discontinue immigration	
		detention (and reject the motion of the	
		immigration authority) in no more than 3 (!)	
		cases. The judicial expert group formulated	
		numerous concrete recommendations to	
		remedy these systemic deficiencies, most of	
		which have not been implemented to date.	
		According to the HHC's experience,	Hungarian Helsinki Committee
		decisions ordering or prolonging immigration	, and the second
		detention only cite the relevant provision from	
		the law, i.e. the grounds for detention, but do	
		not provide any concrete justification of why	
		the detention of a particular person meets the	
		 legal grounds for detention. Detention orders	

DET	2	a	Alternatives to immigration detention	Does the country have alternatives to detention which individuals are considered for prior to any decision to detain? Are alternatives to detention established in law? Are they subject to a statutory time limit and proportionality test?	ICCPR Art 9 FKAG v Australia (HRC): Any decision relating to detention must take into account less invasive means of achieving the same ends UN General Assembly Resolution on the protection of migrants 63/184 2009: Calls upon all States to adopt, where applicable, alternative measures to detention. UNHCR (2014), Handbook on Protection of Stateless Persons: Detentioncan only be justified where other less invasive or coercive measures have been considered and found insufficient UNHCR (2012), Guidelines on Applicable Criteria and Standards relating to the Detention of Asylum-Seekers and Alternatives to Detention: alternatives to detention refers to any legislation, policy or practice that allows asylum-seekers to reside in the community subject to a number of conditions or restrictions on their freedom of movement and since they can involve restrictions on movement of liberty they are bound by human right standards. Human Rights Council (HRC), Report of the Special Rapporteur on the human rights of migrants, François Crépeau (2012) A/HRC/20/24: Alternatives to detention should not become	are generic in nature and fail to properly consider alternatives to detention or take into account individual special circumstances. Statelessness is not defined as a vulnerability, neither by law, nor by practice. Yes , alternatives to immigration detention are provided for in law: seizing the travel document, the return ticket or financial resources of the person and ordering a designated place of stay. The immigration authority shall have powers to order the stay in a designated place (as an alternative to immigration detention), if the third-country national in question should be placed under immigration detention, but detention would result in a disproportionate detriment taking into account the state of health and age of the person concerned. Note that the "disproportionate detriment" test seems a stricter (less favourable) standard than the "least invasive/coercive measure" standard in international guidance. Statistics published by the immigration authority demonstrate that ordering a designated stay is applied in practice as an alternative; in 2017, for instance, in 388 cases. The law does not foresee any proportionality test while applying the alternatives and no time limit is defined by law.	Act II of 2007 on the entry and stay of third-country nationals, Sections 48(2), 50(2), 62 & 63 (HU)
				1 -	Human Rights Council (HRC), Report of the Special Rapporteur on the human rights of migrants, François Crépeau (2012) A/HRC/20/24:	test while applying the alternatives and no time	
					resorting to detention should be established by law.		

				Council of Europa (2005) Twenty Cuidalines of		
				Council of Europe (2005), Twenty Guidelines of the Committee of Ministers of Europe on Forced		
				Return: After a careful examination of the		
				necessity of deprivation of liberty in each		
				individual case, the authoritieshave concluded		
				that compliance with the removal order cannot		
				be ensured as effectively by resorting to non-		
				custodial measures		
				EU Returns Directive: Art 15(1) Unless other		
				sufficient but less coercive measures can be		
				applied effectively in a specific case, Member		
				States may only keep in detention a third-country		
				national who is the subject of return procedures		
				in order to prepare the return and/or carry out		
				the removal process.		
				Equal Rights Trust (ERT) (2012), Guidelines to		
				Protect Stateless Persons from Arbitrary		
				Detention: (31)states have an obligation in the		
				first instance to consider and apply appropriate		
				and viable alternatives to immigration detention		
				that are less coercive and intrusive		
				International Detention Coalition (2015), There		
				Are Alternatives: A handbook for preventing		
				unnecessary immigration detention (revised		
				edition): immigration detention should be used		
				only as a last resort in exceptional cases after all		
				other options have been shown to be inadequate		
				in the individual case.		
			Is there evidence	As above.	Yes, according to the HHC's experience, the	Hungarian Helsinki Committee
			that immigration		detention order only cites the relevant	
			detention is used		provision from the law, i.e. the grounds for	
			in practice prior		detention in detention orders, but does not	
DET	2	b	to all alternatives		provide any concrete justification of why the	
			being		detention of a particular person meets the legal	
			considered?		grounds for detention. Detention orders are	
			Please cite		generic in nature and never consider	
			relevant reports.			

						alternatives to detention or take into account individual special circumstances.	
DET	3	а	Procedural safeguards	Is there a maximum time period for immigration detention set in law? What is it?	UN Human Rights Council (HRC) (2010), Report of the UN Working Group on Arbitrary Detention to the Human Rights Council, 13th Session, A/HRC/13/30: a maximum period of detention must be established by law and upon expirythe detainee must be automatically released. UNHCR (2012), Guidelines on Applicable Criteria and Standards relating to the Detention of Asylum-Seekers and Alternatives to Detention: to guard against arbitrariness, maximum periods of detention should be set in national law. EU Returns Directive: Art 15(5) Each Member State shall set a limited period of detention ENS (2015) Protecting Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detention: a regional toolkit for practitioners: It is desirable that states clearly specify a reasonable maximum time limit. Equal Rights Trust (ERT) (2012), Guidelines to Protect Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detention: Guideline 39: Detention should always be for the shortest time possible. There should be a reasonable maximum time-limit for detention	Detention in preparation for expulsion: maximum 30 days (S.55(3)). Detention to enable implementation of a return (expulsion) decision: 30 days for families with children (S.56(3)) and 12 months in other cases (S.54 (6)).	Act II of 2007 on the entry and stay of third-country nationals, Sections 55(3), 54(6) & 56(3) (HU)
DET	3	b		Does law/policy provide that individuals must be informed in writing of the reasons for immigration detention? Are detainees provided with information on their rights,	UN General Assembly (UNGA) (1988), Body of Principles for the Protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or Imprisonment, Resolution A/RES/43/173: Anyone who is arrested shall be informed at the time of his arrest of the reason for his arrest and shall be promptly informed of any charges against him. EU Returns Directive: Detention shall be ordered in writing with reasons being given in fact and in law. Equal Rights Trust (ERT) (2012), Guidelines to Protect Stateless Persons from Arbitrary	No such provision can be found in the law. In practice, detainees receive the detention order in Hungarian. While not regulated by clear legal provisions, the HHC's experience from the field indicates that immigration detainees receive written information about their rights and obligations, which is translated to them, but the exact modalities of this process, or whether the information is sufficiently complete or accessible for the detainees is unknown. Note that since the unilateral cessation by the police of its cooperation agreement with HHC in 2017,	Hungarian Helsinki Committee

^{© 2019} European Network on Statelessness. All rights reserved. This country survey is not intended for wider dissemination as a standalone document, and should be read in conjunction with (and any reference made to) the Statelessness Index country profile on Hungary; February 2019.

			contact details of	Detention: Guideline 37: Stateless detainees	it has become impossible to conduct human	
			legal advice and	shall receive their order of detention in writing	rights-focused monitoring visits to immigration	
			support	and in a language they understand, and this must	detention, resulting in lack of up-to-date	
			providers, and	outline the reasons for their detention	information about daily practices. No specific	
			guidance on how	Detainees must be informed of their rights	information is provided to detainees about the	
			to access an	International Commission of Jurists (ICJ) (2014),	possibility of requesting stateless status.	
			SDP?	Migration and International Human Rights Law: a	possibility of requesting stateless status.	
			02	Practitioner's Guide (updated edition): The		
				authorities are required toensure that		
				sufficient information is available to detained		
				persons in a language they understand, regarding		
				the nature of their detention, the reasons for it,		
				the process for reviewing or challenging the		
				decision to detain.		
				EU Returns Directive: Any detention shall only	Yes, there is an automatic court review	Act II of 2007 on the entry and
				be maintained as long as removal arrangements	procedure, performed at 60-day intervals in	stay of third-country
				are in progress and executed with due diligence.	case the immigration authority requests	nationals, Section 58 (HU)
			Are there regular	Auad v Bulgaria [2011] Application no 46390/10	prolongation of detention. Within 24 hours of	
			periodic reviews	(ECtHR):the only issue is whether or not the	ordering detention the immigration authority	
			of the necessity	authorities were sufficiently diligent in their	shall request the court for an extension, which	
			for the	efforts to deport the applicant the length of the	decision shall be taken by the court within 72	
			continuation of	detention should not exceed that reasonably	hours from the start of the detention. After	
			detention before	required for the purpose pursued.	that, the court may extend the detention for a	
			a court or an	Kim v Russia [2014] Application no 44260/13	maximum duration of 60 days.	
			independent	(ECtHR): The purpose of Art 5(4) ECHR is to		
DET	3	С	body? If yes, are	guarantee to persons who are arrested and		
			detainees	detained the right to judicial supervision of the		
			released when it	lawfulness of the measure		
			becomes evident	A. v. Australia, CCPR/C/59/D/560/1993, (HRC):		
			that their	Decisions to detain should be open to review		
			removal will not	periodically		
			be possible	Saïd Shamilovich Kadzoev v Direktsia Migratsia'		
			within a	priMinisterstvo na vatreshniteraboti [2009] Case		
			reasonable time?	C-357/09 (ECJ): There must, at the time of the		
				national Court's review of the lawfulness of		
				detention, be a real prospect that the removal		
				can be carried out successfully.		

Council of Europe (2005), Twenty Guidelines of the Committee of Ministers of Europe on Forced Return: Detention pending removal shall be justified only for as long as removal arrangements are in progress. Equal Rights Trust (ERT) (2012), Guidelines to Protect Stateless Persons from Arbitrary	
Return: Detention pending removal shall be justified only for as long as removal arrangements are in progress. Equal Rights Trust (ERT) (2012), Guidelines to Protect Stateless Persons from Arbitrary	
justified only for as long as removal arrangements are in progress. Equal Rights Trust (ERT) (2012), Guidelines to Protect Stateless Persons from Arbitrary	
arrangements are in progress. Equal Rights Trust (ERT) (2012), Guidelines to Protect Stateless Persons from Arbitrary	
Equal Rights Trust (ERT) (2012), Guidelines to Protect Stateless Persons from Arbitrary	
Protect Stateless Persons from Arbitrary	
Detention: Guideline 41: To avoid arbitrariness,	
detention should be subject to automatic,	
regular and periodic review throughout the	
period of detention, before a judicial body	
independent of the detaining authorities.	
ICCPR Art 9(4): Anyone who is deprived of his Hungarian law does not foresee any appeal Act II of 2007 on the	ntry and
What remedies liberty by arrest or detention shall be entitled to against the ordering or prolongation of stay of third-country	
are available to take proceedings before a court immigration detention – in fact appeal against nationals, Section 57	2) &
an individual to <u>ECHR: Everyone who is deprived of his liberty by</u> the ordering of detention is explicitly excluded Sections 60-61 (HU)	
challenge arrest or detention shall be entitled to take by (S.57(2)). The detainee may submit a so-	
detention? How proceedings by which the lawfulness of his called "complaint" (kifogás) but only with	
DET 3 d often can these often can these detention shall be decided speedily by a court regard to certain rights related to immigration	
be invoked? Are Kim v Russia [2014] Application no 44260/13 detention in S.60-61 Act II 2007 (access to	
there any (ECtHR): the purpose of Art 5(4) ECHR is to information, right to practice one's religion,	
obstacles in guarantee to persons who are arrested and treatment of minors, detention conditions,	
practice? detained the right to judicial supervision of the etc.), not the detention itself.	
lawfulness of the measure	
Are there Auad v Bulgaria [2011] Application no 46390/10 There are no specific rules in Hungarian law.	
rules/guidance in (ECtHR): The only issue is whether or not the The HHC has no information about specific state	
place that govern authorities were sufficiently diligent in their policy or practice in this respect.	
the process of re- efforts to deport the applicant.	
documentation Equal Rights Trust (ERT) (2012), Guidelines to	
and/or Protect Stateless Persons from Arbitrary	
DET 3 e ascertaining Detention: The inability of a stateless person to	
entitlement to cooperate with removal proceedings should not	
nationality for be treated as non-cooperation.	
the purpose of ENS (2015) Protecting Stateless Persons from	
removal? Do Arbitrary Detention: a regional toolkit for	
these articulate practitioners: The detaining state should have	
the respective rules in place that govern the process of re-	

^{© 2019} European Network on Statelessness. All rights reserved. This country survey is not intended for wider dissemination as a standalone document, and should be read in conjunction with (and any reference made to) the Statelessness Index country profile on Hungary; February 2019.

				roles that state and individual	documentation and/ or ascertaining entitlement to nationality		
				are expected to	ECRE, Point of No Return: The Futile Detention of		
				play? Are there	Unreturnable Migrants, 2014: Once un-		
				time limits	returnability is established, migrants should not		
				clearly set out?	be detained.		
				Are the			
				outcomes			
				considered			
				relevant for			
				subsequent			
				determination of			
				statelessness?			
					UNHCR (2014), Handbook on Protection of	According to the law, the court shall appoint a	Act II of 2007 on the entry and
					<u>Stateless Persons</u> : Judicial oversight of detention	representative ad litem ('case guardian') for any	stay of third-country
					is always necessary and detained individuals	third-country national or his/her family member	nationals, Section 59 (4) (HU)
					need to have access to legal representation,	who does not understand the Hungarian	
					including free counselling for those without	language and is unable to contract the services	
					means.	of a legal representative. The representative ad	
					EU Returns Directive: Art 13(3) The third-country	litem is payed for by the court, but the activity	
					national concerned shall have the possibility to	of such representatives has been subject to	
				Is free legal aid	obtain legal advice, representation and, where	serious criticism. The <u>Summary Opinion</u> of the	<u>Summary Opinion</u> by the Alien
				available to	necessary, linguistic assistance.	Alien Policing Expert Working Group of the	Policing Expert Working Group
				challenge		Kúria (Supreme Court) concluded in 2013 that	of the <i>Kúria</i> (Supreme Court),
DET	3	f		detention? Are		one court failed to appoint representatives ad	23 September 2013 (in
				there any		litem and others regularly failed to note	particular pp. 41-45) (HU)
				barriers to		representatives' contact and share case	
				accessing this in		documentation (including motions requesting	
				practice?		prolongation of detention to which the	
						representative should react before the court).	
						Rules on representatives' fee and obligation to	
						be present at court hearings were not clear, and	
						very often representatives were not present when detention was prolonged. The HHC	Report on HHC's monitoring
						reported in 2011, based on a monitoring visit to	visit to the Kiskunhalas
						the Kiskunhalas District Court, detention	immigration detention centre
						•	
						prolongation court "hearings" were conducted	on 13 December 2011 (HU)

				Are those	UN Convention Relating to the Status of Stateless	in groups of 5-10 (detainees were grouped according to nationality), without any individualisation. Representatives ad litem present at the "hearings" did not even know their clients' names. According to the HHC's long-standing experience, state-appointed representatives ad litem usually do not object to the prolongation of detention, do not provide individualised arguments to challenge detention and thus fail to fulfil the role of a legal representative responsible for representing the rights and interests of their client. Their role is usually symbolic, lacking any actual impact on the outcome of the process. The Hungarian Helsinki Committee has been providing free-of-charge, high-quality legal assistance for immigration detainees for decades, with the support of UNHCR. Until the summer of 2017, a specific cooperation agreement with the police allowed HHC attorneys to pay regular visits to detention, ensuring direct and proactive outreach to the target group. Since the unilateral termination of this cooperation agreement in 2017, the HHC has more limited access to immigration detainees, who now explicitly need to request a specific HHC attorney as their legal representative for them to gain access to a detained client. Despite growing difficulties, the HHC still managed to represent 11 immigration detainees in the first six months of 2018. By law, persons released from immigration	Report on the termination of cooperation agreements with the Hungarian Helsinki Committee Act II of 2007 on the entry and
DET	4	a	Protections on release	released from detention issued with any identification, including	Persons, 1954: Art 27 UNHCR (2014), Handbook on Protection of Stateless Persons:being undocumented or lacking the necessary immigration permits	detention are issued a so-called temporary residence certificate (ideiglenes tartózkodásra jogosító igazolás) for a maximum of three months (six months if the authority also ordered a compulsory place of stay). The	stay of third-country nationals, Sections 30(1)(h) & (j), 30(2)(a) & (d) and 62(1)(d) (HU)

^{© 2019} European Network on Statelessness. All rights reserved. This country survey is not intended for wider dissemination as a standalone document, and should be read in conjunction with (and any reference made to) the Statelessness Index country profile on Hungary; February 2019.

			confirmation of	cannot be used as a general justification for	immigration authority <i>may</i> order a compulsory	
			their stateless	detention	place of stay if the maximum time limit for	
			status, and thus	ENS (2015) Protecting Stateless Persons from	immigration detention is over, but the grounds	
			protected from	Arbitrary Detention: a regional toolkit for	for ordering detention are still valid. Private	
			arbitrary re-	<u>practitioners:</u> state parties to the 1954	accommodation (if conditions are met) can be	
			detention?	Convention have an obligation to provide stay	ordered as well as state-run community	
				rights to stateless persons who have been	shelters, reception centres and transit zones.	
				released from detention.	There are no specific rules concerning	
				Equal Rights Trust (ERT) (2012), Guidelines to	statelessness determination or referral to such	
				Protect Stateless Persons from Arbitrary	procedures upon release from immigration	
				<u>Detention:</u> Guidelines 55 & 56: Released	detention.	
				stateless detainees should be provided with		
				appropriate documentation and stay rights		
				suitable to their situation.		
				Saïd Shamilovich Kadzoev v Direktsia Migratsia'	By law, the immigration authority <i>may</i> revoke	Act II of 2007 on the entry and
				pri Ministerstvo na vatreshnite raboti [2009]	an expulsion order and ban on entry ex officio, if	stay of third-country
				Case C-357/09 (ECJ): Article 15(4) and (6) of the	the expulsion could not be carried out for 12	nationals, Sections 29(1)(a) &
			If the purpose of	Directive should be interpreted as requiring that	months, "by no fault" of the person concerned.	(2)(f) & 47(10) (HU)
			detention cannot	after the maximum period of detention has	In such cases, the person is provided with a	
			be fulfilled (e.g.	expired, the person must be released	renewable humanitarian residence permit valid	
			removal) and the	immediately the individual's lack of valid	for one year, provided that she/he:	
			person is	documentation, his/her inability to support	Cooperated with the immigration authority	
			released, what	him/herself or his/her "aggressive conduct"	in the execution of the detention order;	
			legal status is	should not be a deterrent to his/her release.	Kept the "rules of behaviour" (e.g. while	
			provided to them	Equal Rights Trust (ERT) (2012), Guidelines to	detained) and fulfilled her/his obligations	
DET	4	b	by law? Can they	Protect Stateless Persons from Arbitrary	to periodically report to the immigration	
			access social	Detention: Guideline 55 as above.	authority (if relevant); and	
			security		Has a clear criminal record and is not under	
			accommodation,		any criminal procedure.	
			education and		The HHC has no information about the actual	
			healthcare? Do		application of this provision in practice. If the	
			they have the		immigration authority does not revoke the	
			right to work?		expulsion order, the person will receive a	
			right to work:		temporary residence certificate upon release	
					from immigration detention (see previous	
					question for details).	

DET	4	С		If re-detention does occur, is the cumulative time spent in detention counted towards any maximum time limits?	Equal Rights Trust (ERT) (2012), Guidelines to Protect Stateless Persons from Arbitrary Detention: Guideline 40: When calculating the total time spent by an individual in detention, it is highly desirable that time spent in detention on previous occasions is taken into consideration.	Following the release of a person from immigration detention after the maximum time limit (12 months) has expired, immigration detention can only be ordered again if the immigration authority passes a new expulsion order, based on new facts. In this case, the previous immigration detention will not be considered when calculating the maximum time of detention. Time spent in "detention in preparation of expulsion" (maximum 30 days) will be included in the maximum time limit (12 months) of immigration detention, while the time spent in "asylum detention" (specific detention regime for asylum-seekers for maximum 6 months), will not be included.	Act II of 2007 on the entry and stay of third-country nationals, Sections 54(7) & 56(4) (HU)
DET	5	а	Readmission agreements	Is statelessness considered a juridically relevant fact in any readmission and/or bilateral return agreements?	UNHCR (2014), Handbook on Protection of Stateless Persons: Efforts to secure admission or readmission may be justified but these need to take place subsequent to a determination of statelessness.	No information available. Note that Hungary is party to and applies EU joint readmission agreements.	EU joint readmission agreements
DET	5	b		Are you aware of cases of stateless people being returned under such agreements?		Yes, in 2017, the HHC provided legal assistance to a stateless man of Lebanese descent, who was born and had lived in another EU country, who was officially admitted to Lebanon despite his unquestioned lack of Lebanese nationality and despite the fact that his statelessness determination procedure was still pending in Hungary.	Hungarian Helsinki Committee

Prevention and Reduction

Cat	Q	Sub	Subtheme	Question	International Norms / Good Practice	Answer	Source
PRS	1	а	Stateless born on territory	Is there a provision in law for stateless children born on the territory to be granted nationality? If yes, continue with PRS1b below. If no, proceed to PRS1j.	UN Convention on the Reduction of Statelessness, 1961: A Contracting State shall grant its nationality to a person born in its territory who would otherwise be stateless European Convention on Nationality, 1997: Each State Party shall provide in its internal law for its nationality to be acquired by children born on its territory who do not acquire at birth another nationality Convention on the Rights of the Child 1989: The child shall have the right to acquire a nationality States Parties shall ensure the implementation of these rightsin particular where the child would otherwise be stateless States Parties undertake to respect the right of the child to preserve his or her identity, including nationality Genovese v. Malta (ECtHR) Application No. 53124/09, 11 October 2011	Yes, but with incomplete safeguards. OPTION A – Automatic (ex lege), at birth, limited scope Under S.3(3) Act LV 1993 on Hungarian nationality, until the contrary is proven, children born in Hungary, whose both parents are stateless and have a domicile in Hungary; and the children of unknown parents found in Hungary ('foundlings') are considered Hungarian nationals. OPTION B – Non-automatic (upon application), non-discretionary, later, general scope Under S.5/A (1)(b) & (1a) Act LV 1993 on Hungarian nationality, a child born in Hungary who does not obtain the nationality of either parent at birth is entitled to later become a Hungarian citizen by declaration (nyilatkozat). The recognition of Hungarian nationality is non-discretionary, provided the child's parents had a domicile in Hungary at the time of birth and the child has been residing in Hungary (with a domicile) for at least 5 years. This option is open until the child's 19th birthday.	Act LV of 1993 on Hungarian nationality, Sections 3(3), 5/A(1)(a) & (b) Gábor Gyulai, Nationality Unknown? An Overview of the Safeguards and Gaps Related to the Prevention of Statelessness at Birth in Hungary, Hungarian Helsinki Committee, January 2014
PRS	1	b		Is the provision for stateless children to access nationality automatic or non-	UNHCR Guidelines on Statelessness #4 2012: Article 1 of the 1961 Convention provides Contracting States with two alternativesfor granting nationality to children who would otherwise be stateless born in their territoryeitherautomatic acquisitionupon	OPTION A above is automatic, OPTION B is non-automatic.	Act LV of 1993 on Hungarian nationality, Sections 3(3), 5/A (1a) & (1)(b) (HU) Gábor Gyulai, Nationality Unknown? An Overview of the

⁵⁰

			automatic (i.e. by application)?	birth pursuant to Article 1(1)(a), orupon application pursuant to Article 1(1)(b) ENS (2015), No Child Should Be Stateless: Article 1 of the 1961 Convention and article 6(2) of the ECN oblige the conferral of nationality to children born on the territory if they would otherwise be stateless Theoptimal methodis to grant nationality to otherwise stateless children automatically, at birth. UNHCR Guidelines on Statelessness #4 2012: The	OPTION A – Yes. For a stateless child born	Safeguards and Gaps Related to the Prevention of Statelessness at Birth in Hungary, Hungarian Helsinki Committee, January 2014 Act LV of 1993 on Hungarian
PRS	1	С	Is it a requirement that the parents are also stateless for the child to acquire the nationality of the host state?	test is whether a child is stateless because he or she acquires neither the nationality of his or her parents nor that of the State of his or her birth; it is not an inquiry into whether a child's parents are stateless. ENS (2015), No Child Should Be Stateless: Only allowing access to nationality for stateless children whose parents are stateless fails to account for the circumstance where the child's parent(s) do hold a nationality themselves, but are unable to pass this on	in Hungary (who is not a foundling), to be automatically considered a Hungarian national, both parents shall be stateless and both of them shall have a domicile in Hungary. Note that domicile is a specific and privileged legal status in Hungary (and not a matter of fact), and recognised stateless persons, beneficiaries of a tolerated (befogadott) status, as well as all third-country nationals without a permanent (long-term) resident status cannot establish a domicile in Hungary. OPTION B – No.	nationality, Sections 3(3), 5/A (1a) & (1)(b) (HU) Gábor Gyulai, Nationality Unknown? An Overview of the Safeguards and Gaps Related to the Prevention of Statelessness at Birth in Hungary, Hungarian Helsinki Committee, January 2014
PRS	1	d	Are children born stateless required to prove they cannot access another nationality to acquire nationality of the country of birth? If yes, please describe the requirement e.g. what is the	UNHCR Guidelines on Statelessness #4 2012: A Contracting State to the 1961 Convention cannot avoid the obligations to grant its nationality to a person who would otherwise be statelessbased on its own interpretation of another State's nationality laws where this conflicts with the interpretation applied by the State concerned the burden of proof must be shared between the claimant and the authorities decision makers need to take into account Articles 3 and 7 of the CRC and adopt an appropriate standard of proof Special procedural considerations to address the acute	There is no such condition specifically mentioned in Hungarian law.	

^{© 2019} European Network on Statelessness. All rights reserved. This country survey is not intended for wider dissemination as a standalone document, and should be read in conjunction with (and any reference made to) the Statelessness Index country profile on Hungary; February 2019.

burden of proof, and how this is determined in practice? UN Convention on the Reduction of Statelessness, 1961; A Contracting State may make the grant of its nationality, subject to one or more of the following conditions: UN Convention on the Reduction of Statelessness, 1961; A Contracting State may make the grant of its nationality, subject to one or more of the following conditions: UN Convention on the Reduction of Statelessness, 1961; A Contracting State may make the grant of its nationality, sections 3(3), 5/A (1a) & (1) (b) (HU) PRS 1 1 e UN Convention on the Reduction of Statelessness, 42012: Is a stateless child born on the territory required to fuffilial period of residence to be granted nationality? If yes, what is it? Must this be legal and/or permanent residence? PRS 2 1 e Reduction of Statelessness to min its territory tending the period is not to exceed five years immediately preceding an application nor ten years in all minute period is not to exceed five years immediately preceding an application on the Rights of the Child 1989; Art 3 & 7 Committee on the Rights of the Child 1989; Art 3 & 7 Committee on the Rights of the Child 1989; Art 3 & 7 Committee on the Rights of the Child 1989; Art 3 & 7 Committee on the Rights of the Child 1989; Art 3 & 7 Committee on the Rights of the Child 1989; Art 3 & 7 Committee on the Rights of the Child 1989; Art 3 & 7 Committee on the Rights of the Child 1989; Art 3 & 7 Committee on the Rights of the Child 1989; Art 3 & 7 Committee on the Rights of the Child 1989; Art 3 & 7 Committee on the Rights of the Child 1989; Art 3 & 7 Committee on the Rights of the Child 1989; Art 3 & 7 Committee on the Rights of the Child 1989; Art 3 & 7 Committee on the Rights of the Child 1989; Art 3 & 7 Committee on the Rights of the Child 1980; Art 3 & 7 Committee on the Rights of the Child 1980; Art 3 & 7 Committee on the Rights of the Child 1980; Art 3 & 7 Committee on the Rights of the Child 1980; Art 3 & 7 Committee on the Rights of the Child 1980; Art 3 & 7 Committee on t				standard and	challenges faced by children in communicating		
determined in practice? Variable Variab				burden of proof,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
PRS 1 e In practice? UN Convention on the Reduction of Statelessness, 1961: A Contracting State may make the grant of its nationalitysubject to one or more of the following conditions:				and how this is	to be respected.		
UN Convention on the Reduction of Statelessness, 1961: A Contracting State may make the grant of its nationality, subject to one or more of the following conditions: b) that the person concerned has habitually resided in the territory. If resided in the territory are like the territory required to fulfil a period of residence to be granted and/or permanent residence? PRS 1 e 1 e 1 e 1 e 1 e 1 e 1 e 1 e				determined in			
PRS 1 1 e Statelessness, 1961: A Contracting State may make the grant of its nationality, subject to one or more of the following conditions: b) that the person concerned has habitually residence with a domicile. Note that domicile is a specific and privileged legal status in Hungary (and not a matter of fact), and recognised stateless persons, beneficiaries of a tolerated (hefogadott) would otherwise be stateless born in its territory fulfils a period of residence to be granted nationality? If yes, what is it? Must this be legal and/or permanent residence? PRS 1 1 e 1 e 1 e 1 e 1 e 1 e 1 e 1 e 1 e 1 1 e				practice?			
I III due Subject to the lawful and habitual	PRS	1	е	Is a stateless child born on the territory required to fulfil a period of residence to be granted nationality? If yes, what is it? Must this be legal and/or permanent	Statelessness, 1961: A Contracting State may make the grant of its nationalitysubject to one or more of the following conditions:b) that the person concerned has habitually resided in the territory for such periodnot exceeding five years immediately preceding the application nor ten years in all. UNHCR Guidelines on Statelessness #4 2012: States may stipulate that an individual who would otherwise be stateless born in its territory fulfils a period of "habitual residence". This period is not to exceed five years immediately preceding an application nor ten years in allThe term "habitual residence" is to be understood as stable, factual residence. It does not imply a legal or formal residence requirement. Convention on the Rights of the Child 1989: Arts 3 & 7 Committee on the Rights of the Child, Concluding observations on the 4th periodic report of the Netherlands CRC/C/NDL/CO/4, 2015: The Committee recommends that the State party ensure that all stateless children born in its territory, irrespective of residency status, have access to citizenship without any conditions. European Convention on Nationality, 1997:	birth, subject to different restrictive conditions). OPTION B – Yes. Minimum 5 years of lawful residence with a domicile. Note that domicile is a specific and privileged legal status in Hungary (and not a matter of fact), and recognised stateless persons, beneficiaries of a tolerated (befogadott) status, as well as all third-country nationals without a permanent (long-term) resident status cannot establish a domicile in	nationality, Sections 3(3), 5/A (1a) & (1)(b) (HU) Gábor Gyulai, Nationality Unknown? An Overview of the Safeguards and Gaps Related to the Prevention of Statelessness at Birth in Hungary, Hungarian Helsinki Committee, January

				residence on its territory for a period not exceeding five years		
PRS	1	f	Are the pare a stateless of required to f period of residence fo child to be granted nationality? What is it? M this be legal and/or permanent residence?	d fil a he yes,	OPTION A – Yes. For a stateless child born in Hungary (who is not a foundling), to be automatically considered a Hungarian national, both parents shall be stateless and both shall have a domicile in Hungary. Domicile is a specific and privileged legal status in Hungary (and not a matter of fact), and recognised stateless persons, beneficiaries of a tolerated (befogadott) status, as well as all third-country nationals without a permanent (long-term) resident status cannot establish a domicile in Hungary. Refugees, beneficiaries of subsidiary protection, EU citizens and third-country nationals holding a permanent (long-term) residence permit do establish a domicile. In both cases, the parents shall be lawfully residing in Hungary for the safeguard to apply. If the stateless child's parents hold a status that includes domicile (e.g. they are refugees), there will be no minimum residence time requirement for the parents for the safeguard to apply. If the stateless child's parents hold a status that excludes establishing a domicile (e.g. they are recognised stateless persons), the safeguard will only apply if the parents have already obtained permanent residence permit (which they can apply for after 3 years of residence in a discretionary procedure), and thus the right to establish a domicile.	Act LV of 1993 on Hungarian nationality, Sections 3(3), 5/A (1a) & (1)(b) (HU) Gábor Gyulai, Nationality Unknown? An Overview of the Safeguards and Gaps Related to the Prevention of Statelessness at Birth in Hungary, Hungarian Helsinki Committee, January 2014

PRS	1	g	What are the age limits, if any, for making an application for nationality for a stateless person born on the territory?	UN Convention on the Reduction of Statelessness, 1961: A Contracting State may make the grant of its nationalitysubject to one or more of the following conditions: (a) that the application is lodged during a period beginning not later than at the age of 18 years and ending not earlier than at the age of 21 years UNHCR Guidelines on Statelessness #4 2012:Contracting Statesneed to accept applications lodged at a time beginning not later than the age of 18 and ending not earlier than the age of 21 ENS (2015), No Child Should Be Stateless:any application procedure which only becomes available in late childhood or even upon	OPTION B – Yes. In this case, the minimum 5 years of lawful residence with a domicile applies to the child, not the parents. OPTION A – not relevant. OPTION B – The (restrictive) option of acquiring Hungarian nationality through declaration is open until the child's 19 th birthday which only allows one year for this option after reaching the age of majority.	Act LV of 1993 on Hungarian nationality, Section 5/A (1a) & (1)(b) (HU) Gábor Gyulai, Nationality Unknown? An Overview of the Safeguards and Gaps Related to the Prevention of Statelessness at Birth in Hungary, Hungarian Helsinki Committee, January 2014
				reaching majority is particularly problematic [] closing the window of opportunity to apply for a nationality has the effect of leaving it in the hands of parents to take the necessary steps to secure a nationality for their child		
PRS	1	h	Are there specific provisions for the nationality of children born to beneficiaries of international protection?	UNHCR Guidelines on Statelessness #4 2012: Some children are born to refugee parents who are themselves stateless or cannot acquire the nationality of their parents owing to restrictions on transmission of nationality to children born abroad. Where the nationality of the parents can be acquired through a registration or other procedure, this will be impossible owing to the very nature of refugee status which precludes refugee parents from contacting their consular authorities.	No.	

PRS	2	а	Foundlings	Are foundlings granted citizenship by law? If it's not automatic, is there an application procedure?	UN Convention on the Reduction of Statelessness, 1961: A foundling found in the territory of a Contracting State shall, in the absence of proof to the contrary, be considered to have been born within that territory of parents possessing the nationality of that State. European Convention on Nationality, 1997: Each State Party shall provide in its internal law for its nationality to be acquired ex lege by the following persons: [] b) foundlings found in its territory who would otherwise be stateless.	Under S.3(3) of the Act on Hungarian Nationality, until the contrary is proven, children of unknown parents found in Hungary ('foundlings') are automatically considered Hungarian nationals (OPTION A). Since 2011, children born to an unknown father and a known mother — whose identity is not proven and who abandons the child in the hospital after birth — are also treated as foundlings and thus automatically acquire Hungarian nationality.	Act LV of 1993 on Hungarian nationality, Section 3(3)(b) (HU) Act I of 2010 on Civil Registration Procedures, Section 61(5) (HU) Gábor Gyulai, Nationality Unknown? An Overview of the Safeguards and Gaps Related to the Prevention of Statelessness at Birth in Hungary, Hungarian Helsinki Committee, January 2014
PRS	2	b		If yes, is there an age limit (or status e.g. 'newborn') specified for foundlings to be granted citizenship? If not, when would a child usually qualify in practice?	UNHCR Guidelines on Statelessness #4 2012: At a minimum, the safeguard is to apply to all young children who are not yet able to communicate accurately information pertaining to the identity of their parents or their place of birth	The term 'foundling' reads as 'found child born to unknown parents' (ismeretlen szülőktől származó talált gyermek) in Hungarian law. Since the word 'child' is part of this term, and in the absence of any other restriction, there are no legal grounds for this to apply to any age subgroup among children (defined in law as under 18). This interpretation was confirmed by an official letter sent by the competent ministry to UNHCR. At the same time, there is no information about the practical application of this provision.	Act LV of 1993 on Hungarian nationality, Section 3 (3) (b) (HU) Letter No. 437-3068/2/2013 of 7 December 2013, Ministry of Public Administration & Justice to UNHCR Regional Representation for Central Europe Gábor Gyulai, Nationality Unknown? An Overview of the Safeguards and Gaps Related to the Prevention of Statelessness at Birth in Hungary, Hungarian Helsinki Committee, January 2014
PRS	2	С		Can citizenship be withdrawn from foundlings if parents are identified even if	UNHCR Guidelines on Statelessness #4 2012: Nationality acquired by foundlings may only be lost if it is proven that the child concerned possesses another State's nationality.	Yes. The law specifies 'until the contrary is proven'. There are no particular rules regulating such a situation, nor is there any information about actual cases.	Act LV of 1993 on Hungarian nationality, Section 3(3)(b) (HU)

⁵⁵

				this leads to			
				statelessness?			
PRS	3	а	Adoption	Where a child national is adopted by foreign parent(s), does the child lose their original nationality before the new nationality is acquired?	UN Convention on the Reduction of Statelessness, 1961: If the law of a Contracting State entails loss of nationality as a consequence of any change in the personal status of a person such asadoption, such loss shall be conditional upon possession or acquisition of another nationality. ENS (2015), No Child Should Be Stateless:the "sending" state in a situation of inter-country adoption may be a non-European one, so even if Europe's nationality laws were all in alignment with international standards, children may be exposed to a (temporary) risk of statelessness during the adoption process.	No.	
PRS	3	b		Does a foreign child adopted by national parents acquire nationality? Is there a risk of statelessness during the adoption process? Are there any age limits?	European Convention on Nationality, 1997: Each State Party shall facilitate in its internal law the acquisition of its nationality for the following persons:d) children adopted by one of its nationals Committee on the Rights of the Child, Concluding Observations: Switzerland, CRC/C/CHE/CO/2-04, 2015:ensure that a child adopted from abroad is not stateless or discriminated against during the waiting period betweenarrivaland formal adoption.	Foreign or stateless children adopted by a Hungarian national do not automatically obtain Hungarian nationality but can apply after 3 years of residence. There are no specific age limits (but adoption is only possible before reaching the age of majority).	Act LV of 1993 on Hungarian nationality, Section 4(2)(c) (HU)
PRS	4	а	lus sanguinis and discrimination	Can children born to nationals abroad acquire nationality by descent (ius sanguinis)? Are there any conditions? Are these conditions	UN Convention on the Reduction of Statelessness, 1961: Art 4 UNHCR Guidelines on Statelessness #4 2012:where a child who would otherwise be stateless is born in a Contracting State to parents of another Contracting State but does not acquire the nationality of the State of birth responsibility falls to the Contracting State of the parents to grant its nationality to the child	Children born to at least one Hungarian parent (regardless of the place of birth, the sex of the parent and whether the child was born in or out of wedlock) become Hungarian citizens automatically at birth.	The Fundamental Law of Hungary, 25 April 2011, Section G(1)

^{© 2019} European Network on Statelessness. All rights reserved. This country survey is not intended for wider dissemination as a standalone document, and should be read in conjunction with (and any reference made to) the Statelessness Index country profile on Hungary; February 2019.

				discriminatory? (see below if child	Genovese v. Malta (ECtHR) Application No. 53124/09, 11 October 2011: the impact of denial		
				would otherwise	of citizenship on the applicant's social identity		
				be stateless)	was such as to bring it within the general scope		
					and ambit of Article 8 the state must ensure		
					that the right is secured without discrimination		
					Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of		
					Discrimination Against Women, General		
					recommendation No. 32 on the gender-related		
					dimensions of refugee status, asylum,		
					nationality and statelessness of women,		
					November 2014		
					<u>UNHCR Global Action Plan to End Statelessness</u>		
					<u>2014-24:</u> Action 4		
					Fighting statelessness and discriminatory		
					nationality law in Europe, Laura van Waas, 2012		
				Can children born	UNHCR Guidelines on Statelessness #4 2012:	Children born to at least one Hungarian	The Fundamental Law of
				to nationals	where children of a national of a Contracting	parent (regardless of the place of birth, the	Hungary, 25 April 2011, Section
				outside the	State who would otherwise be stateless are born	sex of the parent and whether the child	G(1)
				country access	in a non-Contracting State the Contracting	was born in or out of wedlock) become	. ,
				nationality by	State of the parents [is required] to grant its	Hungarian citizens automatically at birth.	
				descent (<i>ius</i>	nationality to the child (or children) of its	,	
PRS	4	b		sanguinis) if they	nationals born abroad		
				would otherwise			
				be stateless? Are			
				there any			
				•			
				•	Convention on the Rights of the Child 1989. The	All children born in Hungary shall be	Act Lof 2010 on Civil
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
				•	•	,	
			Access to hirth		=	•	200.0 1(3)(3) (1.0)
PRS	5	а		_	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, ,	Gábor Gyulai Nationality
			registration		-	•	•
					110 23 33 11 12 14 (2)		Safeguards and Gaps Related to
				registered if		took place; or the specifically trained	the Prevention of Statelessness
PRS	5	a	Access to birth registration	conditions? Are these conditions discriminatory? Does the law provide that all children are registered immediately upon birth? Can children be	Convention on the Rights of the Child 1989: The child shall be registered immediately after birth and shall have the right from birth to a name [and] the right to acquire a nationality International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights 1966: Art 24(2)	All children born in Hungary shall be registered at birth (the law does not include any additional condition related to the parents' legal status). The fact of birth shall be announced within one day of the delivery to the civil registry authority by the head of the hospital where the birth	Act I of 2010 on Civil Registration Procedures, Section 1(3)(a) (HU) Gábor Gyulai, Nationality Unknown? An Overview of the

^{© 2019} European Network on Statelessness. All rights reserved. This country survey is not intended for wider dissemination as a standalone document, and should be read in conjunction with (and any reference made to) the Statelessness Index country profile on Hungary; February 2019.

			parents are undocumented and/or not legally residing in the country (by law)?	Council of Europe, Recommendation CM/Rec (2009) 13 of the Committee of Ministers to member states on the nationality of children UNHCR Guidelines on Statelessness #4 2012: registration of the birth provides proof of descent and of place of birth and therefore underpins implementation of the 1961 ConventionArticle 7 CRCapplies irrespective of the nationality, statelessness or residence status of the parents. UNHCR Global Action Plan to End Statelessness 2014-24: Action 7 UN Sustainable Development Goal 16 UN Human Rights Council, Resolution A/HRC/RES/20/4: ensure free birth registration, including free or low-fee late birth	medical person assisting the birth, if it took place outside a hospital. The civil registration authority shall examine the child's nationality at birth. If the child's nationality or statelessness is not proven, 'unknown nationality' shall be noted in the civil registry (and on the birth certificate). In Hungary, birth registration is linked and performed together with the establishment of nationality.	at Birth in Hungary, Hungarian Helsinki Committee, January 2014
				registration, for every childirrespective of his or her immigration status and that of his or her parents or family members		
PRS	5	b	Are there credible reports to suggest that children are prevented from registering in practice because of parents' status?	As above	No.	

PRS	5	С		Are there mandatory reporting requirements for authorities which would deter undocumented parents coming forward to register their children (e.g. health authorities required to report undocumented migrants)?	UNICEF, Access to Civil, Economic and Social Rights for Children in the Context of Irregular Migration, 2012: While there is generally a separation between civil registries and immigration enforcement, undocumented parents may also fear detection, particularly in countries where civil servants have a duty to report undocumented migrants PICUM, Rights of Accompanied Children in an Irregular Situation, 2011: Certain barriers that prevent access to basic rights for children in an irregular migration situation arise across the registry, health, education and housing sectors. National legislation is oftencontradicted by other rules and practices, such as the duty to denounce	There are no specific rules in law.	
PRS	6	a	Late Birth Registration	Is there a statutory deadline before which birth registration should be completed? If yes, what is it? Is late birth registration possible by law?	UNHCR Global Action Plan to End Statelessness 2014-24: Low levels of birth registration can be difficult to correct subsequently because procedures for late birth registration have not been established or are lengthy, costly and complex and therefore inaccessible UN Human Rights Council, Resolution A/HRC/RES/20/4 Council of Europe, Recommendation CM/Rec (2009) 13 of the Committee of Ministers to member states on the nationality of children ENS (2015), No Child Should Be Stateless: One of the ways in which states have sought to deal with an intergenerational lack of documentation is by simplifying the procedures for the late registration of births, making it easier for adults who do not have a birth certificate to acquire one, which can subsequently be used to register their own children's births	The law does not contain statutory deadlines. The birth shall be announced within one day of the delivery to the civil registry authority by the head of the hospital where the birth took place; or the specifically trained medical person assisting the birth, if it took place outside a hospital. The overwhelming majority of children are born in hospital and Hungary has a 100% birth registration rate, therefore the issue of late birth registration has never been raised as a challenge in public discourse and no research has been conducted on this issue.	World Bank data on completeness of birth registration
PRS	6	b		Is late birth registration	As above	No information available	

Prevention and Reduction – February 2019

				possible in			
				practice?			
				+ <u>'</u>	UN Human Rights Council, Resolution	No information available	
				Are there any additional		No information available	
				0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0	A/HRC/RES/20/4		
				requirements			
				(e.g. fee) for the			
PRS	6	С		late birth			
				registration			
				procedure? Are			
				these problematic			
				or do they cause			
				lengthy delays?			
				Does the	<u>UNHCR Global Action Plan to End Statelessness</u>	No information available	
				government have	<u>2014-24:</u> Action 7		
				any programmes	Council of Europe, 3rd European Conference on		
				in place to	Nationality, 11-12 October 2004: Taken together		
PRS	7	а	Reduction	promote civil	Art 7 & 8 ECHR should be understood to		
1113	′	ľ	Reduction	registration	encourage States Parties not only to take		
				(including birth	positive steps to avoid statelessness – including		
				registration)? If	the promotion of birth registration – but also to		
				yes, please	grant citizenship to children who would		
				provide details.	otherwise be stateless		
					UN Convention on the Reduction of	No specific ethnic, religious, etc. minorities	Gábor Gyulai, <u>Nationality</u>
					Statelessness, 1961 Article 9	have ever been reported to be at a specific	<u>Unknown? An Overview of the</u>
				Are there sections	UNHCR Global Action Plan to End Statelessness	risk of statelessness in Hungary. Research	Safeguards and Gaps Related to
				of the population	<u>2014-24:</u> Action 4	by the Hungarian Helsinki Committee in	the Prevention of Statelessness
				believed to be		2014 identified three particular groups of	at Birth in Hungary, Hungarian
				stateless/at risk		concern: Children born in Hungary to	Helsinki Committee, January
				of statelessness?		stateless persons with no domicile;	2014
PRS	7	b		Are minorities		children born to parents who are unable to	
				disproportionatel		pass on their nationality to their children	
				y affected? Please		(e.g. because of jus soli or sex	
				provide details		discrimination); children born to	
				and source of		beneficiaries of international protection	
				information.		who are unable to pass on their nationality	
						to their children because this would	
						require contact with the authorities of the	

60

	1	1		1			
	1					country of origin. Such children are either	
						born stateless or at risk of statelessness in	
						Hungary.	
					UN Convention on the Reduction of	In 2010, the Parliamentary Commissioner	Act I of 2010 on Civil
					Statelessness, 1961	for Civil Rights and the Hungarian Helsinki	Registration Procedures,
					UNHCR Global Action Plan to End Statelessness	Committee criticised practice relating to	Section 61(5) (HU)
							Section 61(5) (HO)
				114	2014-24: Action 1, Action 8	children born in a hospital to an unknown	
				Has the	UNHCR, Good Practices Paper - Action 1:	father and known foreign mother whose	Gábor Gyulai, <u>Nationality</u>
				Government	Resolving Existing Major Situations of	identity and nationality are not officially	<u>Unknown? An Overview of the</u>
				implemented any	Statelessness, 2015	established, and who abandons the child	Safeguards and Gaps Related to
				other measures		shortly after birth. Previously, these	the Prevention of Statelessness
				specifically aimed		children were not considered foundlings	at Birth in Hungary, Hungarian
				at reducing (risk		and did not obtain Hungarian nationality at	Helsinki Committee, January
				of) statelessness?		birth. The mother's identity and nationality	2014
				(e.g.		were often registered in the hospital	
PRS	7	С		identification,		registry without verification. The children	
				registration or		were often treated as being of unknown	
				naturalisation		nationality and so faced exclusion from	
				campaigns,		services and risked being adopted or	
				removal of treaty		"repatriated" to the mother's country of	
				reservations,		nationality when established, sometimes	
				reform of		only years later. Following strong criticism	
				discriminatory		and several mediated cases, a new	
				laws, etc.)		provision was introduced in law in 2011 to	
						consider the child in such cases a foundling	
						if the mother abandons the child and does	
						not prove her identity within 30 days of	
						birth.	
				Are there any	UN Convention on the Reduction of	The only legal ground for depriving a	Act LV of 1993 on Hungarian
	1			provisions on loss	Statelessness, 1961: Article 8: A contracting	Hungarian national of their nationality is if	nationality, Section 9 (HU)
				and/or	state shall not deprive a person of its nationality	it was acquired based on fraud, "in	,
				deprivation of	if such deprivation would render him stateless.	particular by misleading the authority by	
PRS	8	а	Withdrawal of	nationality? If yes,	European Convention on Nationality, 1997:	communicating false data or omitting data	
	1		nationality	are these	Article 7(3): A State party may not provide in its	or facts". Hungarian nationality cannot be	
				established in	internal law for the loss of its nationalityif the	withdrawn after 10 years from the date it	
				law? If not, where	person concerned would thereby become	was awarded.	
	1			can they be	stateless		
		1		can they be	Juiciess		

⁶¹

			found? Do any provisions allow for statelessness? If there is a safeguard against statelessness, is it applied in practice?	Universal Declaration of Human Rights: Article 15(2) No one shall be arbitrarily deprived of his nationality	No specific statelessness-related safeguards exist.	
PRS	8	b	Who is the competent authority in any procedure for ordering deprivation of nationality? What procedural guarantees are there? (e.g. judicial oversight, time limit, subject to prior sentencing, appeal rights, legal aid)	UN Convention on the Reduction of Statelessness, 1961: Article 8(4): A contracting state shall not exercise a power of deprivationexcept in accordance with the law, which shall provide forthe right to a fair hearing by a court or other independent body. European Convention on Nationality, 1997: Article 11: Each state party shall ensure that decisions relating to the acquisition, retention, loss, recovery or certification of its nationality contain reasons in writing	On 1 January 2017, the Budapest Regional Government Office became the competent authority on nationality-related matters (replacing the Office of Immigration and Nationality). Formally, decisions are made by the President, however, as demonstrated by the HHC in 2015, this is a mere formality (at least in naturalisation cases). The law stipulates the right to judicial review in case of deprivation. No other specific safeguards are set by law.	Act LV of 1993 on Hungarian nationality, Section 9 (HU) Gábor Gyulai, The Black Box of Nationality. The Naturalisation of Refugees and Stateless Persons in Hungary, Hungarian Helsinki Committee, 2015, p. 20
PRS	8	С	Are withdrawal provisions (both for loss and deprivation) applied in practice?		No information is available on the application of these provisions.	

Jurisprudence and Training

Cat	Q	Sub	Subtheme	Question	International Norms/Good Practice	Answer	Source
LIT	1	а	Published judgements	Number of published judgements adjudicating statelessness (broken down by level of jurisdiction). Please list.		There are no published judgments adjudicating statelessness in Hungary, nor are there disaggregated statistics about court practices.	
LIT	1	b		Number of published judgements mentioning statelessness (broken down by level of jurisdiction). Please list.		There are no published judgments referring to statelessness in Hungary, except for the landmark Constitutional Court judgement in 2015.	Constitutional Court, Resolution 6/2015 (II.25.) of the Constitutional Court on the determination whether the term "lawfully" in Section 76(1) of Act II of 2007 on the conditions of Entry and Stay of Third-Country Nationals is contrary to the Fundamental Act and the annulment thereof
LIT	2	а	Legal training	Is there judicial training on statelessness? If yes, please provide details (e.g. provider, frequency).	UNHCR (Good Practices Paper 6): Officials who may be in contact with stateless persons need to be trained to identify potential applicants for statelessness status and refer them to appropriate channels. UNHCR Expert Meeting, Statelessness Determination Procedures and the Status of Stateless Persons 2010: It is recommended that States provide specialised training on nationality laws and practices, international	No	

© 2019 European Network on Statelessness. All rights reserved. This country survey is not intended for wider dissemination as a standalone document, and should be read in conjunction with (and any reference made to) the Statelessness Index country profile on Hungary; February 2019. The research for this survey was carried out by Gábor Gyulai (Hungarian Helsinki Committee) with additional research by Zsolt Szekeres (Hungarian Helsinki Committee) and verification by Noémi Radnai as second country expert.

LIT	2	b		Is there training for lawyers on statelessness? If yes, please describe.	standards and statelessness to officials responsible for making statelessness determinations. UNHCR Expert Meeting, Statelessness Determination Procedures and the Status of Stateless Persons 2010: as above	Yes. Gábor Gyulai, Refugee Programme director at the Hungarian Helsinki Committee and president of the European Network on Statelessness has provided statelessness-specific training to lawyers and other employees of the HHC on several occasions, in addition to ad hoc training activities in past years to statelessness determination officers, UNHCR staff, other NGO staff and participants of various training courses on social work with migrants organised by the Menedék Association for Migrants.	Hungarian Helsinki Committee Menedék Association for Migrants Hungarian Helsinki Committee
LIT	3	а	Pro Bono	Are there specialised lawyers, law firms or organisations providing free advice to stateless persons or those at risk of statelessness? If yes, please describe.	UNHCR (2014), Handbook on Protection of Stateless Persons: Applicants are to have access to legal counsel. UNHCR (Good Practices Paper 6): Gives the example of Liverpool Law Clinic providing legal assistance to stateless clients in the UK.	The Hungarian Helsinki Committee (HHC), as the sole legally focused UNHCR implementing partner in the country since 1998, is the only entity providing professional free-of-charge legal assistance and representation to applicants for stateless status, and stateless persons applying for naturalisation. Besides the HHC, no law firms or lawyers offer specialised and/or free-of-charge services. The Menedék Association for Migrants is the expert NGO specialised in offering social assistance and integration support to refugees and migrants in Hungary, including applicants for stateless status and recognised stateless persons	Menedék Association for Migrants
LIT	4	а	Literature	Is there domestic academic literature on statelessness? If possible, please			Gábor GYULAI, <u>The Black Box of Nationality</u> <u>- The Naturalisation of Refugees and</u> <u>Stateless Persons in Hungary</u> , Hungarian Helsinki Committee, 2016

64

list and provid		Gábor Gyulai, Nationality Unknown – A	1 n
references and		overview of the safeguards and gaps	<u> </u>
hyperlinks (wh		related to the prevention of statelessne	acc
available).	ere		_
available).		at birth in Hungary, Hungarian Helsink	.I
		Committee, 2014	
		Gábor GYULAI, <u>Statelessness in Hungary</u>	
		The Protection of Stateless Persons and	
		<u>Prevention and Reduction of Stateless</u>	
		Hungarian Helsinki Committee, Decem	nber
		2010	
		Gábor Gyulai, <u>Practices in Hungary</u>	
		<u>Concerning the Granting of Non-EU-</u>	
		<u>Harmonised Protection Statuses</u> , Europ	pean
		Migration Network, August 2009	
		Gábor Gyulai, <u>Forgotten without Reaso</u>	<u>on –</u>
		<u>Protection of Non-Refugee Stateless</u>	
		<u>Persons in Central Europe</u> , Hungarian	
		Helsinki Committee, 2007	
		Aranka LŐRINCZ, A hontalan státusz	
		megállapítása iránti eljárás nemzetköz	zi és
		magyar aspektusai, in: Közjogi Szemle,	,
		2014/4.	
		Tamás Molnár, <u>A hontalanok helyzete</u>	a
		nemzetközi jogban, illetve a magyar	
		jogban. in: Lékó Zoltán (ed.), A migráci	iós
		jog kézikönyve, CompLex, Budapest, 20	009,
		pp. 329-358 (HU)	
		Examples of other literature on	
		statelessness by Hungarian authors:	
		, , ,	
		Katalin Berényi, <u>Addressing the</u>	

		anomaly of statelessness in Europe: An EU law and human rights perspective, doctoral thesis, Nemzeti Közszolgálati Egyetem (National University of Public Service), Budapest, 2018
		Katalin BERÉNYI, <u>Rethinking the Advocacy</u> <u>Tools of the EU in</u> <u>Exporting Legal Principles to the MENAT</u> <u>Region to Tackle Childhood Statelessness</u> , Statelessness Working Paper Series No. 2016/05, Institute on Statelessness and Inclusion
		Katalin Berényi: Non-citizenship in the EU: Irrelevant, a driving force for displacement or a pretext for intervention?, in: Cultural Relations Quarterly Review, Volume 5, Issue 1, Budapest, 2018
		Mónika GANCZER, <i>The Right to a Nationality</i> as a Human Right?, In: Petra Lea Láncos, Réka Varga, Tamás Molnár, Marcel Szabó (eds.), Hungarian Yearbook of International Law and European Law 2014, the Hague, Eleven International Publishing, 2015. pp. 15-33.
		Mónika GANCZER, Államutódlás során létrejövő hontalanság elleni védelem az állampolgársági tárgyú nemzetközi szerződésekben, in: Acta Humana, 21/1-2., 2010, pp. 3-29
		Gábor GYULAI, The Right to a Nationality of Refugee Children Born in the EU and the Relevance of the EU Charter of

	 5 1 110:11 5 0 "
	<u>Fundamental Rights</u> , European Council on
	Refugees and Exiles, February 2017
	Gábor Gyulai, <u>The Long-Overlooked</u>
	Mystery of Refugee Children's Nationality,
	in: Laura van Waas, Amal de Chickera
	(eds.), The World's Stateless Children,
	Institute on Statelessness and Inclusion,
	January 2017
	sandary 2017
	Gábor GYULAI, <u>The Determination of</u>
	Statelessness and the Establishment of a
	<u>Statelessness-Specific Protection Regime</u> ,
	in: Laura van Waas, Alice Edwards (eds.),
	Nationality and Statelessness under
	International Law, Cambridge University
	Press, United Kingdom, 2014
	Gábor Gyulai, Statelessness Determination
	and the Protection Status of Stateless
	Persons – A summary guide of good
	practices and factors to consider when
	designing national determination and
	protection mechanisms, European Network
	on Statelessness, 2013
	on statelessiless, 2015
	Cában Conn o Chatalasanas in the Ell
	Gábor Gyulai, <u>Statelessness in the EU</u>
	<u>Framework for International Protection</u> ,
	European Journal of Migration and Law 14
	(2012), Martinus Nijhoff Publishers,
	Netherlands
	Gábor Gyulai, <u>La apatridia: significado,</u>
	magnitudes y alcances de la protección, in:
	Aportes Andinos, 29 (2011), Universidad
	Andina Simón Bolívar, Ecuador
	,

	1	0/1 0 0	1 11 5 11
			nember the Forgotten,
		<u>Protect the Unpro</u>	
		=	Issue 32 (special issue
		on statelessness),	April 2009, pp. 48–49.
		Aranka Lőrincz, <u>H</u>	ontalanság és a
		<u>mozgásszabadság</u>	határai, in:
		Tanulmányok a Vá	iltozó Rendészet Aktuális
		Kihívásai című tud	ományos konferenciáról,
		XIV. kötet, 2013 (H	
		Averally 1 % average A	da tuna (da farata
		Aranka Lőrincz, A	-
		= :	lematikája, in: Acta
		Humana, 18. évf.,	4. szám, 2007
		Aranka LŐRINCZ. Az	állampolgárságtól való
			piztonsági eszköz, in:
		Pécsi Határőr Tud	ományos Közlemények
		XV., Pécs, 2014 (H	U)
			lampolgársága végképp
			llanság évei Szlovéniában
			<u>gy apropóján</u> , in: Acta
		Humana, 2014, pp	o. 19-38 (HU)
		Tamás Molnár, Af	ter 60 Years: The
			l Regime Protecting
		<u> </u>	— Stocktaking and New
			otini Pazartzis, Maria
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Reconceptualising the
		Rule of Law in Glo	
			ment and Trade, Oxford,
		Hart Publishing, 2	
		·	fresh examination of
			isation as a solution for
1	1		In: Laura van Waas,

	Melanie J Khanna (eds.), Solving Statelessness, Nijmegen, Wolf Legal
	Publishers, 2016. pp. 225-258.
	Tamás Molnár, <u>Moving Statelessness</u>
	Forward on the International Agenda, in:
	Tilburg Law Review, Vol. 19 No. 1-2 (2014)
	Tamás Molnár, Stateless persons under
	international law and EU Law: a
	comparative analysis concerning their legal
	status, with particular attention to the
	added value of the EU legal order, in: Acta
	Juridica Hungarica, 51, No 4, pp. 293–304
	(2010)
	Tamás Molnár, Remembering the
	<u>Forgotten: International Legal Regime</u>
	<u>Protecting the Stateless Persons –</u>
	<u>Stocktaking and New Tendencies</u> , US-China
	Law Review, 11:(7) pp. 822-848